



## Influence of Rhizospheric Bacteria on Growth and Metal Uptake of Heavy Metal Accumulating Plants-A Review

Thanusree Mallakuntla Ramesh<sup>1</sup>, Hanumanth Kumar Gurajala<sup>2</sup>, Rupadevi Mopati<sup>3</sup>, Esther Lebonah Dulla<sup>4</sup>, Pramodakumari Jasti<sup>5\*</sup>

<sup>1,3,5</sup>Department of Microbiology, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati-517502, AP, India

<sup>2,4</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati-517502, AP, India

\*Correspondance: [Pramodakumarij@gmail.com](mailto:Pramodakumarij@gmail.com)

Available online at: [www.isroset.org](http://www.isroset.org)

Received: 05/Dec/2019, Accepted: 20/Dec/2019, Online: 31/Dec/2019

**Abstract-** Rhizosphere microorganisms harbour the plant accumulators and contribute to the metal extraction process. Out of bacteria and fungi, bacteria were more tolerant to heavy metals than fungi. A variety of bacteria growing in metalliferous soils helps to accumulate metals in plants in their harvestable parts and have the potential to be used for remediation of heavy metal polluted lands called plant-assisted bioremediation. The present review focuson examining the current concepts and published data on the role of rhizosphere processes, heavy metal pollution, degradation,role of rhizodeposits, plant hormones, plant secondary metabolites, siderophores and carboxylic acids and conclude with major controls that may be used for their management in plant-assisted bioremediation.

**Keywords:** Rhizosphere microorganisms, metal extraction, remediation, polluted lands, bioremediation.

### I. INTRODUCTION

#### Heavy metal pollution

Human industry, farming, and waste disposal practices have resulted in the large-scale contamination of soil and water with organic compounds and heavy metals, with detrimental effects on ecosystems and human health[1]. Industries bearing heavy metals, such as Pb, Zn, Cr, Cd, Cu, As, and Ni, are a major concern because they are well known to produce a long-term negative impact on soil and water in the surrounding environment [2-3].

#### Degradation

Conventional methods like chemical precipitation, chemical oxidation or reduction, ion exchange, membrane filtration, electrochemical treatment, reverse osmosis, and evaporation are expensive. Nowadays based on microorganisms new methods like Phytoremediation, Phytodegradation, Rhizodegradation, Biodegradation and Bioaugmentation are used because they are cheaper and more effective technologies in order to decrease the amount of industrial waste.

Many microbial species have been reported as Metal and PAH degraders, but their activity has mainly been measured under controlled conditions like pure culture and batch experiments. Few reports have considered their activity in soil and in the rhizosphere [4-5]

#### Phytoremediation

"Phytoremediation" know-how to do-how is rapidly expanding and is being commercialized by harnessing the phyto-microbial diversity. This technology employs biodiversity to remove/contain pollutants from the air, soil and water [6]. This is an elegant and low-cost approach for the decontamination of polluted sites and has been greeted with a high degree of public acceptance, therefore prompting research into the use of phytoremediation technology to address the large areas of land and water currently affected [7- 9].

**Phytodegradation**

Phytodegradation, also called phyto-transformation, is the breakdown of contaminants taken up by plants through metabolic processes within the plant, or the breakdown of contaminants surrounding the plant through the effect of compounds (such as enzymes) produced by the plants [10]. Complex organic pollutants are degraded into simpler molecules and are incorporated into the plant tissues (<http://www.unep.or.jp/Ietc/Publications/Freshwater/FMS2/2.asp>)

The phytodegradation of organic compounds can take place inside the plant or within the rhizosphere of the plant. Many different compounds and classes of compounds can be removed from the environment by this method, including solvents in groundwater, petroleum and aromatic compounds in soils, and volatile compounds in the air [11].

**Rhizodegradation**

Rhizodegradation, also called phyto-stimulation or plant-assisted bioremediation/degradation, is the breakdown of contaminants in the rhizosphere (soil surrounding the roots of plants) through microbial activity that is enhanced by the presence of plant roots and is a much slower process than phytodegradation (<http://www.unep.or.jp/Ietc/Publications/Freshwater/FMS2/2.asp>). The mechanistic interactions between plants and microbial degradation processes are poorly known.

**Biodegradation and Bioaugmentation**

Biodegradation is the mineralization of materials as a result of the action of naturally-occurring microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi [12].

Bioaugmentation using a pure culture or a microbial consortium can be an effective and low-cost remediation approach to clean up pesticides in contaminated soil and thus reduce the transportation from soil to surface water and groundwater [13-18]. Although a lot of remediation techniques are available, biodegradation still holds a variety of advantages like low cost, few or no by-products, reusability, ecosystem friendly and so on [19].

**II. RHIZOSPHERE MICRO-ORGANISMS**

Rhizosphere micro-organisms involve in element uptake activity of the root, the release of compounds that can modify the pH (protons, bicarbonates, organic acids etc) or the exudation of organic ligands (organic acids and phytosiderophores). These processes, which vary with plant species, plant nutritional status and soil conditions, can modify the solubility and the availability of soil major and trace elements.

**III. SYMBIOSIS**

Pollutant bioavailability in the rhizosphere of phytoremediation crops is decisive for designing phytoremediation technologies with improved, predictable remedial success [20]. Plants can also improve the physical and chemical properties of contaminated soil, and increase contact between the root-associated microorganisms and the soil contaminants. Certain symbiotic relationships between legumes and nitrogen-fixing bacteria are resistant to heavy metals, promoting the dissipation of organic pollutants and enhancing their removal [21-23]. Rhizobia not only fix nitrogen but also promote plant growth, thus increasing plant biomass, soil fertility, the bioavailability, uptake and translocation of pollutants, the degradation of organic contaminants and the phytostabilization of metals [24].

**IV. RHIZODEPOSITS ROLE IN MICROBES**

Rhizodeposits not only provide a nutrient-rich habitat for microorganisms but can potentially enhance biodegradation in different ways: they may facilitate the co-metabolic transformation of pollutants with similar structures, induce genes encoding enzymes involved in the degradation process, increase contaminant bioavailability (surfactant activity), and/or selectively increase the number and activity of pollutant degraders in the rhizosphere [25].

**V. ROLE OF PLANT HORMONES IN SUPPORT TO RHIZOSPHERIC MICROBES**

Plant growth in hostile metal polluted environments may be supported by Fe supply from bacterial siderophores by bacterial production of auxin hormones such as indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) in the rhizosphere, and by bacterial 1-amino-cyclopropane-1-

carboxylic acid deaminase (ACC deaminase) activity. ACC deaminase inhibits stress ethylene synthesis and was shown to mitigate stress caused by the presence of heavy metals in plant tissues[26].

## VI. ROLE OF TERPENS AND PHENOLSIN SUPPORT TO RHIZOSPHERIC MICROBES

Terpenes (such as cymene,  $\alpha$ -pyrene and  $\alpha$ -terpinene) and phenolics (such as salicylate) have been shown to induce biphenyl dioxygenase in PCB-degrading bacteria[27]. While phenolic compounds such as naringen, coumarin or catechin, released by roots of certain plants have been shown to support the growth of rhizospheric PCB-degrading bacteria [28].

**Table 1. Examples of bacteria which are helpful for plant metal uptake**

S.No	Name of the bacteria	Name of the plant	metal	Reference
1	<i>Pseudomonas sp. BE3dil [AY263472]</i>	<i>Salix caprea</i>	Zn, Cd, Pb	[29]
2	<i>Janthinobacteriumlividum [AF174648]</i>	<i>Salix caprea</i>	Zn, Cd, Pb	[29]
3	<i>Flavobacteriumfrigidimaris [AB183888]</i>	<i>Salix caprea</i>	Zn, Cd, Pb	[29]
4	<i>Streptomyces sp. 10-6 [AB222069]</i>	<i>Salix caprea</i>	Zn, Cd, Pb	[29]
5	<i>Agromycessterreus DS-10 UMS-101 [EF363711]</i>	<i>Salix caprea</i>	Zn, Cd, Pb	[29]
6	<i>Serratiamarcescens [AB061685]</i>	<i>Salix caprea</i>	Zn, Cd, Pb	[29]
7	<i>Azotobacterchroococcum HKN5</i>	<i>Brassica napus</i>	Cd	[10]
8	<i>Azotobacterchroococcum HKN5, Bacillus megaterium HKP-1, K-solubilising Bacillus mucilaginosus HKK-1</i>	<i>Brassica juncea</i>	Zn and Pb	[10]
10	<i>Streptomyces sp. strains A5 and M7</i>	<i>Zea mays, Salix caprea</i>	Ni	[30]

*Pseudomonas*, *Janthinobacteriumlividum*, *Flavobacteriumfrigidimaris*, *Agromycessterreus*, *Serratiamarcescens*, *Azotobacterchroococcum*, *Bacillus megaterium* have the capability to accumulate Cd, Zn and Pb in *Salix caprea*, *Brassica napus* and *Zea mays*[30-31]. *Streptomyces sp. BN2, BN3* strain shown high resistance level to Pb ( $0.55 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ ) ( $26.37 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ ). Strains of *Streptomyces* may be well suited for soil inoculation as a consequence of their mycelial growth habit, relatively rapid rates of growth, colonization of semi-selective substrates, and their ability to be genetically manipulated [32]. Hyperaccumulator species like *Stanleyapinnata* (Brassicaceae) and *Astragalusbisulcatus* (Fabaceae), and the related non-accumulators *Physariabellis* (Brassicaceae) and *Medicagosativa* (Fabaceae), revealed that isolates from Se hyperaccumulator species were more resistant to selenate and selenite, could reduce selenite to elemental Se, could reduce nitrite and produce siderophores, and several strains also showed the ability to promote plant growth (Jong et al., 2015). Microorganisms with high Se tolerance and the ability to produce elemental Se would be useful for wastewater treatment and/or the production of Se nanoparticles [33].

Apart from metals *Streptomyces sp. A5* showed maximum biomass and the highest pesticide removal in REs-lindane assay a successful strategy for the remediation of lindane-contaminated environments. *Ralstoniaeutropha*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Enterobacter cloacae* obtained by enrichment on phorate could degrade it up to 73.3% in aqueous medium and 55.4% in a sandy loam soil according to [9] in *B. juncea*. Biodegradation of p-hydroxybenzoic acid in soil by *Pseudomonas putida CSY-P1* up to  $8.3 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ . These potential strains in combination with plants may be useful for phytoremediation and rhizoremediation of such sites.

## VII. ROLE OF SIDEROPHORES AND CARBOXYLIC ACID ANIONS IN METAL UPTAKE

Siderophore "iron carriers" are iron-chelating secondary metabolites, which various organisms release under iron-limiting conditions. Five hundred different bacterial siderophores have been described, most commonly called bacterial secondary metabolites[30](30). All siderophores possess higher affinity for Fe (III) than for Fe(II) or any other trace element ion. However, complexes of lower stability are also formed with other trace elements [34-35]. Divalent cations (e.g.,  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ ) form less stable complexes due to their reduced charge density (charge/size ratio). Some siderophores can act on wide range of metals at a time eg. *Streptomyces* strain, producing a siderophore, was capable of sequestering a range of ions including Mn, Co, Cd, Ni, Al, Li, Cu, Zn, and Mg [36].

Carboxylic acids are typically present in soil solution as fully or partially dissociated anions [37]. complexation with carboxylic acids in plants particularly malate, citrate but also with the basic amino acid histidine is a mechanism of trace element detoxification [38]. Bacteria producing trace element-chelating organic acids, such as citric, oxalic or acetic acid have been shown to mobilize various trace elements in soil [39].

## VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Plants growing in metal contaminated soils are capable of tolerating high concentration of metals and providing a number of benefits to both the soil and the plant. These microorganisms can directly improve the phytoremediation process by changing the metal bioavailability through altering soil pH, release of chelators (e.g., organic acids, siderophores), oxidation/reduction reactions. These studies seem to be highly promising in terms of the creation of fields that encourage the development of bioremediation processes using native microorganisms. More studies should be designed at molecular levels to evaluate and to identify mechanisms involved in the association between metal resistances and accumulation in order to upgrade the bioremediation potential of these strains. Overall the plant-associated beneficial microbes enhance the efficiency of phytoremediation process directly by altering the metal accumulation in plant tissues and indirectly by promoting the shoot and root biomass production.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Antonella Furini, Anna Manara and Giovanni Dal Corso Editorial: “*Environmental phytoremediation: plants and microorganisms at work*”, Vol. 6, pp. 520–521, 2014.
- [2] Bruins M. R., Kapil S., Oehme F. W. “*Microbial resistance to metals in the environment*”, Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. Vol. 45, Issue. 3, pp. 198–207, 2000. doi: 10.1006/eesa.1999.1860.
- [3] Selvin J., ShanmughaPriya S., SeghalKiran G., Thangavelu T., SapnaBai N. “*Sponge-associated marine bacteria as indicators of heavy metal pollution*”, Microbiological Research. Vol. 164, Issue. 3, pp. 352–363, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2007.05.005.
- [4] Singh SK, Singh PP, Gupta A, et al. “*Tolerance of Heavy Metal Toxicity Using PGPR Strains of Pseudomonas Species*”, In: PGPR Amelioration in Sustainable Agriculture. pp 239–252, 2012.
- [5] Joner E J, Johansen A, Loibner A P, de la Cruz M A, Szolar O H, Portal J M and Leyval C. “*Rhizosphere effects on microbial community structure and dissipation and toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in spiked soil*”, Environ. Sci. Technol. Vol. 35, pp. 2773–2777, 2001.
- [6] Rajkumar M<sup>1</sup>, Sandhya S, Prasad MN, Freitas H. “*Perspectives of plant-associated microbes in heavy metal phytoremediation*”, Biotechnol Adv. Vol. 30, Issue. 6, pp. 1562–74, 2012.
- [7] Krämer, U. “*Phytoremediation: novel approaches to cleaning up polluted soils*”, Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. Vol. 16, pp. 133–141, 2005. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2005.02.006
- [8] Lee A Newman and Charles M Reynolds. “*Phytodegradation of organic compounds*”, Current Opinion in Biotechnology, Vol. 15, pp. 225–230, 2004.
- [9] Vangronsveld, J., Herzig, R., Weyens, N., Boulet, J., Adriaensen, K., Ruttens, A., et al.. “*Phytoremediation of contaminated soils and groundwater: lessons from the field*”, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int. Vol. 16, pp. 765–794, 2009. doi: 10.1007/s11356-009-0213-6
- [10] Al-Gheethi AAS, Lalung J, Noman EA, et al, “*Removal of heavy metals and antibiotics from treated sewage effluent by bacteria*”, Clean Technol. Environ. Policy Vol. 17, pp. 2101–2123, 2015.
- [11] Ma Y, Rajkumar M, Zhang C, Freitas H, “*Beneficial role of bacterial endophytes in heavy metal phytoremediation*”, J Environ Manage Vol. 174, pp. 14–25, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.02.047
- [12] Zhang Q, Achal V, Xiang WN, Wang D, “*Identification of heavy metal resistant bacteria isolated from Yangtze river, China*”, Int J Agric Biol Vol. 16, pp. 619–623, 2014.
- [13] Guo QW, Wan R, Xie SG, “*Simazine degradation in bioaugmented soil: urea impact and response of ammonia oxidizing bacteria and other soil bacterial communities*”, Environ Sci Pollut Res Vol. 21, pp. 337–343, 2014.
- [14] Lopes AR, Danko AS, Manaia CM, Nunes OC, “*Molinate biodegradation in soils: natural attenuation versus bioaugmentation*”, ApplMicrobiolBiotechnol Vol. 97, pp. 2691–2700, 2013.
- [15] Onneby K, Jonsson A, Stenstrom J, “*A new concept for reduction of diffuse contamination by simultaneous application of pesticide and pesticide-degrading microorganisms*”, Biodegradation, Vol. 21, pp. 21–29, 2010.
- [16] Onneby K, Hakansson S, Pizzul L, Stenstrom J, “*Reduced leaching of the herbicide MCPA after bioaugmentation with a formulated and stored Sphingobium sp*”, Biodegradation Vol. 25, pp. 291–300, 2014.
- [17] Pimmata P, Reungsang A, Plangklang P, “*Comparative bioremediation of carbofuran contaminated soil by natural attenuation, bioaugmentation and biostimulation*”, Int Biodeterior Biodegrad Vol. 85, pp. 196–204, 2013.
- [18] Wan R, Yang YY, Sun WM, Wang Z, Xie SG, “*Simazine biodegradation and community structures of ammoniaoxidizing microorganisms in bioaugmented soil: impact of ammonia and nitrate nitrogen sources*”, Environ Sci Pollut Res Vol. 21, pp. 3175–3181, 2014.
- [19] Kim, Y.M., Nam, I.H., Murugesan, K.S., Crowley, D.E., Chang, Y.S., “*Biodegradation of diphenyl ether and transformation of selected brominated congeners by Sphingomonas sp*”, PH-07. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Vol. 77, Issue. 1, pp. 187–194, 2007.
- [20] Walter W. Wenzel. “*Rhizosphere processes and management in plant-assisted bioremediation (phytoremediation) of soils*”, Plant Soil Vol. 5, pp. 321:385–408, 2009.
- [21] Fan, S. X., Li, P. J., Gong, Z. Q., Ren, W. X., and He, N. Promotion of pyrene degradation in rhizosphere of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*). Chemosphere, Vol. 71, pp. 1593–1598, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.10.068
- [22] Glick, B. R. Using soil bacteria to facilitate phytoremediation. Biotechnol. Adv. Vol. 28, pp. 367–374, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.02.001
- [23] Li, Y., Liang, F., Zhu, Y. F., and Wang, F. P. “*Phytoremediation of a PCB contaminated soil by alfalfa and tall fescue single and mixed plants cultivation*”, J. Soil. Sediment. Vol. 13, pp. 925–931, 2013. doi: 10.1007/s11368-012-0618-6
- [24] L. Wood J, Liu W, et al, “*Microorganisms in heavy metal bioremediation: strategies for applying microbial-community engineering to remediate soils*”, AIMS Bioeng Vol. 3, pp. 211–229, 2016. doi: 10.3934/bioeng.2016.2.211

- [25] Kannabiran K, "Actinobacteria are better bioremediating agents for removal of toxic heavy metals: An overview", Int J Environ Technol Manag Vol. 20, pp. 129–138, 2017. doi: 10.1504/IJETM.2017.089649
- [26] Cioica N, Tudora C, Iuga D, et al, "A review on phytoremediation as an ecological method for in situ clean up of heavy metals contaminated soils", In: E3S Web of Conferences. 2019.
- [27] Ranjitha J, Raj A, Kashyap R, et al, Removal of heavy metals from industrial effluent using salvinia molesta. Int J ChemTech Res Vol. 9, pp. 608–613, 2016.
- [28] Chaudhry Q, Blom-Zandstra M, Gupta S, Joner EJ, "Utilising the synergy between plants and rhizosphere microorganisms to enhance breakdown of organic pollutants in the environment", Environ Sci Pollut Res Vol. 12, pp. 34–48, 2005.
- [29] Melanie Kuffner & Markus Puschenreiter & Gerlinde Wieshammer & Markus Gorfer & Angela Sessitsch, "Rhizosphere bacteria affect growth and metal uptake of heavy metal accumulating willows", Plant Soil Vol. 304, pp. 35–44, 2018.
- [30] Angela Sessitsch, Melanie Kuffner, Petra Kidd, Jaco Vangronsveld, Walter W. Wenzel, Katharina Fallmann, and Markus Puschenreiter. "The role of plant-associated bacteria in the mobilization and phytoextraction of trace elements in contaminated soil", Soil Biol Biochem. Vol. 60, pp. 182–194, 2013.
- [31] Sheng X-F, Xia J-J, "Improvement of rape (*Brassica napus*) plant growth and cadmium uptake by cadmium resistant bacteria", Chemosphere Vol. 64, pp. 1036–1042, 2006.
- [32] Shelton, D.R., Khader, S., Karns, J.S., Pogell, B.M., "Metabolism of twelve herbicides by *Streptomyces*", Bio-degradation Vol. 7, pp. 129–136, 1996.
- [33] Staicu, L. C., van Hullebusch, E. D., Lens, P. N. L., Pilon-Smits, E. A. H., and Oturan, M. A. "Electro coagulation of colloidal biogenic selenium", Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int. Vol. 22, pp. 3127–3137, 2015. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3592-2
- [34] Hernlem B.J., Vane L.M., Sayles G.D. "Stability constants for complexes of the siderophore desferrioxamine B with selected heavy metal cations", Inorganica Chimica Acta. Vol. 244, pp.179–184, 1996.
- [35] Hider R.C., Kong X. "Chemistry and biology of siderophores", Natural Products Report. Vol. 27, pp. 637–657, 2010.
- [36] Nakouti I., Hobbs G. "A new approach to studying ion uptake by actinomycetes", Journal of Basic Microbiology. Vol. 53, Issue. 11, pp. 913–916, 2013.
- [37] Ryan P.R., Delhaize E., Jones D.L. "Function and mechanism of organic anion exudation from plant roots",. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology. Vol. 52, pp. 527–560, 2001.
- [38] Küpper H., Mijovilovich A., Meyer-Klaucke W., Kroneck P.M.H. "Tissue- and age-dependent differences in the complexation of cadmium and zinc in the cadmium/zinc hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi caerulescens* (Ganges ecotype) revealed by X-ray absorption spectroscopy", Plant Physiology. Vol. 134, pp. 748–757, 2004.
- [39] Li W., Ye Z., Wong M. "Metal mobilization and production of short-chain organic acids by rhizosphere bacteria associated with a Cd/Zn hyperaccumulating plant, *Sedum alfredii*", Plant Soil. Vol. 326, pp. 453–467, 2009.

#### AUTHOR'S PROFILE

Mrs. Thanusree Mallakuntla Ramesh pursued MSc (2013) and pursuing Ph.D. Microbiology from Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India from 2015. She is currently working on plant physiology in particular with microbial phytoremediation. She has published more than 10 research papers in reputed international journals including Thomson Reuters (SCI & Web of Science) and conferences and presented in several seminars. Her main research work focuses on screening of several bacteria and fungi for heavy metal uptake in several plants. She has 2 years of teaching experience and 5 years of research experience.

