

# A Fixed Point Theorem for a Contractive Mapping in Dislocated Quasi Metric Space

V.H. Badshah<sup>1</sup>, V.P. Bairagi<sup>2\*</sup>, A. Pariya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. M.L.B. Girls P.G. College, Indore, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Medi-Caps University, Indore, India

Corresponding Author: vishnuprasadbairagi@yahoo.in

**Abstract-** Aage and Salunke [1] proved the result on fixed point theorem in dislocated and dislocated quasi metric space. Dass and Gupta [2] given an extension of Banach Contraction Principle through rational expression. In this paper, we establish a common fixed point theorem for continuous contractive mapping in dislocated quasi metric space which is the generalized result of Isufati. A [4], Mujeeb Ur Rahman and Muhammad Sarwar [11].

**Keywords-** Dislocated Quasi metric space, common fixed point, continuous contractive mapping

**AMS Subject Classification-** 47H10, 54H25

## I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1922, Banach proved fixed point theorem for contraction mapping in complete metric space. It is well known as a Banach fixed point theorem. In 1975 Dass and Gupta [2], generalized Banach contraction principle in metric space. In 1977 Rhoades [7], gave a comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings. In 2005 Zeyada et al. [10], given a generalization of fixed point theorem due to Hiltzler and Seda [3], in dislocated quasi metric space. In 2008 Aage and Salunke [1] proved result on fixed point theorem in dislocated & dislocated quasi metric space. After this in 2010 Isufati [4], established a fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi metric space, also in 2010 Kohli et al. [5], in 2011 Shrivastava and Gupta [8], Pagey and Nighojkar [6] and in 2014 Shrivastava et al. [9], Mujeeb Ur Rahman and Muhammad Sarwar [11], worked on a common fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi metric space. In this paper, we establish a common fixed point theorem for continuous contractive mapping in dislocated quasi metric space which is the generalized result of Isufati, A. [4] and Mujeeb Ur Rahman and Muhammad Sarwar [11].

**Definition 1.1** [3&10] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

be a function satisfying the following conditions:

$$(d_1) \quad d(x, x) = 0$$

$$(d_2) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \text{ implies } x = y.$$

$$(d_3) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

$$(d_4) \quad d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$$

If  $d$  satisfies conditions only  $(d_2)$  and  $(d_4)$ , then  $d$  is called a dislocated quasi metric on  $X$ .

If  $d$  satisfies conditions  $(d_1)$ ,  $(d_2)$  and  $(d_4)$ , then  $d$  is called a quasi metric on  $X$ . If  $d$  satisfies conditions  $(d_2)$ ,  $(d_3)$  and  $(d_4)$ , then  $d$  is called a dislocated metric on  $X$ . If  $d$  satisfies all the conditions  $(d_1)$ ,  $(d_2)$ ,  $(d_3)$  and  $(d_4)$ , then  $d$  is called a metric on  $X$ .

**Definition 1.2** [10] A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $d$ -metric space (dislocated quasi metric space)  $(X, d)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there corresponds  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq n_0$ , implies  $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$

**Definition 1.3** [10] A sequence in  $d$ -metric space converges to a point  $x$  if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$

**Definition 1.4** [3] A dislocated quasi metric space  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space if every Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$  is convergent sequence with respect to  $d$ .

**Definition 1.5** [10] Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  be any two dislocated quasi metric spaces and Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function then  $T$  is a continuous function at  $x_0 \in X$ , if for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  which is convergent to  $x_0$  in  $X$ , the sequence  $\{T(x_n)\}$  is convergent to  $\{T(x_0)\}$  in  $Y$ .

**Definition 1.6** [10] Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $d$ -metric space. A map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called a contraction mapping if there exists a number  $\lambda$  with  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  such that  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ .

**Lemma 1.1** [10] Limits in a  $d$ -metric space are unique.

**Theorem 1.1[1]** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $d$ - $q$  metric space and suppose there exist non negative constants  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping satisfying condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Theorem 1.2 [4]** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $d$ - $q$  metric space and let

$T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \alpha \frac{d(y, Ty)[1+d(x, Tx)]}{1+d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y) \forall x, y \in X,$$

and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Theorem 1.3 [9]** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $d$ - $q$  metric space and

$T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \alpha \frac{d(y, Ty)[1+d(x, Tx)]}{(d(x, Ty))[1+d(x, Ty)]} + \beta d(x, y) + \gamma d(x, Ty)$$

$\forall x, y \in X,$

and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ ; Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Theorem 1.5[11]** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $d$ - $q$  metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous self-mapping satisfying the condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Ty)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y) + d(y, Ty)} + \gamma \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)} + \mu \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)} \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\mu < 1$ .

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point

**II. MAIN RESULT**

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $d$ - $q$  metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(y, Ty)d(x, Tx)}{[1+d(x, Tx)][1+d(y, Ty)]} + \beta \frac{d(x, y)d(x, Tx)}{1+d(x, Tx)[1+d(y, Ty)]} + \gamma \frac{d(x, y)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)[1+d(y, Ty)]} \forall x, y \in X \tag{1}$$

and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ ; Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.**

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $d$ - $q$  metric space  $(X, d)$  defined as under, For any  $x_0 \in X$ , we define  $T(x_0) = x_1,$

$$T(x_1) = x_2, T(x_2) = x_3, \dots, T(x_n) = x_{n+1} \dots \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2}$$

Consider  $n, n+1 \geq n_0$  where  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_n, Tx_n)d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}{[1+d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})][1+d(x_n, Tx_n)]} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}{1+d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})[1+d(x_n, Tx_n)]} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, Tx_n)}{1+d(x_{n-1}, x_n)[1+d(x_n, Tx_n)]} \\ &= \alpha \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{[1+d(x_{n-1}, x_n)][1+d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} + \beta \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1+d(x_{n-1}, x_n)[1+d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1+d(x_{n-1}, x_n)[1+d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) > d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow 1 > \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1+d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \\ &< \alpha \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1+d(x_n, Tx_{n+1})} + \beta d \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{[1+d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} + \gamma \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{[1+d(x_n, x_{n+1})]} \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha-\gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta = \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha-\gamma} \text{ where } 0 < \delta < 1$$

Therefore we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \delta d(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

Similarly, we have

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) < \delta d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}),$$

$$d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) < \delta d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}),$$

.....,

.....,

.....,

$$d(x_2, x_1) < \delta d(x_1, x_0),$$

Finally, we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \delta^n d(x_1, x_0),$$

$$\Rightarrow |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| < \delta^n |d(x_1, x_0)|$$

Since  $0 < \delta < 1$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty, \Rightarrow \delta^n \rightarrow 0$

Implies that

$$|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence, The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence in the complete dislocated quasi metric space  $(X, d)$ .

Thus the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a convergent sequence in dislocated quasi metric space  $(X, d)$  to the point  $x \in X$ .

$$\text{i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

Since  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous then we have

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x$$

$$\text{i.e. } T(x) = x$$

Thus  $T$  has a fixed point.

**For uniqueness :**

To prove  $T$  has unique fixed point we suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are any two common fixed point of  $T$

$$\text{i.e. } T(x) = x \text{ and } T(y) = y$$

Consider

$$d(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha \frac{d(y, Ty)d(x, Tx)}{[1+d(x, Tx)][1+d(y, Ty)]} + \beta \frac{d(x, y)d(x, Tx)}{1+d(x, Tx)[1+d(y, Ty)]} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x, y)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)[1+d(y, Ty)]} \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{d(y, y)d(x, x)}{[1+d(x, x)][1+d(y, y)]} + \beta \frac{d(x, y)d(x, x)}{1+d(x, x)[1+d(y, y)]} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x, y)d(y, y)}{1+d(x, y)[1+d(y, y)]} \end{aligned}$$

$d(x, y) \leq 0$  [  $\because x$  and  $y$  are any two common fixed point of  $T$ , i.e.  $T(x) = x$  and  $T(y) = y$  and  $d(x, x) = 0$  &  $d(y, y) = 0$  ] but  $d(x, y) \geq 0$

This implies that

$$d(x, y) = 0$$

i.e.  $x = y$ , this proves the uniqueness of fixed point of  $T$  in  $X$

This completes the proof of theorem 2.1

**Corollary 2.1** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $d$ - $q$  metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping, satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta \frac{d(x, y)d(x, Tx)}{1+d(x, Tx)[1+d(y, Ty)]} + \gamma \frac{d(x, y)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)[1+d(y, Ty)]}$$

$$\forall x, y \in X$$

and  $\beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \beta + \gamma < 1$ ; Then  $T$  has a unique Fixed point.

**Proof :** The proof of the corollary 2.1 follows immediately by putting  $\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 2.1

**Corollary 2.2** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $d$ - $q$  metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(y, Ty)d(x, Tx)}{[1+d(x, Tx)][1+d(y, Ty)]} + \gamma \frac{d(x, y)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)[1+d(y, Ty)]}$$

$$\forall x, y \in X$$

and  $\alpha > 0, \gamma > 0, \alpha + \gamma < 1$ ; Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof :** The proof of the corollary 2.2 follows immediately by putting  $\beta = 0$  in Theorem 2.1

**Corollary 2.3** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $d$ - $q$  metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping

Satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(y, Ty)d(x, Tx)}{[1+d(x, Tx)][1+d(y, Ty)]} + \beta \frac{d(x, y)d(x, Tx)}{1+d(x, Tx)[1+d(y, Ty)]}$$

$$\forall x, y \in X$$

and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ ; Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof :** The proof of the corollary 2.3 follows immediately by putting  $\gamma = 0$  in Theorem 2.1

**Corollary 2.4** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $d$ - $q$  metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous

mapping also  $T^n : X \rightarrow X$  is a continuous mapping, satisfying the following condition

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, T^n y) &\leq \alpha \frac{d(y, T^n y)d(x, T^n x)}{[1+d(x, T^n x)][1+d(y, T^n y)]} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x, y)d(x, T^n x)}{[1+d(x, T^n x)][1+d(y, T^n y)]} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x, y)d(y, T^n y)}{[1+d(x, y)][1+d(y, T^n y)]} \forall x, y \in X \end{aligned}$$

where  $n$  is an integer such that  $n > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ ; Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof :** From theorem 2.1,  $T^n$  has a unique fixed point  $x$  in complete  $d$ - $q$  metric space  $X$

$$\text{Therefore } T^n x = x$$

Now consider

$$T^n(Tx) = T(T^n x) = Tx$$

i.e. Tx is a fixed point of  $T^n$ . But x is a unique fixed point of  $T^n$  and so  $Tx = x$

Hence x is a fixed point of T.

**For uniqueness :**For uniqueness of fixed point let  $x \neq y$  be an another fixed point of T

Then

$$d(y, x) = d(Ty, Tx)$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{[1+d(y,Ty)][1+d(x,Tx)]} + \beta \frac{d(y,x)d(y,Ty)}{[1+d(y,Ty)][1+d(x,Tx)]} + \gamma \frac{d(y,x)d(x,Tx)}{[1+d(y,x)][1+d(x,Tx)]} \quad \forall x,y \in X$$

$$= \alpha \frac{d(x,x)d(y,y)}{[1+d(y,y)][1+d(x,x)]} + \beta \frac{d(y,x)d(y,y)}{[1+d(y,y)][1+d(x,x)]} + \gamma \frac{d(y,x)d(x,x)}{[1+d(y,x)][1+d(x,x)]}$$

i.e.  $d(y,x) \leq 0$  .But  $d(y,x) \geq 0$

This gives us

$$d(y,x) = 0$$

Which is possible iff  $x = y$  and so x is a unique fixed point

### III REFERENCES

[1] C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunke, “The Results on Fixed Point Theorem in Dislocated and Quasi-Metric Spaces”, Applied Mathematical Science, Vol.2, Issue.59 , pp.2941-2948, 2008.

[2] B. K. Dass and S. Gupta, “An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions”, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 6, Issue.12, pp. 1455–1458, 1975.

[3] P. Hitzler, A.K. Seda, “Dislocated Topologies”, Journal of Electrical Engineering, Vol.51, Issue.12, pp.3-7, 2000.

[4] A. Isufati, “Fixed Point Theorem in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Space”, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol.4, Issue.5, pp.217-223, 2010.

[5] M. Kohli, R. Sriravastava, M. Sharma, “ Some Results on Fixed Point Theorem in Dislocated Quasi Metric Space”, International Journal of Theoretical&AppliedSciences,Vol.2, Issue.1, pp.27-28, 2010.

[6] S.S. Pagey, R. Nighojkar, “A Fixed Point Theorem For Expansive Type Mapping in Dislocated Quasi Metric Space ”, International Journal of Theoretical & Applied Sciences,Vol.3, Issue.1, pp.26-27, 2011.

[7] B.E. Rhoades, “A Comparison of Various Definition of Contractive Mapping”, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.226, Issue.1, pp.257-290, 1977.

[8]R. Shrivastava, R. Gupta, “A Fixed Point Theorem for Random Operator in Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces ”, International Journal of Theoretical &Applied Sciences,Vol.3, Issue., pp.47-48 , 2011.

[9]R. Shrivastava, K. Qureshi, K. Rathore, “A Common Fixed Point Theorem For a Pair of Mapping in Dislocated Metric Space”, Advances in Applied Science Research, Vol.5, Issue.3, pp.417-419, 2014.

[10] F.M. Zeyada, G.H. Hassan, M.A. Ahmed, “A Generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces”, The Arabian Journal for Science Engineering., Vol.31, Issue.1, pp.111-114, 2006.

[11]M.U. Rahman, M. Sarwar, “Fixed Point Results in Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces”, International Mathematical Forum , Vol.9, Issue.14, pp.677-682, 2014.