

Growth Kinetic Models for Algae: Revealing a Light Factor

P. Singh^{1*}, R.N. Singh²

^{1,2}School of Energy and Environmental Studies, Devi Ahilya University Takshashila Campus Khandwa Road, Indore 452001, Madhya Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author: psingh.sees@gmail.com, Tel., 9453546026

Available online at: www.isroset.org

Received: 24/Jun/2020, Accepted: 10/Sept/2020, Online: 30/Sept/2020

Abstract- Biodiesel production from Microalgae has received collective attention as one of the alternative energy sources. Growth kinetic models are needed to provide an understanding of microalgal growth so that cultivation conditions can be optimized. This review focused on overview of the present growth kinetic models for microalgae cultivation. The existing models were compiled and considering a light factor. There is a requirement for appropriate assimilation of light and temperature in the growth model.

Keywords: kinetics, light intensity, maximum specific growth rate

I. INTRODUCTION

For photoautotrophic microalgae in nutrient saturation conditions, light is a critical factor for photosynthetic activity. Growth of microalgae limits due to insufficient light [1, 2]. Microalgae require a specific light level in order to extent the maximum growth rate, referred to as a saturated light level. If light intensity is far above the saturation level, the growth will be inhibited by light (known as photo inhibition). If light intensity is below the saturation level, the growth will be limited by light (called light limitation). For example, in outdoor mass culture systems (cultivation systems with high concentrations of microalgae), microalgae growth is limited due to light scattering by a thick top layer where increase areal productivity of microalgae occurs [3]. Algae biomass productivity is the result of photosynthesis and endogenous respiration. Expecting the rate of these mechanisms during outdoor cultivation is difficult because algae growth is affected by several factors for example temperature, light intensity, pH, dissolved oxygen concentration and nutrient availability [33].

II. RELATED WORK

The growth kinetic models considering the effect of light plays the key role for the design of photobioreactors and outdoor ponds to optimize the performance. In this paper the growth kinetic models reflecting the single factor of the light intensity are summarized. The models in this group have simple structures with two or three parameters and easy to implement [4]. These models have often been applied in lab-scale studies. The Tamiya model is an eminent theoretical model as well as the most widely applied model, which is similar to a Monod type model in describing the effect of light on microalgae growth [5]. In that model, the growth rate is interrelated to the incident

light intensity with two parameters μ_{\max} (maximum specific growth rate) and KI (saturation constant with respect to the light intensity). When the incident light intensity (I) is lower than KI, the growth is limited by light according to first order kinetics. When light intensity (I) is far above KI, the growth is independent of light and μ approaches to μ_{\max} [6]. The Tamiya model was describing the growth of *Euglena gracilis* under laboratory conditions using fluorescent lamps (about 0-550 μ_{mol} photon $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) with kinetic parameters of μ_{\max} as 0.06 h^{-1} and KI as 178 μ_{mol} photon $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Under continuous illumination of fluorescent light, the growth of *Spirulina platensis* followed the Tamiya model with the KI = 0.2 klx (about 124 μ_{mol} photon $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and $\mu_{\max} = 2.0 \text{ day}^{-1}$ [7]. Tamiya model was able to accurately explain the growth rate of *Chlorella vulgaris* in the circulating photo bioreactor under different incident light intensities [2]. Several empirical models have been developed by van Oorschot [8], Bannister [9], and Chalker [10]. Van Oorschot [8] used a Poisson function $(1-e^{-I/KI})$ describing light-limitation. The Webb model is a commonly used model for predicting photosynthetic rates in literature [11]. Bannister et al. adopted the same structure of the Tamiya model with integration of a shape parameter (m) depending on the algae species [9]. Jassby and Platt investigated eight kinetic models to describe the population of marine phytoplankton and reported a hyperbolic tangent model was the best fit to their data [12]. Hyperbolic tangent function is the most popular mathematical form to explain the photosynthetic activity as a function of light intensity [10]. The relationship between the algae growth rate and incident light intensity assuming that photosynthetic activity is the only limiting mechanism of microalgae growth [13]. To determine the best expression of microalgae growth rate, several expressions including the Poisson, hyperbolic tangent and Tamiya models and established that the hyperbolic tangent

function was the best mathematical expression for *Chorella littorale* growth kinetics under the incident light intensity ranging from 2.3 to 1060 $\mu_{\text{mol}} \text{ photon m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [13]. On the other hand, Martinez et al. compared the Tamiya and Poisson models and concluded that the Poisson model provided a better fit to the experimental data of *Chorella pyrenoidosa* under the incident light intensity of 400-2000 lux [14]. The difference of the experimental conditions such as illumination range and algae species make it challenging to compare different studies. There is minimal self-shading by the microalgae cells. Light attenuation is generally observed in microalgae cultivation systems which typically have high algae concentrations for biofuel production. For light attenuation, an average light intensity or absorbed light intensity has been adopted. The average light intensity (or absorbed light intensity) is determined by the light path, culture density and incident light intensity. This represents the average light absorption of algae cells in the system [15]. Bechet et al. observed that average light intensity influence not be the appropriate parameter to represent light intensity because it does not account for heterogeneity of light intensity received by individual cells in the system and its effect on the overall algae growth [16]. Tamiya model was improved with the average light intensity and introduced an exponent (n) in the formula [17]. That is similar to Bannister's shape parameter. This model was often applied in the optimization of the photobioreactors for both indoor and outdoor culture [18, 19]. On the other hand, the Ogbonna model is similar to a linear formula and the model includes a cell concentration (X) and reactor volume (V) to justification for the impact of cell concentrations on the light attenuation [20]. This model uses the non-illuminated volume fraction to integrate the effect of dark zones on growth. In outdoor culture systems, however, microalgae can experience photoinhibition during the mid-day peak light period. To reduce the energy cost from artificial illumination, outdoor mass culture systems have been adopted. Some models consist of both light limitations and photo inhibition.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The models developed by Aiba [21], Lee et al. [22], Steele [23] and Bernard and Remond [24] have a relatively less complex formula with two or three parameters. Particularly, the Steele model is widely used and is able to describe the effects of light-limitation using I / I_{opt} and

photo inhibition using an exponential expression ($\exp(1 - I / I_{\text{opt}})$) [25, 23, 26]. Platt et al. also used the exponential expression by expanding the Webb model to include the effects of photoinhibition at high irradiances [27]. The structure of the Aiba, Lee, Talbot, and Bernard and Remond models is similar to the Andrews model's structure, which incorporated an inhibition term (expressed as a function of light intensity) in the denominator. Different light intensities were used in these models: incident light intensity (I) in the Aiba model, average light intensity (I_{av}) in the Lee model, normalized incident light intensity (I / I_{opt}) in the Talbot model and both I and I_{opt} in the Bernard and Remond model. Several models with more complex formulas have also been proposed. Rubio et al. introduced a mechanistic model to account for photoadaptation, photoinhibition and the flashing light effect [28]. This model assumes that photosynthesis occurs in the photosynthetic unit (PSU, a minimum unit leading to the generation of NADPH and ATP), and PSUs are based on a metabolic control of energy consumption through an enzyme-mediated process such as Michaelis-Menten-type kinetics. In addition, this model uses a square root dependence on irradiance to explain photoinhibition. Among other complex models, the modified Grima model [29] and Muller-Feuga model [30] are commonly applied to estimate the growth rate of algae. Grima et al. [29] and Garcia-Malea et al. [31] improved the Grima model to account for photoinhibition on the microalgae growth by modifying the parameters of microalgal affinity for light (IK) and n as a function of incident light intensity (I). These models are able to describe the effect of photoinhibition, light-limitation, and light attenuation. On the other hand, the Muller-Feuga model introduced three parameters, including the minimum light intensity for survival (I_e), optimum light intensity to achieve the maximum growth rate (I_{opt}), and average light intensity (I_{av}). This model described the effect of light-limitation using $(I_{\text{av}} / I_{\text{opt}} - I_e / I_{\text{opt}})$ in the nominator and the effect of photoinhibition using $(I_{\text{av}} / I_{\text{opt}} - I_e / I_{\text{opt}})^2$ in the denominator. Martinez et al. compared the model's prediction of Garcia-Malea et al. [31] and Muller-Feuga [30] for the growth of *Synechocystis* sp. They concluded that the Muller-Feuga model was able to give a closer estimation than the Garcia-Malea model because the Garcia-Malea model could not predict the reduction in growth rate of *Synechocystis species* at high irradiance. *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* shows maximum growth rate.

Table 1. Microalgal growth kinetic models for a function of light intensity: Values of μ_{max} and KI

S. no.	Algae species	Values of different parameters		References
		μ_{max}	KI	
1	<i>Spirulina platensis</i>	2.0 d ⁻¹	9.2 klx	S. Huang et al. 1986.
2	<i>Euglena gracilis</i>	0.06 h ⁻¹	178.7 $\mu \text{ mol photon m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	S. Chae et al. 2006.
3	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	0.040 h ⁻¹	2.8 mW L ⁻¹	D. Sasi et al. 2011
4	<i>Chlorella pyrenoidosa</i>	0.116 h ⁻¹	1011 lx	M.E. Martínez, (1997) 93–98.
5	<i>Chlorococcum littorale</i>	0.134 h ⁻¹	95.8 $\mu \text{ mol photon m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	N. Kurano et al. 2005

6	<i>Chlorella pyrenoidosa</i>	0.076 h ⁻¹	708 lx	M.E. Martínez et al 1997
7	<i>Chlorococcum littorale</i>	0.116 h ⁻¹	114 μmol photon m ⁻² s ⁻¹	N. Kurano et al. 2005.
8	<i>Chlorella pyrenoidosa</i> (at 25 °C)	2.48 d ⁻¹	5.7 μE m ⁻² d ⁻¹	N. Kurano et al. 2005
9	<i>Chlorococcum littorale</i>	0.115 h ⁻¹	150 μmol photon m ⁻² s ⁻¹	N. Kurano et al. 2005
13	<i>Chlorococcum littorale</i>	0.134 h ⁻¹	Iopt = 505 μmol photon m ⁻² s ⁻¹	N. Kurano et al. 2005
14	<i>Cryptomonas 976/67</i>	1.37 d ⁻¹ at 26 °C	NA	A. Ojala (1993)
15	<i>Cryptomonas 976/62</i>	0.72 d ⁻¹ at 21 °C	Iopt b 100 μmol photon m ⁻² s ⁻¹	A. Ojala, (1993)

Table 2. Microalgal growth kinetic models for a function of light intensity: Values of different parameters

S. no.	Algae sp.	μ _{max}	Values of different parameters	References
1	<i>Spirulina platensis</i>	2.06 × 10 ⁻⁵ s ⁻¹	I _k = 160 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ n = 1.49	A. Concas 2013
2	<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i>	0.075 h ⁻¹	I _k = 120 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ n = 2.02	R.L.L. Ribeiro et al. 2009
3	<i>Chlorella pyrenoidosa</i>	NA	K = 0.8 kg mol ⁻¹ X = 0.01905 kg m ⁻³ V = 0.00075 m ³ I _{max} = 0.13 mol kg ⁻¹ d ⁻¹	N. Kurano et al. 2005
4	<i>Spirulina platensis</i>	5.4849 h ⁻¹	KI = 959.2 W m ⁻² K _{i,L} = 0.5817 m ² W ⁻¹	H.Y. Lee et al. 1987
5	<i>Spirulina platensis</i>	NA	KI = 177.9 m ² W ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ K _{i,L} = 0.1083 m ² W ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	H.Y. Lee et al. 1987
6	<i>Porphyridium cruentum</i> (at 30 °C)	1.06 d ⁻¹	Iopt = 350 μmol photon m ⁻² s ⁻¹ β = 2.06	D. Dermoun 1992.
7	<i>Chlorella pyrenoidosa</i>	2.0 d ⁻¹	Iopt = 275 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ α = 0.05	D. Dermoun 1992.
8	<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i>	0.063 h ⁻¹	I _k = 94.3 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ K _{i,L} = 768.4 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ a = 3.04 b = 1.209 c = 514.6	F.G. Acién-Fernández, 1998.
9	<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i>	0.063 h ⁻¹	I _k = 94.3 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ K _{i,L} = 3426 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ a = 3.04 b = 1.209 c = 514.6	E.M. Grima et al. 1999
10	<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i>	0.00385 h ⁻¹	I _k = 94.3 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ K _{i,L} = 2000 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ a = 3.04 b = 1.209 c = 514.5	R.L.L. Ribeiro, A.B. Mariano, (2008)
11	<i>Haematococcus pluvialis</i>	0.11 h ⁻¹	a = 2.32 b = -0.00008 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ c = 98.7 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ d = 0.034	R.L.L. Ribeiro, A.B. Mariano, (2008)
12	<i>Porphyridium cruentum</i>	1.415 d ⁻¹	Iopt = 385 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ I _e = 3.5 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹	A. Muller-Feuga et al. 2003
13	<i>Chorella sp.</i> (light limitation)	0.12 h ⁻¹	α' = 91 μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹ k = 0.24	A. Muller-Feuga et al. 2003

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Growth of microalgae is affected by various factors. Several growth kinetic models have been developed to describe the rate of microalgae growth. In this paper, light factor model was considered. Appropriate concern of co-limiting factors, suitable mixing of light and temperature, incorporation of species diversity in the growth model. There are numerous models considering multiple factors. The uses of the models considering multiple factors are limited due to their complex mathematical forms. This paper identified several challenges in the development of algae growth models. Following conclusions have been drawn:

- Forthcoming research should focus on developing a constraint for model selection based on the understanding of microalgae growth under different environmental conditions.
- The light intensity and temperature expressions do not consider temporal variations in sunlight intensity and culture temperature. These elements play a crucial role in outdoor mass cultivation.
- Future research must provide a better mathematical expression of light by considering light attenuation and temporal variation of light intensity if natural light is used.

V. NOMENCLATURE

a	Fitting constant
b	Fitting constant
c	Fitting constant
d	Fitting constant
C_n	Algae nitrogen content per unit algal dry weight
$C_{n_{max}}$	Maximum algal nitrogen content or nitrogen content of the functional substance per unit algal dry weight
C_p	Algal phosphorus content per unit algal dry weight
$f(I_{av})$	A function of average light intensity
$f(T)$	A function of temperature
I	Incident light intensity
I_{av}	Average irradiance in the culture
I_c	Light intensity at the center measured from one direction with light shining from both direction
I_e	Average irradiance at the energy compensation point
I_{in}	Light intensity at the front with shining from one side
I_k	Microalgal affinity for light
I_{max}	Maintenance rate
I_{opt}	I at $\mu = \mu_{max}$
I_{out}	Light intensity at the back with shining from one side
K	Proportionality constant which is similar in meaning to growth yield
K_a	Attenuation constant
K_c	Curve fitting constant
KI	Photo saturation constant
K_i	Inhibition constant
K_{i, CO_2}	Inhibition constant of CO_2
$K_{i,L}$	Photoinhibition constant
$K_{i,OC}$	Sodium acetate inhibition constant of cell growth
$K_{S,nu}$	Monod half-saturation constant of limiting nutrients
K_S	Monod half-saturation constant
K_{S, CO_2}	Monod half-saturation constant of CO_2
$K_{S, N}$	Monod half-saturation constant of nitrogen
$K_{S,OC}$	Monod half-saturation constant of sodium acetate
$K_{S,P}$	Monod half-saturation constant of phosphorus
k	Parameter
k_d	Consumption rate of photosynthesis products per unit dry weight of the functional substance
m	Shape parameter
n	Exponent
p	Length of light path inside the photobioreactor
Pho	photosynthetic rate
Pho_{max}	Light saturated photosynthesis rate
Q	Nutrient cell quota

Q_N	N Cell quota
Q_{max}	Maximum nutrient cell quota for algal existence
$Q_{max,N}$	Maximum N cell quota for algal existence
$Q_{max,P}$	Maximum P cell quota for algal existence
Q_{min}	Minimum nutrient cell quota for algal existence
$Q_{min,N}$	Minimum N cell quota for algal existence
$Q_{min,P}$	Minimum P cell quota for algal existence
QP	P cell quota
S	Nutrient concentration
S_{CO_2}	Carbon dioxide concentration in the medium
SN	Nitrogen concentration in the medium
S_{nu}	Limiting nutrient concentration
S_{OC}	Sodium acetate concentration
S_p	Phosphorus concentration in the medium
T	Temperature
T_{ref}	Reference temperature (20°C)
V	Liquid volume in the reactor
VF	Illuminated volume fraction of the reactor
X	Cell concentration
x	Carbon subsistence quota
x_{e^*}	Steady state fraction of functional activated PSUs under continuous illumination
vc	Yield coefficient of the functional substance from the storage substance
α	Initial slope of the light response curve
α'	Parameter
αC_{max}	Maximum affinity for growth at carbon dioxide limiting condition
αP_{max}	Maximum affinity for growth at phosphorus limiting condition
Θ	Temperature coefficients for growth
ϕ	Quantum efficiency
μ	Specific growth rate
$\mu_{C,max}$	Maximum of synthesis rate of the storage substance per unit dry weight of the functional substance
μ_{max}	Maximum specific growth rate
$\mu_{max, min}$	The most limiting nutrient's maximum growth rate
μ_{m1}	Maximum value for μ
μ_{m2}	Specific growth rate at the absence of nutrient in the culture medium
μ_{m3}	Specific growth rate at high nutrient concentration in the culture medium
μ'_{max}	Hypothetical maximum growth rate at infinite Q
$\mu'_{max, min}$	Hypothetical maximum growth rate at infinite Q for the most limiting nutrient
μ^*_{max}	Maximum growth rate at the maximum value of Q

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Hannon, J. Gimpel, M. Tran, B. Rasala, S. Mayfield, "Biofuels from algae: challenges and potential", *biofuels*, vol 1, issue 5, pp. 763-784, 2010.
- [2] D. Sasi, P. Mitra, A. Viguera, G.A. Hill, "Growth kinetics and lipid production using *Chlorella vulgaris* in a circulating loop photobioreactor", *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, vol 86, issue 6, pp. 875-880, 2011.
- [3] Y.S. Yun, J.M. Park, "Kinetic modeling of the light-dependent photosynthetic activity of the green microalga *Chlorella vulgaris*", *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, vol 83, issue 3, pp. 303-311, 2003.
- [4] Q. Bechet, A. Shilton, B. Guieysse, "Modeling the effects of light and temperature on algae growth: state of the art and critical assessment for productivity prediction during outdoor cultivation", *Biotechnology Advances*, vol 31, issue 8, pp. 1648-1663, 2013.
- [5] Manjunatha S.S, S.T. Girisha, "Isolation and Screening of *Chlorella Sorokiniana* for Wastewater Treatment and Biodiesel Production" *International Journal of Scientific Research in Biological Sciences*, Vol. 6, Issue.2, pp.59-67, 2019.
- [6] S. Chae, E. Hwang, H. Shin, "Single cell protein production of *Euglena gracilis* and carbon dioxide fixation in an innovative photo-bioreactor", *Bioresource Technology*, Vol. 97, Issue.2, pp.322-329, 2006.
- [7] S. Huang, C. Chen, "Growth kinetics and cultivation of *Spirulina platensis*", *Journal of Chinese Institute of Engineers*, Vol. 9, Issue.4, pp.355-363, 1986.
- [8] J.L.P. van Oorschot, "Conversion of Light Energy in Algal Culture", *H. Veenman, Wageningen*, 1955.
- [9] T. Bannister, "Quantitative description of steady state, nutrient-saturated algal growth, including adaptation", *Limnology and Oceanography*, Vol. 24, Issue.1, pp.76-96, 1979.
- [10] B.E. Chalker, Modeling light saturation curves for photosynthesis: an exponential function, *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, Vol. 84, Issue.2, pp.205-215, 1980.
- [11] W.L. Webb, M. Newton, D. Starr, "Carbon dioxide exchange of *Alnus rubra*: a mathematical model", *Oecologia*, Vol. 17, Issue.1, pp.281-291, 1974.
- [12] A.D. Jassby, T. Platt, "Mathematical formulation of the relationship between photosynthesis and light for phytoplankton", *Limnology and Oceanography*, Vol. 21, Issue.4, pp. 540-547, 1976.
- [13] N. Kurano, S. Miyachi, "Selection of microalgal growth model for describing specific growth rate-light response using

- extended information criterion”, *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*. Vol. **100**, Issue.4, pp. **403-408**, **2005**.
- [14] M.E. Martinez, F. Camacho, J. Jimenez, J. Espinola, “Influence of light intensity on the kinetic and yield parameters of *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* mixotrophic growth”, *Process Biochemistry*, Vol. **32**, pp. **93-98**, **1997**.
- [15] F.G. Acien Fernandez, F. Garcia Camacho, J.A. Sanchez-Perez, J.M. Fernandez-Sevilla, E. Molina-Grima, “Modeling of biomass productivity in tubular photobioreactors for microalgal cultures: effects of dilution rate, tube diameter and solar irradiance”, *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, Vol. **58**, Issue.4, pp. **605-616**, **1998**.
- [16] Q. Bechet, A. Shilton, B. Guieysse. “Modeling the effects of light and temperature on algae growth: state of the art and critical assessment for productivity prediction during outdoor cultivation”, *Biotechnology Advances*, Vol. **31**, Issue.8, pp. **1648-1663**, **2013**.
- [17] E.M. Grima, F.G. Camacho, J. Pérez, J. Sevilla, F. Fernandez, A.C. Gomez, “A mathematical model of microalgal growth in light-limited chemostat culture”, *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, Vol. **61**, Issue.2, pp. **167-173**, **1994**.
- [18] A. Concas, L. Pisu, G. Cao, “Mathematical modelling of *Chlorella vulgaris* growth in semi-batch photobioreactors fed with pure CO₂”, *Chemical Engineering Transactions*. Vol. **32**, Issue. **13**, pp. **1021-1026**, **2013**.
- [19] R.L.L. Ribeiro, A.B. Mariano, J.A. Souza, J.V.C. Vargas, J.C. Ordonez, “Numerical simulation of the biomass concentration of microalgae cultivated in a self-sustainable photobioreactor”, *Proceedings of COBEM 2009 20th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering, Gramado, Brazil 2009*, pp. **15-20** **ABC**, **2009**.
- [20] J.C. Ogonna, H. Yada, H. Tanaka, “Kinetic study on light-limited batch cultivation of photosynthetic cells”, *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*. Vol. **80**, Issue. 3, pp. **259-264**, **1995**.
- [21] A. Ojala, “Effects of temperature and irradiance on the growth of two freshwater photosynthetic cryptophytes”, *Journal of Phycology*, 29 (3) (1993). Vol. **29**, Issue. 3, pp. **278-284**, **1993**.
- [22] H.Y. Lee, L.E. Erickson, S.S. Yang, “Kinetics and bioenergetics of light-limited photoautotrophic growth of *Spirulina platensis*”, *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, Vol. **29**, Issue. 7, pp. **832-843**, **1987**.
- [23] J. Steele, “Environmental control of photosynthesis in the sea”, *Limnology and Oceanography*, Vol. **7**, Issue. 2, pp. **137-150**, **1962**.
- [24] O. Bernard, B. Remond, “Validation of a simple model accounting for light and temperature effect on microalgal growth”, *Bioresource Technology*, Vol. **123**, pp. **520-527**, **2012**.
- [25] A. Ojala, “Effects of temperature and irradiance on the growth of two freshwater photosynthetic cryptophytes”, *Journal of Phycology*, Vol. **29**, Issue. 3, pp. **278-284**, **1993**.
- [26] J. Steele, “Notes on some theoretical in problems in production ecology”, *Primary Productivity in Aquatic Environments*, Vol. **61**, Issue. 2, pp. **383-398**, **1966**.
- [27] T. Platt, C.L. Gallegos, W.G. Harrison, “Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in natural assemblages of marine phytoplankton”, *Journal of Marine Research*, Vol. **38**, pp. **687-701**, **1980**.
- [28] F.C. Rubio, F.G. Camacho, J.M. Sevilla, Y. Chisti, E.M. Grima, “A mechanistic model of photosynthesis in microalgae”, *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, Vol. **81**, Issue. 4, pp. **459-473**, **2003**.
- [29] E.M. Grima, J.M. Sevilla, J.A. Perez, F.G. Camacho, “A study on simultaneous photo limitation and photoinhibition in dense microalgal cultures taking into account incident and averaged irradiances”, *Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. **45**, Issue.1, pp. **59-69**, **1996**.
- [30] A. Muller-Feuga, R. Le Guedes, J. Pruvost, “Benefits and limitations of modeling for optimization of *Porphyridium cruentum* culture in annular photobioreactor”, *Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. **10**, Issue.3, pp. **153-163**, **2003**.
- [31] M. Garcia-Malea, F. Acien, J. Fernandez, M. Ceron, E. Molina, “Continuous production of green cells of *Haematococcus pluvialis*: modeling of the irradiance effect”, *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, Vol. **38**, Issue. 7, pp. **981-989**, **2006**.
- [32] Mata T.M., Martins A.A., Caetano N.S., “Microalgae for biodiesel production and other applications: a review”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. **14**, pp. **217-232**, **2010**.

AUTHORS PROFILE

P. Singh, Research scholar, School of Energy and Environmental Studies, Devi Ahilya University Takshashila Campus Khandwa Road, Indore 452001, Madhya Pradesh, India. she has completed M.Sc. Biotechnology from department of biotechnology, Dr. R. M. L. Avadh University, Ayodhya. She is having research experience of more than 3 years and published 2 review papers in reputed journals.



R. N. Singh, Professor, has completed his B Tech & M Tech in Agricultural Engineering and Ph.D. in Energy. He has more than 88 research paper and 2 Book chapters in his credit. He is life member of 4 society engaged in Energy & Environment. Guided 6 Ph.D. and more than 60 PG students. 2 Ph.D. research scholars are continuing. He was also member of Executive Council of DAVV and Dean of Faculty of Engineering Science. He has more than 20-year Teaching & Research experience. His area of interest is Bio-fuel, Biomass, biodiesel, bio ethanol and Renewable Energy. He is chief Editor of Progress in Energy & Fuel and Editors of some journals.

