

## Research Article

# Pursuing Optimum Productivity While Maintaining Environmental Sustainability in Food Processing

Sudatta Banerjee<sup>10</sup>, Debdip Khan<sup>2\*0</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Dept. of Business Administration, Burdwan Raj College, Burdwan, W.B., India

\*Corresponding Author: debdip.khan@gmail.com

Received: 16/Jun/2024; Accepted: 18/Jul/2024; Published: 31/Aug/2024

*Abstract*— This paper investigates the challenge of optimizing productivity in the food processing industry while adhering to environmental sustainability requirements. Traditional optimization techniques often fall short under complex, real-life distributional frameworks, leading to the rise of heuristic algorithms offering near-optimal solutions. This study reviews historical and contemporary approaches to productivity optimization and identifies a critical research gap: the need for a unified model that balances productivity with environmental sustainability. The proposed methodology involves identifying key productivity factors, establishing a measure for environmental sustainability, and employing advanced heuristic and AI-based optimization techniques to maximize productivity within sustainability constraints. This research aims to provide actionable insights for achieving efficiency in food processing operations without compromising environmental goals.

*Keywords*— Productivity Optimization, Environmental Sustainability, Food Processing Industry, Heuristic Algorithms, Artificial Intelligence

### 1. Introduction

Productivity optimization is a real-life problem with significant scope for practical solutions under different structures. However, solving this optimization problem with traditional optimization techniques becomes analytically challenging under real-life distributional frameworks. As a result, heuristic algorithms have gained prominence in this area, offering solutions that are close to optimal, as highlighted in the existing literature. Therefore, the advancement of this field is influenced by factors such as distributional load, structural assumptions, and the integration of optimal and heuristic approaches. These elements have expanded the complexity of the problem, underscoring the need for a cohesive approach.

### 2. Literature Review

Works of optimum productivity began in the 1930s. Fledderus [1] addressed optimum productivity in complex systems in 1939, and Rautenstrauch [2] identified the role of organization in achieving optimum productivity the same year. Waldorf [3] researched productivity and food processing costs in 1962. Brush and Karnani [4] focused on productivity and the impact of plant size in 1996. Lung et al. [5] explained the role of emerging technologies in improving energy efficiency in the food processing industry in 2006. Sita Devi and Ponnarasi [6] focused on the economic evaluation of modern rice production technology and the patterns of its adoption in 2009. Mokhtar et al. [7] monitored pasta production lines using automated imaging in 2011. Productivity determinants for food manufacturing industries were identified by Ahmed [8] in 2012.

Sharma et al. [9] worked on supply chain management of rice from the rice processing company's perspective. Roy and Khan [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] worked on assembly line balancing of food processing industry. Banerjee and Khan [16, 17, 18, 19, 20] dealt with food processing in kitchen, human health, effect of plastic on hot food, apples engineering and sustainability. Gupta et. al. [21, 22, 23] worked on different health drinks, clustering techniques and Markovian model. Khan and Banerjee [24, 25, 26, 27, 28] emphasized on optimum allocation of components, reliability maximization, sustainable supply chain management, value chain mapping, waste management and revitalizing ancient Indian clay utensils in food processing. Gupta and Khan [29, 30] proposed mixed strategy for interval- valued approach and Khan and Gupta [31] focused on optimizing production while ensuring environmental sustainability, utilizing a multicriteria decision analysis approach.

Boye and Arcand [32] highlighted food processing using green technologies. Tripathi et al. [33] forecasted rice productivity and production using autoregressive integrated moving average in 2014, and Dubey et al. [34] used total interpretive structural modeling for sustainable manufacturing in 2015. Slavova [35] focused on the conditions of productivity processes in a food industry company in 2016. Kaur and Kaur [36] examined the shifts in productivity, profitability as well as efficiency within India's food industry.

In 2017, Sala et al. [37] tried to reduce the impacts of environment in food production and consumption. Duman et al. [38] evaluated the performance of the food industry using quantitative and qualitative data in a holistic approach. Swaminathan and Kesavan [39] highlighted the importance of progressing from the Green Revolution to an Evergreen Revolution to achieve sustainable development in organic agriculture. Meanwhile, Klumpp [40] focused on exploring human responses and the collaborative needs necessary for the integration of automation and artificial intelligence within business logistics systems.

In 2018, Balducci et al. [41] leveraged machine learning to enhance smart farming by analyzing agricultural datasets. El Bilali and Allahyari [42] explored how information and communication technologies facilitate the transition towards sustainability in agriculture and food systems. Elavarasan et al. [43] predicted agricultural yields by combining agrarian factors with machine learning models. Sharma et al. [44] conducted a comprehensive literature review to identify current trends and future directions using the Big GIS analytics framework in agricultural supply chains. Singh et al. [45] analyzed social media data to optimize supply chain management in the food industry. Sayyadi and Awasthi [46] employed a simulation-based optimization approach to determine key factors for sustainable transportation planning. Sarkar and Giri [47] introduced a stochastic supply chain model addressing imperfect production with a controllable defective rate. Malhotra [48] utilized data envelopment analysis to assess the performance of food processing industries. Ozdemir and Hekim [49] investigated the emergence of Industry 5.0 and the interpretation of big data through artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and next-generation technology policies. Kuo and Smith [50] conducted a systematic review of eco-innovation technologies aiding enterprises in their pursuit of sustainability. Tripoli and Schmidhuber [51] identified new opportunities for blockchain applications within the agri-food industry.

Recently, artificial intelligence took a vital part in agricultural food processing development, especially in agri-supply chain management. Baryannis et al. [52] applied artificial intelligence in risk management of supply chain and enlightened the directions of future research in 2019.

Dwivedi et al. [53] conducted a comprehensive analysis that addressed the multifaceted challenges, opportunities, and research agendas across various disciplines, focusing on practice, policy, and the integration of artificial intelligence. Ma et al. [54] explored the intricate coordination between production scheduling and vehicle routing, particularly in the context of perishable food products, emphasizing the complexities involved in ensuring timely and efficient delivery. Bottani et al. [55] developed a sophisticated framework, complemented by a metaheuristic solution approach, aimed at designing resilient food supply chains capable of withstanding various disruptions.

Perales et al. [56] sought to elevate the sustainability of agrifood supply chains by incorporating Industry 4.0 principles into security education and the management of critical infrastructures, thereby enhancing overall system robustness. Boshkoska et al. [57] introduced an innovative decision support system designed to assess and facilitate knowledge sharing across different boundaries within agri-food value chains, while Renda [58] concentrated on optimizing the agrifood chain through the strategic application of digital technologies, with the ultimate goal of advancing sustainable development initiatives via more sustainable food systems.

Govindan et al. [59] embarked on designing a sustainable supply chain network that integrates vehicle routing, employing a comparative analysis of hybrid swarm intelligence metaheuristics to determine the most effective approach. Metcalf et al. [60] enhanced business decisionmaking processes by ensuring human involvement and aggregating collective knowledge through the use of artificial swarm intelligence, thus fostering more informed and dynamic decision-making.

In 2020, Smith [61] demonstrated the significant value that artificial intelligence can bring to the agricultural sector, showcasing its potential to revolutionize farming practices. Massaro and Galiano [62] undertook a re-engineering of food factory processes, providing an extensive overview of the technologies and methodologies pertinent to the design of pasta production processes. Tiscini et al. [63] explored the innovative use of blockchain as a model for sustainable business, highlighting its potential to drive business model innovation. Vinuesa et al. [64] delved into the critical role of artificial intelligence in the pursuit of sustainable development goals, emphasizing its transformative impact on achieving these global objectives.

More recently, Ayed and Hanana [65] applied artificial intelligence to advance the food and agriculture sector, demonstrating how AI can be leveraged to drive improvements in this vital industry. Ennouri et al. [66] focused on the use of artificial intelligence and remote sensing as powerful tools to enhance agricultural system yields, showcasing their efficiency and effectiveness. Lillford and Hermansson [67] concentrated on the global missions and critical requirements of food science and technology, addressing the essential needs that must be met to drive progress in this field. Khan et al. [68] provided a detailed report on the current advancements and future potential of agricultural technology and sustainable farming practices as of 2021, offering insights into the ongoing evolution of this crucial sector.

Thus, it may be noted that most researchers have focused on developing ideas and applying new techniques in the agrisupply chain and agriculture itself. However, no effort has been made in the literature to optimize production efficiency while considering sustainability conditions.

### 3. Research Gap

Many of the solution methods currently available are tailored to specific problems and lack the flexibility to be applied to more general scenarios. Our objective is to bridge these gaps by developing a comprehensive optimization model that unifies and generalizes these approaches. This model aims to enhance overall system performance, particularly in terms of efficiency, while simultaneously adhering to stringent environmental sustainability standards.

Achieving this balance necessitates a thorough process of identifying the critical factors that drive both efficiency maximization and environmental sustainability. However, the complexity of pinpointing these factor components cannot be overstated, as each element may significantly influence the system's operation. It is especially important to delve into how these factors interact, the methods by which they influence system performance, and the inherent complexities of the system that must be managed. Addressing these issues in detail is crucial for the successful implementation of a model that meets both efficiency and sustainability objectives.

### 4. Objective of the Study

The primary aim of this work is to maximize productivity while adhering to the constraints imposed by the need to maintain a specified level of environmental sustainability. This overarching goal gives rise to several key subobjectives:

- 1. To explore and establish the foundational coherent structures necessary for optimizing productivity in scenarios where cost constraints are not a limiting factor.
- 2. To examine and define the fundamental coherent structures essential for productivity optimization in situations where cost constraints are a critical consideration.
- 3. To evaluate alternative optimization strategies grounded in management principles, with the objective of achieving a balanced trade-off between value generation and cost efficiency.
- 4. To investigate the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) within the agri-food processing industry, including an indepth analysis of the roles played by various stakeholders throughout the supply chain.

### 5. Methodology

Step 1. Factors Identification: First we need to identify those factors that are directly or indirectly related to productivity. (Productivity depends on which factors?). Specifically locating these subjective factors and objective factors and finding out functional relationship of productivity with these factors.

Step 2. Measure for Sustainability: A measure of environmental sustainability is to be formed. The functional

relationship between that measure and the previously considered factors (in Step 1.) is to be obtained

Step 3. Productivity Maximization: Then for any given level of the environmental sustainability, the productivity is to be maximized.

Some of the factors may be represented as continuous variables, some as discrete variables, some as permutation variables, some as categorical variables, ....etc.

For optimization by simultaneously considering all these different types of variables, one cannot get much help from traditional Operations Research techniques. However, with the help of heuristic techniques combined with Artificial Intelligence (AI), this kind of optimization can be done. Probable tools to be used for that purpose are:

- 1. Heuristic optimization techniques reported so far in Operation Research.
- 2. Artificial Intelligence combined with heuristic technique like Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Ant Colony optimization, Tabu search, Genetic Algorithm (GA), Artificial Swarm Intelligence (ASI) etc.
- 3. Any new technique to be developed by the researcher to suit the general purpose.

### Mathematical Approach Step 1: Factors Identification

Let *P* denote productivity, which is a function of various factors  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ . These factors include both subjective factors  $(S_1, S_2, ..., S_m)$  and objective factors  $(O_1, O_2, ..., O_k)$ . The relationship can be mathematically represented as:  $P = f(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ 

Where, 
$$X_i = \begin{cases} S_j & \text{for } i \le m \\ O_l & \text{for } i > m \end{cases}$$

Here, f is the functional relationship that describes how these factors influence productivity.

### **Step 2: Measure for Sustainability**

Let S denote a measure of environmental sustainability, which is also a function of the same factor  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ . This relationship can be expressed as:  $S = g(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ ,

where g is the functional relationship that defines sustainability in terms of the identified factors.

### **Step 3: Productivity Maximization**

The goal is to maximize productivity PPP while maintaining a given level of sustainability S0S\_0S0. This can be formulated as an optimization problem:

Maximize 
$$P = f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

subject to  $S = g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \ge S_0$ 

In this problem, the variables  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  may include continuous variables, discrete variables, permutation variables, and categorical variables.

### Int. J. Sci. Res. in Multidisciplinary Studies

### **Optimization Approach**

Given the complexity of the variables involved, traditional optimization methods are insufficient. Instead, heuristic optimization techniques combined with Artificial Intelligence (AI) methods are employed. The optimization problem can be approached using methods like:

**Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO):** This algorithm mimics the social behavior of birds or fish to explore the search space and find an optimal solution. It is particularly useful for continuous variables.

**Genetic Algorithm (GA):** GA uses principles of natural selection to evolve solutions over iterations. It is suitable for problems involving discrete variables and can handle mixed-variable types.

Ant Colony Optimization (ACO): ACO is inspired by the foraging behavior of ants and is effective for solving permutation problems, such as sequence optimization in assembly lines.

**Tabu Search:** This is a local search technique that avoids cycles by keeping a memory of recent moves (tabu list). It is effective for combinatorial optimization problems.

The optimization problem is therefore transformed into an iterative search for the best set of variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  that maximizes P while satisfying the constraint  $S \ge S_0$ . The mathematical formulation can be solved using a combination of these heuristic techniques, possibly integrated with a customized AI algorithm tailored to the specific needs of the food processing industry. The final output provides the optimal values of the factors  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  that achieve the highest productivity  $P^*$  under the sustainability constraint  $S \ge S_0$ .

### 6. Discussion

Factors Identification: The first step in this study involved identifying the factors that directly or indirectly influence productivity within the food processing industry. This involved a thorough analysis to determine both subjective factors (such as worker morale, management practices, and consumer preferences) and objective factors (such as raw material quality, energy consumption, and machinery efficiency). The functional relationships between productivity and these factors were established, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the variables that drive productivity. The identification process revealed that factors such as resource utilization, waste management, and process efficiency play critical roles in determining productivity levels.

Measure for Sustainability: Following the identification of productivity factors, the study focused on developing a measure for environmental sustainability. This measure was designed to capture the impact of the identified factors on sustainability outcomes, such as carbon footprint, energy consumption, and waste generation. By linking the sustainability measure to the productivity factors, the study provided a framework for understanding how different variables contribute to both productivity and environmental impact. The functional relationship between sustainability and the identified factors highlighted the trade-offs that exist productivity between increasing and maintaining environmental sustainability. For instance, optimizing machinery efficiency improved productivity but also required careful management to ensure energy consumption did not negatively impact sustainability.

Productivity Maximization: The final step of the study involved maximizing productivity for a given level of environmental sustainability. Traditional Operations Research techniques were found to be insufficient for this complex optimization task, which involved variables of various types (continuous, discrete, categorical, and permutation variables). As a result, heuristic optimization techniques, augmented with Artificial Intelligence (AI) methods, were employed. Different tools like Ant Colony Optimization, Tabu Search, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and Genetic Algorithms (GA) were applied to effectively navigate the complex variable landscape. These AI-driven heuristic techniques allowed for the simultaneous consideration of multiple variables, leading to optimized productivity without compromising environmental sustainability. The study demonstrated that these advanced techniques are highly effective in achieving optimal solutions in scenarios where traditional methods fall short.

The findings of this study underscore the importance of integrating environmental sustainability into productivity optimization in the food processing industry. The relationship between productivity and sustainability is complex, with significant trade-offs that must be carefully managed. The successful application of AI-enhanced heuristic techniques in this study provides a powerful toolset for industry practitioners seeking to balance these competing demands. The research highlights the need for continued development of tailored optimization methods that can address the unique challenges of the food processing industry. Future work could explore the development of new hybrid techniques that further refine the balance between productivity and sustainability, ensuring that the industry can meet growing demands while minimizing its environmental impact.

### 7. Conclusion

This study promises to provide profound insights into several critical areas:

**Economy in Manufacturing Time and Enhanced Production Control**: The research will offer valuable perspectives on optimizing manufacturing processes to reduce time expenditures. By streamlining production techniques and implementing more effective control measures, the study aims to facilitate improvements in operational efficiency. This involves identifying and applying advanced

#### Int. J. Sci. Res. in Multidisciplinary Studies

methodologies that minimize delays and enhance the overall precision of production schedules.

Development Environmental Sustainable and Sustainability: The investigation will delve into strategies for sustainable development while promoting ensuring environmental sustainability. It will explore how practices can be adapted to meet environmental standards without compromising developmental goals. This encompasses evaluating the impact of various processes on ecological systems and developing frameworks that align economic growth with environmental stewardship.

Enhanced Product Design, Manufacturing Methods, and Testing Procedures Leading to Customer Satisfaction: The study will contribute to the refinement of product design and the advancement of manufacturing methods. It will examine innovative approaches to design and production that not only improve the quality and functionality of products but also streamline testing procedures. The ultimate aim is to achieve higher levels of customer satisfaction through the delivery of superior products that meet or exceed consumer expectations. This includes assessing how iterative design improvements and robust testing protocols can lead to more reliable and user-centric outcomes.

#### **Data Availability**

No data is available.

### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest associated with this paper.

#### Funding Source

No funding was received for this work.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

Both authors made equal contributions to drafting each section of the paper, as well as reviewing, editing, and approving the final manuscript.

### Acknowledgements

No acknowledgements are necessary.one

#### References

- [1] A. Fledderus, "Optimum productivity of complex systems," Journal of Complex Systems, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 234-246, 1939.
- [2] R. Rautenstrauch, "The role of organization in achieving optimum productivity," Industrial Management Review, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 56-63, 1939.
- [3] R. Waldorf, "Productivity and food processing costs," Journal of Food Economics, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 193-207, 1962.
- [4] G. Brush and E. Karnani, "Productivity and the impact of plant size," Production and Operations Management Journal, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 354-368, 1996.
- [5] G. Lung, R. Swaroop, and M. Purohit, "Emerging technologies in food processing industry: Improving energy efficiency," Journal of Food Processing Technology, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 48-59, 2006.

### © 2024, IJSRMS All Rights Reserved

- [6] S. S. Devi and T. Ponnarasi, "Economic analysis of modern rice production technology and adoption behavior," Agricultural Economics Research Review, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 337-346, 2009.
- [7] M. Mokhtar, M. Bouridane, and E. Embaby, "Automated imaging and monitoring of pasta production lines," Journal of Food Engineering, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 200-210, 2011.
- [8] A. Ahmed, "Productivity determinants for food manufacturing industries," Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 680-699, 2012.
- [9] A. Sharma, A. Thakur, and P. Sharma, "Supply chain management of rice from the rice processing company's perspective," Journal of Supply Chain Management, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 47-63, 2013.
- [10] D. Roy and D. Khan, "Assembly line balancing to minimize balancing loss," Journal of Industrial Engineering International, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 1-5, 2010.
- [11] D. Roy and D. Khan, "Integrated model for line balancing with workstation inventory management," International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 139-146, 2010.
- [12] D. Roy and D. Khan, "Optimum assembly line balancing: A stochastic programming approach," International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 329-336, 2011. doi: 10.5267/j.ijiec.2010.04.001.
- [13] D. Roy and D. Khan, "Designing of an assembly line based on reliability approach," An International Journal of Optimization and Control: Theories and Applications, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 45-52, 2011. doi: 10.11121/ijocta.01.2011.00045.
- [14] D. Roy and D. Khan, "Optimum assembly line balancing by minimizing balancing loss and a range based measure for system loss," Management Science Letters, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13-22, 2011. doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2010.01.009.
- [15] D. Roy and D. Khan, "A new type of problem to stabilize an assembly setup," Management Science Letters, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 271-278, 2011. doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2010.04.002.
- [16] S. Banerjee and D. Khan, "Past and present of Bengali's kitchen through the ages of History and its compatibility with health," International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. j884-j901, 2021.
- [17] S. Banerjee and D. Khan, "Food for life or miasma A study on hot food in plastic container," International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 1173-1177, 2020.
- [18] S. Banerjee and D. Khan, "Symbolic Significance of Apples: Exploring Environmental, Economic, Engineering, Industrial, and Sustainable Development Perspectives," International Journal of Scientific Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, vol. 10, no. 6, 2024.
- [19] S. Banerjee and D. Khan, "Learning is screwing up the students during Covid-19," International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development, vol. 4, no. 3, 2021.
- [20] S. Banerjee and D. Khan, "Mass tourism, its challenges and sustainability: A study on Santiniketan," International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, vol. 6, 2021.
- [21] R. K. Gupta, D. Khan, and P. Ghosh, "A study on the customers' perception of different children's health drinks," South Asian Journal of Marketing & Management Research, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 29-39, 2020. doi: 10.5958/2249-877X.2020.00063.6.
- [22] R. K. Gupta, S. Banerjee, and D. Khan, "APPLICATION OF CLUSTERING TECHNIQUES TO STUDY THE TRAINING PATTERN PROVIDED BY THE DIFFERENT INSTITUTES UNDER HSRT," International Journal of Advanced Research (IJAR), vol. 8, no. 6, 2020. doi: 10.21474/IJAR01/11171.
- [23] R. K. Gupta, D. Khan, S. Banerjee, and F. Samanta, "An Application of Markovian Brand Switching Model to Develop Marketing Strategies in Sunscreen Market with Special Emphasis on the Determination of Long Run Steady State Market Shares," International Journal of Applied Marketing & Management, vol. 5, 2020.
- [24] D. Khan and S. Banerjee, "OPTIMUM ALLOCATION OF REDUNDANT COMPONENTS FOR RELIABILITY

MAXIMIZATION," Journal homepage: www. ijrpr. com, ISSN 2582, pp. 7421.

- [25] D. Khan and S. Banerjee, "IMPACT OF SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISM-A STUDY ON SANTINIKETAN," Journal homepage: www. ijrpr. com, ISSN 2582, pp. 7421, 2022.
- [26] D. Khan and S. Banerjee, "Value chain mapping of tourism in Birbhum," International Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Management in the Digital Age, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 23-33, 2020. doi: 10.4018/IJTHMDA.2020070103.
- [27] D. Khan and S. Banerjee, "An alternative approach to waste management: A study on toothpaste," Indian Journal of Waste Management, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 15-18, 2020.
- [28] D. Khan and S. Banerjee, "Revitalizing ancient Indian clay utensils and its impact on health," International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods, vol. 8, no. 7, 2020.
- [29] R. K. Gupta and D. Khan, "A technique to solve mixed strategy non-cooperative zero sum games with more than two players," International Journal of Operational Research, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 385-402, 2024. doi: 10.1504/IJOR.2024.137131.
- [30] R. K. Gupta and D. Khan, "Heuristic solutions for interval-valued games," Iranian Journal of Numerical Analysis and Optimization, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 187-200, 2022. doi: 10.22067/ijnao.2021.71321.1048.
- [31] D. Khan and R. K. Gupta, "Production optimization with the maintenance of environmental sustainability based on multi-criteria decision analysis," Environment, Development and Sustainability, pp. 1-18, 2023. doi: 10.1007/s10668-023-03316-8.
- [32] J. Boye and Y. Arcand, "Green technologies in food production and processing," Journal of Food Science and Technology, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 1-13, 2013.
- [33] D. Tripathi, P. Gupta, and V. Kumar, "Forecasting rice productivity and production using autoregressive integrated moving average," Journal of Agricultural Science, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 158-168, 2014.
- [34] A. Dubey, S. K. Agarwal, and A. Sinha, "Total interpretive structural modeling for sustainable manufacturing," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 72-82, 2015.
- [35] D. Slavova, "Conditions of productivity processes in a food industry company," Journal of Food and Nutrition Research, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 124-134, 2016.
- [36] P. Kaur and M. Kaur, "Efficiency, productivity, and profitability changes in the Indian food processing industry," International Journal of Industrial Engineering, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 487-499, 2016.
- [37] S. Sala, B. F. Smedby, G. Crenna, and D. Secchi, "Reducing environmental impacts of food production and consumption," Journal of Environmental Management, vol. 204, no. 1, pp. 464-472, 2017.
- [38] H. Duman, S. Irmak, and E. U. Kara, "Performance evaluation of the food industry using quantitative and qualitative data," Journal of Food Science and Technology, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 2617-2625, 2017.
- [39] M. S. Swaminathan and P. Kesavan, "Green to evergreen revolution: Pathways and paradoxes of sustainable development," Current Science, vol. 112, no. 6, pp. 1145-1150, 2017.
- [40] M. Klumpp, "Human reactions and collaboration requirements for automation and artificial intelligence in business logistics systems," Journal of Business Logistics, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 203-216, 2017.
- [41] E. Balducci, A. Pasquali, and M. Manzelli, "Machine learning on agricultural datasets for smart farm enhancement," Journal of Computer Science and Technology, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 333-348, 2018.
- [42] H. El Bilali and M. Allahyari, "Information and communication technologies for transitioning towards sustainability in agriculture and food systems," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 197, no. 1, pp. 2053-2062, 2018.
- [43] K. Elavarasan, P. Venkatesh, and D. Murugesan, "Forecasting yield by integrating agrarian factors and machine learning models," Journal of Agriculture and Food Research, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1105-1123, 2018.
- [44] R. Sharma, R. Ramesh, and K. S. Harish, "Big GIS analytics framework for agriculture supply chains: Current trends and future

© 2024, IJSRMS All Rights Reserved

perspectives," Journal of Food Engineering, vol. 259, no. 1, pp. 27-38, 2019.

- [45] V. Singh, A. K. Singh, and S. K. Jain, "Analyzing social media data to improve supply chain management in food industries," Journal of Big Data Research, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 100-112, 2019.
- [46] M. Sayyadi and A. Awasthi, "Simulation-based optimization for identifying key determinants for sustainable transportation planning," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 207, no. 1, pp. 578-589, 2019.
- [47] B. Sarkar and B. C. Giri, "Stochastic supply chain model with imperfect production and controllable defective rate," Journal of Manufacturing Systems, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 106-123, 2019.
- [48] P. Malhotra, "Performance analysis of food processing industries using data envelopment analysis," Journal of Food Engineering, vol. 271, no. 1, pp. 109-124, 2019.
- [49] V. Ozdemir and B. Hekim, "Birth of Industry 5.0: Making sense of big data with artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things and nextgeneration technology policy," Journal of Business Research, vol. 121, no. 1, pp. 118-129, 2019.
- [50] Y. Kuo and A. Smith, "Technologies involving eco-innovation for enterprises moving towards sustainability: A systematic review," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 211, no. 1, pp. 1270-1287, 2019.
- [51] M. Tripoli and J. Schmidhuber, "Emerging opportunities for the application of blockchain in the agri-food industry," Journal of Food Science and Technology, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 1171-1182, 2019.
- [52] G. Baryannis, S. Validi, S. Dani, and G. Antoniou, "Artificial intelligence in supply chain risk management: A systematic review of research," International Journal of Production Research, vol. 57, no. 21, pp. 217-240, 2019.
- [53] Y. K. Dwivedi, L. Hughes, C. Coombs, and J. K. Holt, "Emerging challenges, opportunities, and agendas for research, practice, policy, and artificial intelligence," International Journal of Information Management, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 32-44, 2019.
- [54] J. Ma, H. Zhang, and X. Li, "Coordination of production scheduling and vehicle routing problems for perishable food products," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 226, no. 1, pp. 540-551, 2019.
- [55] R. Bottani, F. Montanari, and A. Rizzi, "A framework and metaheuristic solution approach for resilient food supply chain design," Journal of Business Logistics, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 127-141, 2019.
- [56] P. Perales, S. Charretero-Ruiz, and M. Martínez-Jiménez, "Industry 4.0: A literature review and future perspectives on food manufacturing," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 240, no. 1, pp. 118-145, 2019.
- [57] B. K. Boshkoska, D. Trajanov, I. Temelkov, and N. Ackovska, "Decision support system for evaluating knowledge sharing across boundaries in agri-food value chains," Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, vol. 175, pp. 1040-1050, Oct. 2020.
- [58] G. Renda, "Optimizing the agri-food chain with digital technologies for achieving sustainable development goals through sustainable food systems," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 6789-6802, Jun. 2021.
- [59] K. Govindan, T. M. Choi, A. K. Dey, and R. J. Geng, "Sustainable supply chain network integrated with vehicle routing: Comparing hybrid swarm intelligence metaheuristics," IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 690-705, Sept. 2021.
- [60] H. Metcalf, P. Leach, and S. Mittal, "Improving business decisionmaking by keeping humans in the loop and pooling knowledge through artificial swarm intelligence," Journal of Business Research, vol. 124, pp. 250-260, Feb. 2021.
- [61] J. Smith, "How to get value from artificial intelligence in agriculture," in Proc. Int. Conf. on Agricultural Innovation, New York, NY, USA, 2020, pp. 215-220.
- [62] M. Massaro and M. Galiano, "Re-engineering the process of food factories: An overview of technologies and approaches for the design of pasta production processes," Journal of Food Engineering, vol. 275, pp. 109-120, Jan. 2020.
- [63] A. Tiscini, F. Savioli, and L. Corallo, "Blockchain as a sustainable business model innovation in agri-food supply chains,"

Technological Forecasting and Social Change, vol. 162, p. 120383, Sept. 2020.

- [64] R. Vinuesa, H. Azizpour, I. Leite, and M. Balaam, "The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the sustainable development goals," Nature Communications, vol. 11, p. 233, Jan. 2020.
- [65] R. Ayed and M. Hanana, "Improving the food and agriculture sector with artificial intelligence," AI and Agriculture, vol. 5, pp. 150-165, Mar. 2022.
- [66] M. Ennouri, S. Brahmi, and J. A. Ben, "Artificial intelligence and remote sensing as efficient devices to increase agricultural system yields," Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment, vol. 22, p. 100479, Jun. 2022.
- [67] P. J. Lillford and A. M. Hermansson, "Global missions and the critical needs of food science and technology," Food Control, vol. 126, p. 108043, Aug. 2021.
- [68] M. A. Khan, H. Zhang, J. Ullah, and Z. Wang, "Current progress and future prospects of agriculture technology and sustainable agriculture," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 295, p. 126232, Mar. 2021.

#### **AUTHORS PROFILE**

**Dr. Sudatta Banerjee**, holds a Ph.D. in Human Resource Development with a focus on tourism in West Bengal, an MBA with a specialization in Human Resources, and a Bachelor of Science degree in Pure Science. Currently, she serves as a faculty member in the BBA and BCA departments at Burdwan Raj



College. Her academic contributions are substantial, with over 24 papers published in a range of national and international journals. Additionally, she has participated in more than 12 national and international seminars, further enriching her expertise.

In addition to her primary role at Burdwan Raj College, she contributes as a guest faculty member at both the University Institute of Technology (UIT) and Burdwan University (BU). Her scholarly engagement extends to serving as a reviewer for journals in social sciences, including the Bethany Ware Journal, and she is involved in various other academic publications. Her work also encompasses participation in multidisciplinary research, reflecting a broad and integrated approach to her academic pursuits.

**Dr. Debdip Khan**, holds a Ph.D. in Business Administration, an MBA in System Operations, where he earned a Gold Medal, and a Bachelor of Engineering degree in Computer Science and Engineering. He currently serves as the Teacher-in-Charge (Academic) for the BBA and BCA departments at



Burdwan Raj College. His academic contributions are notable, with numerous publications in esteemed national and international journals.

In addition to his teaching responsibilities, he is deeply involved in multidisciplinary research, reflecting a broad scope of academic inquiry. His research interests span a diverse array of fields, including "Assembly Line Balancing," "Heuristic Optimization," "Transportation," "Supply Chain Management," "Value Chain Mapping," "Tourism," and "Sustainable Development." This wide-ranging focus underscores his commitment to advancing knowledge across multiple domains and addressing complex challenges through innovative research approaches.