

Some New Results, Structures and Operations on Soft Multigroup Theory

N.E. Onoyima^{1*10}, A.I. Isah²¹, Y. Tella³¹

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science/Faculty of Science, Nigeria Police Academy, Wudil, Kano, Nigeria ^{2,3}Department of Mathematics, Kaduna State University, Kaduna, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author: onoyimanichodemus@gmail.com

Received: 18/Feb/2024; Accepted: 20/Mar/2024; Published: 30/Apr/2024

Abstract— In this paper, we introduce the notion of commutators in soft mgroups, soft m-monoid, soft semi mgroup, soft mgroupoid, conjugate soft mgroups, and exemplify some important operations in soft mgroups. We show that the nth power of the union of two soft mgroups is the same as the union of nth power of each of the mgroups, that the root set of the union of two soft mgroups is closed under arithmetic power. We examine some algebraic properties of soft mgroups. We obtain some results on commutators in soft mgroups, normal soft mgroups and conjugate soft mgroups.

Keywords— soft sets, mgroups, soft msets, soft mgroups, regular soft mgroups, soft mmonoids, commutators in soft mgroup, soft normal submgroups, soft mgroupoids

1. Introduction

A mathematical theory called Soft Set was initiated by Molodtsov [1] to handle issues involving uncertainties and was later found applicable in many areas of mathematics and other sciences. It addressed the existing difficulties of previous theories of fuzzy sets [2], rough sets [3], intuitionistic fuzzy [4], and many others in dealing with uncertainties. Multiset which is seen to be of two folds and unified [5] is among the theories that played an important role in the development of Soft Sets as many researchers have combined the concept of soft set theory with other theories of set, multiset, and group to generate hybrid structures like fuzzy soft sets [6], soft group [7] and soft multigroup [8] among others.

Aktas and Cagman [7] first introduced the notion of a soft group and studied soft subgroups, normal soft subgroups, and soft homomorphisms. Jebalily et. al. [12], investigated some properties of algebraic substructures of soft fields and soft submodules and discussed the correlation coefficient between them. Feng et.al [13] pioneered the work on soft semirings, soft sub semirings, soft ideals, idealistic soft semirings, and soft semiring homomorphisms.

Nazmul and Samanta [8] initiated the study on soft multigroups (mgroups, for short), in which identity soft mgroups, absolute soft mgroups, soft abelian mgroups, and soft factor mgroups were defined and some of their important properties studied. This paper is a further attempt to broaden the theoretical aspects of soft mgroups. To further develop, the work of [8], we have developed more propositions on soft mgroups, expanded on the properties, characterizations, and exemplifications of some of the algebraic properties, and obtained some important results.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section II, we review the basic definitions of soft sets, Multisets, soft multisets, Soft groups, and Multigroups. In section III, we present novel formulations and propositions on soft mgroups and related structures. Also, more algebraic properties of soft mgroups are investigated. In IV, we give the conclusion.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present basic definitions of some basic concepts like of soft set, soft msets and mgroups.

Definition 2.1 Soft set [1]

Let U be an initial universe set, E a set of parameters, $A \subseteq E$ and P(U) be the power set of U. A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over U iff F: A \rightarrow P(U). Hence, we can write (F, A) as:

(F, A) = {F(e) \in P(U): e \in A, F(e) = Ø if e \notin A)}. For e \in A, F(e) may be considered as the set of e - approximate elements of the soft set (F, A). A soft set over U can be written as (F, A), FA or (FA, E) over U, where A \subseteq E.

Definition 2.2 Multiset [9]

A mset A drawn from the set X is represented by a count function $C_A(x)$ and is defined as $C_A: X \to \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} represents the set of natural numbers including zero.





Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$. The support set of M denoted by M^* is a subset of X given by

 $M^* = \{x \in X: C_M(x) > 0\}. M^*$ is also called root set. Let's denote the set of all multisets over X by $\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

Definition 2.3 Soft Multiset (Soft Mset) [10]

Let *X* be a universal mset, E a set of parameters and $A \subseteq E$. Let the set of all submsets of X be denoted by $P^*(X)$ and called the power set of X.

Then a pair (F, A) is called a soft mset over X where $F: A \rightarrow P^*(X)$. For all $\alpha \in A$, the mset $F(\alpha)$ is represented by a count function $C_{F(\alpha)}: X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Denote the set of all finite soft msets over X by $S\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

Definition 2.4 Soft Group [7]

Let G be a group and A be a non-empty set. Let (F, A) be a soft set over G. Then (F, A) is called a soft group over G if and only if $F(\alpha)$ is a subgroup of $G \forall \alpha \in A$, that is, For the soft set (F, A) over G, it is said that (F, A) is a soft group over G if and only if $F(\alpha) < G$ for all $\alpha \in A$. From the definition, it is easy to see that the soft group (F, A) is a parameterized family of subgroups of the group G.

Definition 2.5 Mgroup [11]

Let X be a group. A multiset M over X is said to be a mgroup over X *iff* the Count function C_M satisfies the following two conditions.

(i) $C_M(xy) \ge C_M(x) \land C_M(y) \forall x, y \in X;$ (ii) $C_M(x^{-1}) \ge C_M(x) \forall x \in X;$

Here we denote the set of all finite myroups over X by MG(X)

3. Soft Mgroup

Definition 3.1 Soft Mgroup [8]

Let $M \in MG(X)$ be a universal moroup and $A \subseteq E$ be a set of parameters. A soft mset (F, A) where F: $A \rightarrow P^*(M)$ is said to be a soft moroup over M if $F(\alpha)$ is a submoroup of M, $\forall \alpha \in A, i.e.$

If (i)
$$C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) \ge C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \wedge C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$$
 and
(ii) $C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}) \ge C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \forall \alpha \in A, \forall x, y \in X.$

We denote the set of all soft myroups over X by SMG(X).

Remark: 3.2 Consequently,

$$C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(x) = C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(x^{-1}) \text{ since} C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(x) = C_{F_{(\alpha)}}((x^{-1})^{-1}) \ge C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(x^{-1}).$$

Remark: 3.2.1 Following from (i), $C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(y) = C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(ey) \ge C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(e) \wedge C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$

Example 3.3

© 2024, IJSRMSS All Rights Reserved

 $Z_{5} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\} \text{ with respect to addition. Let M be a mgroup drawn from X, such that M = [0,1,2,3,4]_{3,2,2,2,2} and A = \{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\}.$ Let F: A $\rightarrow P^{*}(M)$ be defined by F(α_{1}) = [0,1,2,3,4]_{3,1,1,1,1}. F(α_{2}) = [0,1,2,3,4]_{2,1,1,1,1}. F(α_{3}) = [0,1,2,3,4]_{2,2,2,2,2}. Clearly, F(α_{i}), i = 1, 2, 3 are submgroups of M. Hence (*F*, *A*) is a soft mgroup over M.

Let the set X be a group of modulo 5 such that X =

Proposition 3.4: Let $M \in MG(X)$ be a universal mgroup and $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$. Then $C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(x^n) \ge C_{F_{(\alpha)}}(x) \ \forall x \in X \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$ Proof:

For $\forall \quad \alpha \in A$, and $\forall x, y \in X$ we have $C_{F(\alpha)}(x^n) = C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{n-1}.x).$ $\geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{n-1}) \wedge C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$ $\geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \wedge ... \wedge C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$ $= C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$ Therefore $C_{F(\alpha)}(x^n) \geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$

Proposition 3.5: Let $M \in MG(X)$ be a universal mgroup and $e \in X$ be the identity. Then for any (F, A) $\in SMG(X)$ such that $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy^{-1}) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e)$, we have $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = C_{F(\alpha)}(y) \forall x, y \in X$.

Proof:

Let
$$(F, A) \in SMG(X)$$
 and
 $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy^{-1}) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e) \forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A$,
then we have
 $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = C_{F(\alpha)}(xy^{-1}y) = C_{F(\alpha)}((xy^{-1})y)$
 $\geq C_{F(\alpha)}(xy^{-1}) \wedge C_{F(\alpha)}(y) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e) \wedge C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$
 $= C_{F(\alpha)}(y) i.e. C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \geq C_{F(\alpha)}(y) \dots \dots \dots (1)$
Also $C_{F(\alpha)}(y) = C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1}) = C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}xy^{-1})$
 $= C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}) \wedge C_{F(\alpha)}(xy^{-1})$
 $\geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \wedge C_{F(\alpha)}(e)$
 $= C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$
 $i.e. C_{F(\alpha)}(y) \geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \dots \dots (2)$
 $(1) \text{ And } (2) \Rightarrow$
 $C_{F(\alpha)}(y) = C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in A.$

Definition 3.6 Intersection of soft mgroups:

Let (F_1, A) , (F_2, B) be two soft moroups over X, then their intersection, denoted by $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, B) = (H, A \cap B)$ where

 $H(\alpha) = min\{F_1(\alpha), F_2(\alpha)\} \forall \alpha \in A \cap B.$

Theorem 3.7 The intersection of two soft mgroups is also a soft mgroup (Nazmul and Samanta (2015)) Alternative proof:

Let $(F_1, A), (F_2, B) \in SMG(X)$. Now let $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, B) = (H, A \cap B)$ Such that $H(\alpha) = F_1(\alpha) \cap F_2(\alpha)$ For any $\alpha \in A \cap B$ Clearly $F_1(\alpha)$ and $F_2(\alpha)$ are msubgroups of M. (by definition) and $F_1(\alpha) \cap F_2(\alpha)$ is a msubgroup of M thus $H(\alpha)$ is a msubgroup of M, $\forall \alpha \in A \cap B$. in particular, $(H, A \cap B)$ is a soft mgroup. Thus $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, B)$ is a soft mgroup.

Definition 3.8

Union of soft mgroups

Let (F_1, A) and (F_2, B) be two soft mgroups over X, then their union is denoted by $(F_1, A) \widetilde{U} (F_2, B)$ where: $(F_1, A) \widetilde{U} (F_2, B) = (H, A \cup B)$ defined by: $H(\alpha) = \max\{F_1(\alpha), F_2(\alpha)\}) \forall \alpha \in A \cup B$

Remark 3.9 The union of two or more soft mgroups may not be a soft mgroup. **Definition 3.10**

Root set of a soft mgroup

Let (F, A) \in *SMG*(*X*). The root set of (F, A) denoted by (F, A)^{*} is defined by

(F, A)* = (F^* , A) where $F^*: A \to P(X^*)$ given by $F^*(\alpha) = (F(\alpha))^*$.

Proposition 3.11 The root set of a soft mgroup is a soft group.

Proof:

Let $(F, A)^*$ be the root set of $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ $\Rightarrow F^*(\alpha) \in (F, A)^* \forall \alpha \in A$ But $F(\alpha)$ is a mgroup Let $x, y \in F(\alpha)^* \forall \alpha \in A$. $\Rightarrow C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) \ge C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$ and $C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1})$ $= C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$ Now $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy^{-1}) \ge C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1})$ and $C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1}) = C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$ But $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = 1 \forall x \in (F(\alpha))^*, \forall \alpha \in A$. $\Rightarrow xy^{-1} \in (F(\alpha))^*, \forall \alpha \in A$.

Therefore $(F, A)^*$ is a soft group.

Definition 3.12

Let (F, A) $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$ then (i) $((F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B))^* = (H, A \cup B)^* = (H^*, A \cup B)$

with $H^*(\alpha) = (H(\alpha))^* = ((F(\alpha))^* \cup ((G(\alpha))^*)$ $\forall \alpha \in A \cup B$ (ii) $((F, A) \cap (G, B))^* = (K, A \cap B)^* = (K^*, A \cap B)$ with $K^*(\alpha) = (K(\alpha))^* = ((F(\alpha))^* \cap ((G(\alpha))^*)$ $\forall \alpha \in A \cap B$ **Proposition 3.13** Let (F, A) (G, B) \in SMG(X). Then (i) $((F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B))^* = (F, A)^* \widetilde{U} (G, B)^*$ (ii) $((F, A) \cap (G, B))^* = (F, A)^* \cap (G, B)^*$ **Proof:** Let (F, A), $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$ and $(H(\alpha))^* \in ((F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B))^*$ \implies for each $x \in (H(\alpha))^*, \alpha \in A \cup B$ We have $x \in (F(\alpha))^*$, $\forall \alpha \in A$ or $x \in (G(\alpha))^*$, $\forall \alpha \in B$ $\implies (F(\alpha))^* \in (F, A)^* \text{ or } (G(\alpha))^* \in (G, B)^*$ $(F(\alpha))^* \widetilde{U}(G(\alpha))^* \in (F,A)^* \widetilde{U}(G,B)^*$ $(H(\alpha))^* \in (F,A)^* \cup (G,B)^*$ Thus, $((F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B))^* \subseteq (F, A)^* \widetilde{U} (G, B)^*$(1) Now let $y \in (F(\alpha))^* \widetilde{U}(G(\alpha))^*$ for any $\alpha \in A \cup B$ that is $y \in (F(\alpha))^*$ or $y \in (G(\alpha))^*$ $\implies y \in ((F, A) \widetilde{\cup} (G, B))^* =$ $(H (\alpha))^*$ $\forall \alpha \in A \cup B$ $(F, A)^* \widetilde{U} (G, B)^* \subseteq ((F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B))^*$(2) By (1) and (2) $((F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B))^* = (F, A)^* \widetilde{U} (G, B)^*$

ii) Let (F, A), $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$ and $(K(\alpha))^* \in ((F, A) \cap (G, B))^*$ \implies for each $y \in (K(\alpha))^*, \alpha \in A \cap B$ We have $y \in (F(\alpha))^*$, $\forall \alpha \in A$ and $y \in (G(\alpha))^*$, $\forall \alpha \in B$ \Rightarrow $(F(\alpha))^* \in (F, A)^*$ and $(G(\alpha))^* \in (G, B)^*$ $(F(\alpha))^* \cap (G(\alpha))^* \in (F,A)^* \cap (G,B)^*$ $(K(\alpha))^* \in (F,A)^* \cup (G,B)^*$ Thus, ((F, A) $\widetilde{\cap}$ (G, B))* \subseteq (F, A)* $\widetilde{\cap}$ (G,B)*.....(1) Now let $y \in (F(\alpha))^* \cap (G(\alpha))^*$ for any $\alpha \in A \cap B$ that is $y \in (F(\alpha))^*$ and $y \in (G(\alpha))^*$ $\implies y \in ((F, A) \cap (G, B))^* = (H(\alpha))^* \forall \alpha \in A \cap B$ $(F, A)^* \widetilde{\cap} (G, B)^* \subseteq ((F, A) \widetilde{\cap} (G, B))^*$(2) By (1) and (2) $((F, A) \widetilde{\cap} (G, B))^* = (F, A)^* \widetilde{\cap} (G, B)^*$

Proposition 3.14 Let (F, A) $(G,B) \in SMG(X)$ such that $((F, A) \cong (G, B)$ Then $(F, A)^* \cong (G, B)^*$ Proof: Let (F, A) $(G,B) \in SMG(X)$ and $((F, A) \cong (G, B)$ $(F, A) \cong (G, B)$ implies $A \subseteq B$

But $(F, A)^* = (F^*, A)$ where $F^*(\alpha) = (F(\alpha))^*$ (from definition) For any $x \in (F(\alpha))^* \quad \forall \alpha \in A$, we have $x \in (G(\alpha))^*$ since $A \subseteq B$ and $F(\alpha) \subseteq G(\alpha)$ Imply $(F(\alpha))^* \subseteq (G(\alpha))^* \forall \alpha \in B$ Thus $(F, A)^* \cong (G, B)^*$ Definition 3.15 Let (F, A), (G, B) $\in SMG(X)$. Then i) $(F, A) \widetilde{V} (G, B) = (H, A \times B)$ with $H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cup G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ $\forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$ ii) (F, A) $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ (G, B) = (K, $A \ge B$) with $K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cap G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ $\forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$ **Proposition 3.16** Let $(F, A), (G, B) \in SMG(X)$, then (i) $((F, A) \widetilde{V} (G, B))^* = (F, A)^* \widetilde{V} (G, B)^*$ (ii) $((F, A) \widetilde{\Lambda} (G, B))^* = (F, A)^* \widetilde{\Lambda} (G, B)^*$ Proof: (i) Let (F, A), $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$ $(F, A) \widetilde{V} (G, B) = (H, A \times B)$ with $H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \widetilde{U} G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ $\forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$ let $x \in ((F, A) \widetilde{V}(G, B))^*$ $\Rightarrow x \in (H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$ $\Rightarrow x \in (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \widetilde{\cup} (G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ i.e. $x \in (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ or $x \in (G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ $\Rightarrow (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \in (F, A)^*$ or $(G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \in (G, B)^* \forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$ $\Longrightarrow (F(\alpha_1,\alpha_2))^* \widetilde{U} (G(\alpha_1,\alpha_2))^* \in (F,A)^* \widetilde{U} (G,B)^*$ i.e. $(F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \widetilde{\cup} G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \in (F, A)^* \widetilde{\cup} (G, B)^*$ that is, $(H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \in (F, A)^* \widetilde{\vee} (G, B)^*$ Now let $y \in (F, A)^* \widetilde{\vee} (G, B)^*$ \Rightarrow y \in (F(α_1, α_2))* $\widetilde{\cup}$ (G(α_1, α_2))* $\forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$ i.e. $y \in (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ or $y \in (G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ since $(F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \in (F, A)^*$ and $(G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \in (G, B)^* \forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$ $\Rightarrow y \in ((F, A) \widetilde{U}(G, B))^*$ That is, $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{A})^* \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}$ (G, B)^{*} \subseteq ((F, A) $\widetilde{\mathsf{V}}$ (G, B))^{*} (2) By (1) and (2) equality is established.

(ii) Let (F, A), $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$ (F, A) $\Lambda(G, B) = (H, A \times B)$ where $H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cap G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ $\forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$ and $(H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \in ((F, A) \Lambda(G, B))^*$ for any $x \in (H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \forall (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A \times B$

we have $x \in (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ and $x \in (G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ $\Rightarrow (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^* \in (F, A)^*$ and $(G(\alpha_1,\alpha_2))^* \in (G,B)^* \forall (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A \times B$ \Rightarrow ($F(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$)* $\tilde{\land}$ ($G(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$)* $\in (F, A)^* \cap (G, B)^*$ That is $((F, A) \widetilde{\Lambda} (G, B))^* \subseteq (F, A)^* \widetilde{\cap} (G, B)^* \dots$ (1)Now let $y \in (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ and $y \in (G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))^*$ $\Rightarrow y \in ((F, A) \cap (G, B))^*$ That is, $(F, A)^* \widetilde{\Lambda} (G, B)^* \subseteq ((F, A) \widetilde{\vee} (G, B))^* \dots (2)$ By (1) and (2) equality is established. **Definition 3.17** Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$. Then raising to arithmetic power denoted by: $(F, A)^n$ is defined: $(F, A)^n = (F^n, A)$ where $F^n: A \longrightarrow P(X^n)$ Given by $F^{n}(\alpha) = (F(\alpha))^{n} (n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ **Proposition 3.18** Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$, then $(F, A)^n \in SMG(X)$. Proof: Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ For every $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $C_{F^{n}(\alpha)}(x) = C_{(F(\alpha))^{n}}$ $= (C_{F(\alpha)}(x))^n$ Since $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \forall \alpha \in A = (F, A) \in SMG(X)$ $(F, A)^n \in SMG(X).$ **Proposition 3.19** Let (F, A), $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$, then for $n \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ i. $((F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B))^n = (F, A)^n \widetilde{U} (G, B)^n$ ii. $((F, A) \cap (G, B))^n = (F, A)^n \cap (G, B)^n$ Proof: i. Let (F, A), $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$, and $n \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ for any $x \in X$ We have $C_{((F \widetilde{\cup} G)^n(\alpha)}(x)$ $=C_{((F\widetilde{\cup}G)(\alpha))}n(x)$

 $\alpha \in A \cup B \text{ (by definition)}$ But $C_{((F \widetilde{\cup} G)(\alpha))}(x) = \max \{C_{F(\alpha)}(x), C_{G(\alpha)}(x)\}$ Then $(C_{((F \widetilde{\cup} G)(\alpha)}(x))^n$ $= (\max \{C_{F(\alpha)}(x), C_{G(\alpha)}(x)\})^n$ $= \max \{(C_{F(\alpha)}(x))^n, (C_{G(\alpha)}(x))^n\}$ $= \max \{(C_{F^n}(\alpha)(x), C_{G^n}(\alpha)(x)\}$ $= (F, A)^n \widetilde{\cup} (G, B)^n$ Thus $((F, A) \widetilde{\cup} (G, B))^n = (F, A)^n \widetilde{\cup} (G, B)^n$ ii. Let $(F, A), (G, B) \in SMG(X)$, and $n \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$

 $= (C_{((F \widetilde{\cup} G)(\alpha)}(x))^n \text{ for } \forall x \in X \text{ and}$

We have $C_{((F \cap G)^n(\alpha)}(x)$ $C_{((F \cap G)(\alpha))^n}(x) = (C_{((F \cap G)(\alpha)}(x))^n$ $\forall x \in X$ and $\alpha \in A \cap B$ (by definition.) But $C_{(F \cap G)(\alpha)}(x) = \min\{C_{F(\alpha)}(x), C_{G(\alpha)}(x)\}$ Then $(C_{((E \cap G)(\alpha)}(x))^n$ $= (\min \{C_{F(\alpha)}(x), C_{G(\alpha)}(x)\})^n$ $= \min \{ (C_{F(\alpha)}(x))^n, (C_{G(\alpha)}(x))^n \}$ $= \min \left\{ (C_{(F(\alpha))}^{n}(x), (C_{(G(\alpha))}^{n}(x)) \right\}$ $= \min \{ (C_{F^{n}(\alpha)}(x), (C_{G^{n}(\alpha)}(x)) \}$ $= (F, A)^n \widetilde{\cap} (G, B)^n$ Thus ((F, A) $\widetilde{\cap}$ (G, B))ⁿ = $(F, A)^n \widetilde{\cap}$ (G, B)ⁿ **Proposition 3.20** Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$. Then for $n \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ $(F, A) \cong (G, B) \Longrightarrow (F, A)^n \cong ((G, B)^n.$ Proof: Let (F, A), $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$ Such that (F, A) $\cong (G, B)$ This imply for any $\alpha \in A \implies \alpha \in B$ and $F(\alpha) \leq G(\alpha)$ Now for any $x \in X$. $C_{F^n(\alpha)}(x) = (C_{F(\alpha)}(x))^n$ and $C_{\mathcal{G}^n(\alpha)}(x) = (C_{\mathcal{G}(\alpha)}(x))^n$ Since $F(\alpha) \leq G(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in A$, we have $C_{F^{n}(\alpha)}(x) \leq C_{G^{n}(\alpha)}(x)$ i.e., $(C_{F(\alpha)}(x))^n$ $\leq (C_{G(\alpha)}(x))^n \forall x \in X and \alpha \in B.$ hence $(F, A)^n \cong ((G, B)^n$. **Definition 3.21** Let $(F, A), (G, B) \in SMG(X)$. Then an arithmetic multiplication denoted by $(F, A) \bigcirc (G, B)$ is given by (F, A) $\widetilde{O}(G, B) = (H, C)$ where $C = A \cap B$ and $H(\alpha) = F(\alpha) \odot G(\alpha) \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ where \odot is the mset arithmetic multiplication. **Proposition 3.22** Let (F, A), $(G, B) \in SMG(X)$. Then $(F, A) \bigcirc (G, B) \in SMG(X).$ Proof: Let $(F, A), (G, B) \in SMG(X)$. $(F, A) \ \overline{\bigcirc} (G, B) = (H, A \cap B)$ (from definition) such that $H(\alpha) = F(\alpha) \odot G(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in A \cap B$ Clearly $F(\alpha)$ and $G(\alpha)$ are msubgroups of M(by definition) And $F(\alpha) \odot G(\alpha)$ is a msubgroup of M thus $H(\alpha)$ is a msubgroup of M. in particular, (H, $A \cap B$) is a soft mgroup. Thus $(F, A) \widetilde{O} (G, B)$ is a soft mgroup. **Definition 3.23** Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the scalar multiplication denoted k(F, A) is given by k(F, A) = (H, A) where $H(\alpha) = kF(\alpha)$.

Proposition 3.24 Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ then $k(F, A) \in SMG(X)$. Proof: Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ We need to show that $k(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ For any $\alpha \in A$ and $x \in X$ with $k = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ We have $C_{kF(\alpha)}(x) = k \cdot C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$ k. $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = kF(\alpha)$ $= H(\alpha)$ (but $H(\alpha)$ is a msubgroup of M) Hence $k(F, A) \in SMG(X)$. **Definition 3.25 Comparable soft mgroups** Let $(F_1, A_1), (F_2, A_2) \in SMG(X)$, then $(F_1, A_1), (F_2, A_2)$ A_2) are said to be comparable if $(F_1, A_1) \subseteq (F_2, A_2) \text{ or } (F_2, A_2) \subseteq (F_1, A_1) \forall x \in X$ and $\alpha \in E$. **Definition 3.26 Regular soft mgroup** A soft mgroup (F, A) is said to be regular if $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = C_{F(\alpha)}(y) \forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A.$ **Proposition 3.27** Let (F, A), (G, B) $\in SMG(X)$ such that (F, A), (G, B) are regular. Then; (i) $(F, A) \widetilde{U}(G, B)$

- (ii) (F, A) $\widetilde{\cap}$ (G, B)
- are regular.

Proof:

(i) Let (F, A), (G, B) $\in SMG(X)$ and (F, A), (G, B) be regular. Now (F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B) = (H, C) where H(α) = F(α) \widetilde{U} G(α) and C = A \cup B

For any $\alpha \in A \cup B$, clearly $F(\alpha)$ and $G(\alpha)$ are regular msubgroups (by definition)

thus $H(\alpha)$ is a regular msubgroup of M.

in particular, (H, A $\bigcup B$) is a regular soft moroup.

- Thus $(F, A) \widetilde{U} (G, B)$ is regular.
 - (ii) Let (F, A), (G, B) $\in SMG(X)$ and (F, A), (G, B) be regular.

Now $(F, A) \cap (G, B) = (H, C)$ where

 $H(\alpha) = F(\alpha) \cap G(\alpha)$ and $C = A \cap B$

For any $\alpha \in A \cap B$, clearly $F(\alpha)$ and $G(\alpha)$ are regular msubgroups (by definition)

thus $H(\alpha)$ is a regular msubgroup of M.

in particular, $(H, A \cap B)$ is a regular soft myroup.

Thus $(F, A) \cap (G, B)$ is regular.

Proposition 3.28

Let (F, A) \in SMG(X) such that (F, A) is regular. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a scalar k,

(i) $(F, A)^n$ (ii) k(F, A)are regular. Proof: (i) Let (F, A) \in SMG(X) such that (F, A) is regular For any $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}$ $C_{F^{n}(\alpha)}(x)$ $= C_{(F(\alpha))^{n}}(x)$ $= (C_{F(\alpha)}(x))^{n}$ Since $\forall x \in X$ and $\alpha \in A$, $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = (F, A) \in SMG(X)$ is regular ($C_{F(\alpha)}(x))^{n} = (F, A)^{n} \in SMG(X)$ is regular. (i) Let (F, A) $\in SMG(X)$ such that (F, A) is regular For any $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in A, k \in \mathbb{N}$ $C_{kF(\alpha)}(x) = k. C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$ But $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = (F, A)$ is regular. Thus k. $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = k(F, A)$ is regular. Example 3.29

Let $X = \{e, a, b, c\}$ be the Klein's 4-group and $M = [e, a, b, c]_{2,2,2,2}$ be a mgroup over X. Suppose (F, A) is a soft mgroup, with $E = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ a set of parameters such that $A = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ with $F(\alpha_1) = [e, a, b, c]_{1,1,1,1}$ and $F(\alpha_2) = [e, a, b, c]_{1,1,1,1,1}$. Then (F, A) is a regular soft mgroup.

Definition 3.30 Count of an element in a soft mgroup

Let SMG(X) be the set of all soft more groups of a group X. The count of an element in

 $(F,A) \in SMG(X)$ is the number of occurrences of its object. The order of (F, A) is the sum of the count of each of its elements and is given by:

 $|(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{A})| = \sum C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \forall x \in X \text{ and } \forall \alpha \in A.$

Definition 3. 31

Absolute soft mgroup

Let (F, A) be a soft mgroup over M, the soft mgroup (F, A) is called absolute over M if

 $F(\alpha) = M \forall \alpha \in A.$

Definition 3.32

Commutator in a soft mgroup

Let $(F_1, A_1), (F_2, A_2) \in SMG(X)$, the commutator soft mgroup of (F_1, A_1) and (F_2, A_2) is the soft mgroup generated by the commutator

 $[(F_1, A_1), (F_2, A_2)] = \{F_1(\alpha), F_2(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in E\}$ = $[x, y] \forall x \in F_1(\alpha) \text{ and } y \in F_2(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in E\}$ with $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$.

Proposition 3.33 Suppose $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ then $C_{F(\alpha)}([x,y]) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e)$ iff (F, A) is Abelian. Proof:

Assume $C_{F(\alpha)}([x,y]) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e)$ where e is the identity element in (F, A)

Then
$$C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e) \forall \alpha \in A$$

 $\Rightarrow C_{F(\alpha)}((yx)^{-1}xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e) \forall \alpha \in A$
 $\Rightarrow C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(yx) \forall \alpha \in A$

Therefore, (F, A) is abelian. Conversely, let (F, A) be abelian $\Rightarrow \forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A$ we have $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(yx)$ $\Rightarrow C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1}xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1}yx)$ $\Rightarrow C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1}xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$ $\Rightarrow C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}x)$ $\Rightarrow C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e)$ $\Rightarrow C_{F(\alpha)}([x, y]) = C_{F(\alpha)}(e)$ **Proposition 3.34** Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ be abelian. Then $C_{F(\alpha)}[y,x]^{-1} = C_{F(\alpha)}[y,x]$ $\forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A$ Proof. Suppose (F, A) is abelian, then we get $C_{F(\alpha)}([x,y]^{-1}) \ge C_{F(\alpha)}([x,y])$ $=C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy)$ $= C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1}x^{-1}yx)$ $=C_{F(\alpha)}([y,x])$ $\forall x,y \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in A$ Similarly, $C_{F(\alpha)}([y,x]) = C_{F(\alpha)}([y,x]^{-1})^{-1}$ $\geq C_{F(\alpha)}([y,x]^{-1})$ $= C_{F(\alpha)}((y^{-1}x^{-1}yx)^{-1})$ $=C_{F(\alpha)}((x^{-1}y^{-1}xy)^{-1})$ $=C_{F(\alpha)}([x,y]^{-1})$ (1) And (2) implies $C_{F(\alpha)}([y,x]) = C_{F(\alpha)}([x,y]^{-1}).$

Definition 3.35 Normal soft mgroup

Let (F_1, A_1) be a soft moroup over M, and (F_2, A_2) be a soft submoroup of (F_1, A_1) , then we say that (F_2, A_2) is a normal soft submoroup of (F_1, A_1) written as

 $(F_2, A_2) \stackrel{\widehat{\triangleleft}}{\to} (F_1, A_1).$ If $C_{F_2(\alpha)}(xyx^{-1})$ $\geq C_{F_2(\alpha)}(y) \forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in E.$

Proposition 3.36 Let (F_1, A_1) and (F_2, A_2) be soft mgroups such that (F_1, A_1) is a soft submgroup of (F_2, A_2) . Then (F_1, A_1) is a normal soft submgroup of (F_2, A_2) iff

(i) $C_{F_1(\alpha)}([x,y]) \ge C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x) \forall x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2$. (ii) $C_{F_1(\alpha)}([x,y]) = C_{F_1(\alpha)}(e) \forall x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2$, where *e* is the identity in X Proof (i) (\Rightarrow) Suppose (F_1, A_1) is a normal submgroup of (F_2, A_2) .

Let $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in A_1$, then

 $C_{F_{*}(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) = C_{F_{*}(\alpha)}(x^{-1})(y^{-1}xy)$ $\geq C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x^{-1}) \wedge C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y^{-1}xy)$ $= C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x) \wedge C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x) = C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x)$ Hence $C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) \ge C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x)$ That is $C_{F_1(\alpha)}([x,y]) \ge C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x)$ (1) (\Leftarrow) Suppose $C_{F_{\epsilon}(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) \ge C_{F_{\epsilon}(\alpha)}(x)$ then $\forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in A_1$, we have $C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x^{-1}yx)$ $= C_{F_{1}(\alpha)}(yy^{-1}x^{-1}yx)$ $= C_{F_{r}(\alpha)}((y)y^{-1}x^{-1}yx)$ $\geq C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y) \wedge C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y^{-1}x^{-1}yx)$ $= C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y) \wedge C_{F_1(\alpha)}[(y,x]) = C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y)$ Thus $C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x^{-1}yx) \ge C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y)$ $\forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in A_1$ Hence (F_1, A_1) is a normal soft submgroup of (F_2, A_2) . Suppose $x, y \in X$, $\alpha \in A_1$, and (F_1, A_1) is a (ii) normal soft submgroup of (F_2, A_2) .

Now we know that (F_1, A_1) is a normal soft submgroup of (F_2, A_2)

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow C_{F_1(\alpha)}(xy) &= C_{F_1(\alpha)}(yx) \forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}x) &= C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y^{-1}) \\ \forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xyy^{-1}) &= C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y^{-1}) \\ \forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow C_{F_1(\alpha)}([x, y]y^{-1}) &= C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y^{-1}) \\ \forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A_1 \\ Thus \ C_{F_1(\alpha)}([x, y]) &= C_{F_1(\alpha)}(e) \\ \forall x, y \in X, \alpha \in A_1 where \ e \ is the \ identity \ in X. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.37

Conjugate element in a soft mgroup and Conjugate soft mgroup

Let $(F, A) \in SMG(X)$ and $x, y \in X$, then x and y are called conjugate elements in (F, A) if for some $z \in X$ and $\alpha \in E$, $C_{F(\alpha)}(x) = C_{F(\alpha)}(zyz^{-1})$.

Two soft mgroups (F_1, A_1) , $(F_2, A_2) \in SMG(X)$ are conjugate to each other if

 $\forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in E , \\ C_{F_1(\alpha)}(y) = C_{F_2(\alpha)}(xyx^{-1}) \\ and \quad (C_{F_1(\alpha)}(x) = C_{F_2(\alpha)}(yxy^{-1}).$

Definition 3.38

Soft Abelian mgroup [8]

A soft mgroup (F, A) over a mgroup M of a group X is called soft abelian mgroup if $F(\alpha)$ is an abelian submgroup of M, i.e. $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}(yx) \forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in A$ **Remark 3.39** Let (F_1, A_1) be a normal soft moroup over M. Then (F_1, A_1) is an abelian soft moroup.

Proposition 3.40 Every soft submgroup (F_2, A_2) of an abelian soft mgroup (F_1, A_1) over M is normal. **Proof**

Since (F_1, A_1) is soft abelian mgroup, $F_1(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A_1$ is an abelian submgroup of M and each $F_2(\alpha)$ is a subgroup of $F_1(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A_1$.

It is well known that a subgroup of an abelian group is a normal subgroup which can be extended since every mgroup is a group, even though the converse is not true. This implies that (F_2, A_2) is a normal soft mgroup.

Definition 3.41

Soft mgroupoid

Definition: Let X be a group and M a moroup over X. Let $A \subseteq E$ be a set of parameters. A soft mset (F, A) over M is called a soft moroupoid over M iff $\forall x, y \in M$,

 $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) \ge C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y) \forall \alpha \in A.$

Proposition 3.42

A soft mgroupoid (F, A) over a mgroup M, is a soft mgroup iff $C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}) = C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$

 $\forall x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in A.$

Proof:

(⇒) Assume (F, A) is a soft mgroup, it follows that, $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy)$

 $\geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$ and $C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}) = C_{F(\alpha)}(x)) \forall x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in A$. (\Leftarrow) Suppose $C_{F(\alpha)}(x^{-1}) = C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \forall x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in A$ It remains to show that $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) \geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$ Now $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) = C_{F(\alpha)}[x(y^{-1})^{-1}]$ $\geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1})^{-1})$ $= C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y^{-1})$ $= C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$ Hence $C_{F(\alpha)}(xy) \geq C_{F(\alpha)}(x) \land C_{F(\alpha)}(y)$

Therefore, (F, A) is a soft myroup over M.

Definition 3.43

Soft semimgroup

A soft mset (F, A) over M is called a soft semimgroup over M iff $C_{F(\alpha)}(xyz) = C_{F(\alpha)}(yxz) \quad \forall x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in A.$

Definition 3.44

Soft multimonoid (mmonoid)

A soft mset (F, A) over M is a soft multimonoid (mmonoid) over M, if it is a soft semimgroup of M and it satisfies $C_{F(\alpha)}(e) \ge C_{F(\alpha)}(x)$, $\forall x \in M$, and $\alpha \in A$ where e is the identity element in M.

4. Conclusion and Future Scope

In this paper, we have initiated some new results on the structures and Operations on Soft Multigroups. Some of the algebraic properties of soft mgroups were explicated. To extend the study of soft mgroups which was initiated by Nazmul and Samanta [8], we have introduced novel concepts such as commutators in soft mgroups, soft m-monoid, soft semi mgroup, soft mgroupoid, conjugate soft mgroups, and others and exemplified some important properties and operations in soft mgroups. We obtained many results, established some relationships, and extended some properties in soft mgroup theory. The foundations which we have established here can be used to gain insight into the higherorder structures of group theory. One can also further the study on soft mgroups by taking on: The cosets in soft mgroups, Product and the restricted product of soft mgroups, or considering several hybrid structures like Soft fuzzy mgroups and soft vague mgroups among others.

The theory of soft mgroups can be very useful in many areas such as structural Analysis, risk management, decision making, and cryptography.

Data Availability

Available on request.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper

Funding Source

None

Authors' Contributions

Author -1 researched the literature, conceived the study, developed most of the propositions, and wrote the future scope. Author-2 was the lead supervisor who made corrections and put forward suggestions in most of the proofs, and the structure of the literature. Author-3 suggested the propositions and supervised the proofs on the algebraic properties of soft mgroups, he also suggested the conclusion. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

The authors are immensely grateful to the reviewers for their invaluable suggestions which have contributed in no small way to improving the quality of this paper. We also appreciate the editor for his direction.

References

- D. A. Molodtsov, "Soft set Theory, First Results" An international Journal of Computers and Mathematics with applications, Vol.37, pp.19-31, 1999.
- [2] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets". *Information and Control*, Vol.8, Issue 3, pp.**338-353**, **1965**.
- [3] Z. Pawlak, "Rough Sets." International Journal of Computer and Information Sciences, Vol.11, Issue.5, pp. 341-356, 1982.
- [4] K. T, Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets" Fuzzy Sets and Systems,

Vol. 20, Issue.1, pp.87-96, 1986.

- [5] D. Singh and A. I. Isah, "Mathematics of multisets: a unified approach", Afrika Matematika, Springer, Vol.27 pp.1139–1146, 2016.
- [6] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, A. R. Roy "Fuzzy soft sets". J. Fuzzy Math., Vol.9, pp.589–602, 2001.
- [7] H. Aktas, and N. Cagman, "Soft sets and soft groups". Information Sciences, Vol.177, pp.2726–2735, 2007.
- [8] S.K. Nazmul, S.K. Samanta "On soft mgroups" Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, pp.1-20, 2015.
- [9] D. Knuth "The Art of Computer Programming" Volume 2, Seminumerical Algorithms, Second edition, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1981.
- [10] I. Osmanoglu, and D. Tokat, "Compact Soft Multi Spaces", *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol.7, Issue 1 pp. 97-108, 2014.
- [11] S.K. Nazmul, P. Majunder, and S.K. Samanta. "On multisets and Multigroups". Annal Fuzzy Maths. Inform, Vol.6, Issue.3, pp.643 - 656, 2013.
- [12] J.Regala Jebalily, G. Subbiah, V. Nagarajan "Algebraic Structures of Soft Sub Fields in View of Fuzzy Soft Environment" Int. J. Sci. Res. in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences Vol.6 Issue.5, pp.2348-4519, 2019.
- [13] F. Feng, C.X. Li, B. Davvaz, M. Irfan Ali, "Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: a tentative approach" *Soft Comput.* Vol.14, pp.899–911, 2010.

AUTHORS PROFILE

Nichodemus Emeka Onoyima obtained his B.Sc. in Mathematics from the University of Nigeria Nsukka, in 2007, M.Sc in Mathematics from Bayero University, Kano in 2015, and presently he is a research student (Ph.D.) in Mathematics at Kaduna State University, Kaduna, Nigeria. He is currently working



as a lecturer in the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nigeria Police Academy, Wudil, Kano State. He is a member of the Nigeria Mathematical Society (NMS). He has published 4 research papers in reputed journals all of which are available online. His main research work focuses on soft Multigroup theory and Applications. He has over 10 years of teaching in different institutions before coming to the Nigeria Police Academy.

Ahmed Ibrahim Isah earned his BSc., MSc. and Ph.D. in Mathematics from Ahmadu Bello University Zaria-Nigeria in 1998, 2012, and 2015, respectively. He has been working in the Department of Mathematical Sciences, Kaduna State University, Kaduna-Nigeria since 2017, currently an Associate Professor. He is a



Life member of the Mathematical Association of Nigeria. He has published more than 30 research papers in reputed international and national journals including (Springer Journal) and conferences, including the International Congress of Mathematicians (ICM). His main research work focuses on Non-classical set theories and their algebra (multisets, fuzzy sets, soft sets, etc.) Yohanna Tella earned his B.Sc, M.Sc, and PhD in Mathematics from Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria, in 1992, 2002 and 2009, respectively. He is currently working as a Professor in the Department of Mathematical Science, Kaduna State University, Kaduna since 2015. He is a member of Nigeria



Mathematical Society (NMS), Mathematical Association of Nigeria (MAN), American Mathematical Society (AMS), and London Mathematical Society since 1997, 1999, 2022, and 2023 respectively. He has published more than 50 research papers in reputed international journals all of which are available online. His main research work focuses on Multiset theory and orderings as applied to program termination and term rewriting systems in computer science. He has over 18 years of teaching and research experience.