

Asymptotic Behaviours of the Generalized Hypergeometric Polynomials Set $S_n(x, y)$ for Large Value of n

B.K. Singh

Department of Mathematics, Jai Prakash Vishwavidyalaya (University), Chapra, India

Author's Mail id: brijendrakumarsingh111956@gmail.com

Available online at: www.isroset.org

Received: 05/June/2020, Accepted: 20/June/2020, Online: 30/June/2020

Abstract: In this paper, we study the asymptotic behaviours of generalized hypergeometric polynomial Set $S_n(x, y)$ for large value of n , the order of the polynomial sets. Generating function is generally used for the determination of the asymptotic behaviours of the polynomial set as the order of the polynomial set tends to infinity. Hence if the radius of convergence is finite, then the generating function has one or several singularities on the circle of convergence and the location and nature of these singularities determine the behaviours of the polynomial set when the order tends to infinity, But here we have obtained the asymptotic behaviours not from the generating function but by another method directly from the polynomial set. These behaviours for large n have been given in the form of Theorem. A number of well known results for orthogonal and non-orthogonal polynomials have been deduced as particular cases of these theorems.

AMS Subject Classification: Special function-33Cxx

Keywords: Appell function, Hypergeometric polynomial, Orthogonal polynomial, Generating function, Asymptotic Behaviours.

I. INTRODUCTION

Suman and Singh [1] defined the generalized hypergeometric polynomial set $S_n(x, y)$ by means of the generating functions,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{\lambda y t} F \left[\begin{matrix} (G_r); \\ \lambda_1 y^{e_1} t^{e_1} \\ (H_s); \end{matrix} \right] \times F \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p); (A_h); (C_u) \\ \lambda_3 x^{e_3} t, \lambda_2 x^{e_2} y^{-e_2} t^{e_2} \\ (b_q); (B_k); (D_v) \end{matrix} \right] \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n, e_1; e_2; e_3; (H_s); (b_q); (B_k); (D_v)}^{\lambda; \lambda_1; \lambda_2; \lambda_3; (G_r); (a_p); (A_h); (C_u)}(x, y) t^n \quad \dots (1.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are real and e_1, e_2, e_3 are positive integers.

The left hand side of (1.1) contains Appell function [2] of two variables in the notation of Burchnall and Chaundy[3]. The polynomial set contains a number of parameters, for simplicity, we shall denote.

$$S_{n, e_1; e_2; e_3; (H_s); (b_q); (B_k); (D_v)}^{\lambda; \lambda_1; \lambda_2; \lambda_3; (G_r); (a_p); (A_h); (C_u)}(x, y)$$

by $S_n(x, y)$.

Where n denote the order of the polynomial set.

After little simplification (1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_n(x, y) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{e_1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{e_2} \frac{[(a_p)]_{n-m-e_1m_1-(e_2-1)m_2}}{[(b_q)]_{n-m-e_1m_1-(e_2-1)m_2}} \\
 &\times \frac{[(A_h)]_{n-m-e_1m_1-e_2m_2} [(G_r)]_{m_1} [(C_u)]_{m_2} \lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1}}{[(B_k)]_{n-m-e_1m_1-e_2m_2} [(H_s)]_{m_1} [(D_v)]_{m_2} m! m_1!} \\
 &\times \frac{(\lambda_2 x^{e_2})^{m_2} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{n-m-e_1m_1-e_2m_2} y^{m+e_1m_1-e_2m_2}}{m_2! (n-m-e_1m_1-e_2m_2)!} \dots (1.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

The polynomial set $S_n(x, y)$ happens to the generalization of as many as forty-one orthogonal and non-orthogonal polynomials.

II. NOTATIONS

- (i) $(m) = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$.
- (ii) $(A_p) = A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_p$.
- (iii) $[(A_p)] = A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_p$.
- (iv) $[(A_p)]_n = (A_1)_n (A_2)_n (A_3)_n \dots (A_p)_n$.
- (v) $\Delta(a, b) = \frac{b}{a}, \frac{b+1}{a}, \dots, \frac{b+a-1}{a}$.
- (vi) $\Gamma(a \pm b) = \Gamma(a+b)\Gamma(a-b)$.
- (vii) $\Gamma_* \Gamma_*(a+b) = \Gamma(a+b)\Gamma(a+b)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 K &= \frac{[(a_p)]_n [(A_h)]_n (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^n}{[(b_q)]_n [(B_k)]_n n!} \\
 K(m) &= \frac{(-n)_m (1-(b_q)-n)_m (1-(B_k)-n)_m \lambda^m y^m (-1)^{(h-k+q-p)}}{(1-(a_p)-n)_m (1-(A_h)-n)_m m!} \\
 K(m_1) &= \frac{\Delta_{m_1}[e_1; -n+m] \Delta_{m_1}[e_1; 1-(b_q)-n+m]}{\Delta_{m_1}[e_1; 1-(a_p)-n+m] \Delta_{m_1}[e_1; 1-(A_p)-n+m]} \\
 &\times \frac{\Delta_{m_1}[e_1; 1-(B_k)-n+m] [(G_r)]_{m_1} y^{e_1 m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1} (-e_1)^{e_1(k-h+q-p+1)m_1}}{[(H_s)]_{m_1} m_1! (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{e_1 m_1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(m_2) &= \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; -n + m + e_1 m_1] \Delta_{m_1} [e_2 - 1; 1 - (b_q) - n + m + e_1 m_1]}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2 - 1; 1 - (a_p) - n + m + e_1 m_1]} \\
 &\times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1 - (B_k) - n + m + e_1 m_1] [(C_u)_{m_2}] (\lambda_2 x^{e_2})^{m_2}}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1 - (A_h) - n + m + e_1 m_1] [(D_v)_{m_2}] m_2!} \\
 &\times \frac{\{-(e_2 - 1)\}^{(e_2 - 1)(q - p)m_2} (-e_2)^{e_2(k - h + 1)m_2}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2 m_2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

III. BEHAVIOURS OF $S_n(x, y)$ FOR LARGE VALUE OF n

Theorem: 1(a) If $e_2 > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[(b_q)]_n [(B_k)]_n n!}{[(a_p)]_n [(A_h)]_n (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^n n^{n(k - h + q - p + 1)}} S_n \left(n^{k - g + q - p + 1} x, n^{\frac{p - q}{e_2}} y \right) \\
 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m + e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1}}{m! m_1! (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{m + e_1 m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1}} {}_u F_v \left[\begin{matrix} (C_u); \\ \frac{\lambda_2 x^{e_2}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2}} \\ (D_v); \end{matrix} \right] \dots (3.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof : We have from (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_n \left(n^{k - g + q - p + 1} x, n^{\frac{p - q}{e_2}} y \right) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n - m}{e_1} \rfloor} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n - m - e_1 m_1}{e_2} \rfloor} \frac{[(a_p)]_{n - m - e_1 m_1 - (e_2 - 1)m_2}}{[(b_q)]_{n - m - e_1 m_1 - (e_2 - 1)m_2}} \\
 &\times \frac{[(A_h)]_{n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2} [(G_r)]_{m_1} [(C_u)]_{m_2} \lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} \lambda_2^{m_2} x^{e_2 m_2}}{[(B_k)]_{n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2} [(H_s)]_{m_1} [(D_v)]_{m_2} m! m_1! m_2!} \\
 &\times \frac{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2} y^{m + e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2} n^{n(k - h + p - q + 1)(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)}}{(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)! n^{(p - q)e_2 m_2}} \\
 &= \frac{K n^{n(k - h + q - p + 1)}}{1} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n - m}{e_1} \rfloor} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n - m - e_1 m_1}{e_2} \rfloor} \frac{K(m) K(m_1)}{n^{n(k - h + q - p + 1)m}} \\
 &\times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; -n + m + e_1 m_1] \Delta_{m_2} [e_2 - 1; 1 - (b_q) - n + m + e_1 m_1]}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2 - 1; 1 - (a_p) - n + m + e_1 m_1]}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1 - (B_k) - n + m + e_1 m_1] [(C_u)]_{m_2} (\lambda_2 x^{e_2})^{m_2}}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1 - (A_h) - n + m + e_1 m_1] [(D_v)]_{m_2} m_2!} \\ & \times \frac{(-e_2)^{e_2(k-h+q-p+1)m_2} \{-(e_2 - 1)\}^{(q-p)(e_2-1)m_2}}{n^{e_2(k-h+1)m_2} n^{(e_2-1)(q-p)e_2}} \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.2)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{n^{(k-h+q-p+1)}} S_n \left(n^{k-h+q-p+1} x, n^{\frac{p-q}{e_2}} y \right) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^m \sum_{m_2=0}^{m-m_1} \frac{K_{(m)} K_{(m_1)}}{n^{(k-h+q-p+1)m+m_1}} \\ & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; -n + m + e_1 m_1] \Delta_{m_2} [e_2 - 1; 1 - (b_q) - n + m + e_1 m_1]}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2 - 1; 1 - (a_p) - n + m + e_1 m_1]} \\ & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1 - (B_k) - n + m + e_1 m_1] [(C_u)]_{m_2} \{-(e_2 - 1)\}^{(e_2-1)(q-p)}}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1 - (A_h) - n + m + e_1 m_1] [(D_v)]_{m_2} m_2!} \\ & \times \frac{(-e_2)^{e_2(k-h+1)m_2} (\lambda_2 x^{e_2})^{m_2}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2 m_2} n^{(e_2-1)(q-p)m_2} n^{(k-h+1)m_2}} \\ & = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^m \sum_{m_2=0}^{m-m_1} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} (\lambda_2 x^{e_2})^{m_2} y^{m+e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1} [(C_u)]_{m_2}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{m+e_1 m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1} [(D_v)]_{m_2} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2 m_2}} \\ & = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^m \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m+e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1}}{m! m_1! (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{m+e_1 m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1}} {}_u F_v \left[\begin{matrix} (C_u); \\ \lambda_2 x^{e_2} \\ (\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2} \\ (D_v); \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.3)$$

Hence the proof.

Particular Cases of (3.1) :

1. On Putting $p=0 = q = h = k = u = v$; $m = 1 = m_1 = e_1 = e_3 = \lambda$; $\lambda_3 = 1 = e_2$; $\lambda_2 = -1$, $y = x$, in (3.1), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ (2nx)^{-n} H_n(nx) \right\} = e^{-\frac{1}{4x^2}}$$

2. If we take $p = 0 = q = h = k = u; v = 1 = m = m_1 = e_1 = \lambda_2 = e_3; D_1 = 1; \lambda_3 = 1; y = 2x, e_2 = 2$, and $\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}$ for

x , in (3.1), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (nx)^{-n} \left\{ x^2 (n^2 - 1) + 1 \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}} \rho_n \left\{ \frac{nx}{x^2 (n^2 - 1) + 1} \right\} = I_0 \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}{x} \right\}$$

where $I_0(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of Index zero.

3. On putting $h = 0 = u; k = 1 = v = e_3 = y$; and writing for x and y in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{n! \left\{ x^2 (n^2 - 1) + 1 \right\}^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(nx)^n (2\lambda)_n} C_n^{(\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{nx}{\sqrt{(n^2 - 1)(x^2 + 1)}} \right\} \right] \\ &= \Gamma \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{2x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} \right)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} I_{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}{x} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $C_n^\lambda(x)$ are the Gegenbauer polynomials.

Theorem: 1(b) If $e_2 = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left[(b_q) \right]_n \left[(B_k) \right]_n n!}{\left[(a_p) \right]_n \left[(A_h) \right]_n (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^n n^{n(k-h+q-p+1)}} S_n \left(n^{k-h+q-p+1} x, n^{p-q} y \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m+e_1 m_1} \left[(G_r) \right]_{m_1}}{m! m_1! (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{m+e_1 m_1} \left[(H_s) \right]_{m_1}} {}_u F_v \left[\begin{matrix} (C_u); \\ \lambda_2 x \\ \lambda_3 x^{e_3} y \\ (D_v); \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Proof : We have from (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} S_n \left(n^{k-h+q-p+1} x, n^{p-q} y \right) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{e_1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{e_2} \left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right] \left[\frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right] \\ & \times \frac{\left[(a_p) \right]_{n-m-e_1 m_1} \left[(A_h) \right]_{n-m-e_1 m_1 - m_2} \left[(G_r) \right]_{m_1} \left[(C_u) \right]_{m_2}}{\left[(b_q) \right]_{n-m-e_1 m_1} \left[(B_k) \right]_{n-m-e_1 m_1 - m_2} \left[(H_s) \right]_{m_1} \left[(D_v) \right]_{m_2}} \\ & \times \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} \lambda_2^{m_2} x^{e_2 m_2} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{n-m-e_1 m_1 - m_2} y^{m+e_1 m_1 - m_2}}{m! m_1! m_2! (n-m-e_1 m_1 - m_2)!} \\ & \times \frac{n^{n(h-k+q-p+1)(n-m-e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)}}{n^{(p-q)n_{A_2}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{K n^{n(k-h+q-p+1)}}{1} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right]} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right]} \frac{K_{(m)} K_{(m_1)}}{n^{n(k-h+q-p+1)m+m_1}} \\
 &\times \frac{(-n+m+e_1 m_1)_{m_2} (1-(B_k)-n+m+e_1 m_1)_{m_2} [(C_u)]_{m_2}}{(1-(A_h)-n+m+e_1 m_1)_{m_2} [(D_v)]_{m_2} m_2! (\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{m_2}} \\
 &\times \frac{(\lambda_2 x)^{m_2} (-1)^{(h-k+q-p+1)m_2}}{m_2! n^{(h-k+1)m_2}} \dots (3.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{n^{n(k-h+q-p+1)}} S_n \left(n^{k-h+q-p+1} x, n^{p-q} y \right) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right]} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right]} \frac{K_{(m)} K_{(m_1)}}{n^{n(k-h+q-p+1)m+e_1 m_1}} \\
 &\times \frac{(-n+m+e_1 m_1)_{m_2} (1-(B_k)-n+m+e_1 m_1)_{m_2} [(C_u)]_{m_2}}{(1-(A_h)-n+m+e_1 m_1)_{m_2} [(D_v)]_{m_2} m_2!} \\
 &\times \frac{(-1)^{(h-k+q-p+1)m_2} (\lambda_2 x)^{m_2}}{n^{(h-k+1)m_2}} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^m \lambda_2^{m_2} x^{m_2} y^{m+e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1} [(C_u)]_{m_2}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{m+e_1 m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1} [(D_v)]_{m_2} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{m_2}} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m+e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1}}{m! m_1! (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{m+e_1 m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1}} {}_u F_v \left[\begin{matrix} (C_u); \\ \lambda_2 x \\ \lambda_3 x^{e_3} y \\ (D_v); \end{matrix} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof.

Particular Cases of (3.4) :

1. On Putting $h = 0 = k = u$; $v = 1 = n = \lambda_3$; $\lambda_2 = -1$, for y in (3.4), we achieve

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{L_n^{(\alpha)} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)}{(x+1)_\alpha} \right\} = y^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} J_\alpha \left(2y^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

where $J_\alpha(x)$ are the Bessel function of the first kind of index α .

2. On taking $h = 0 = u; k = 1 = v = e_3; , D_1 = 1 + \beta$ in (3.4), we get

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n! \left[n^{-2}(x+1) - (x-1) \right]^n}{(x-1)^n (1+\beta)_n} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left(\frac{(x+1) + (x-1)n^2}{(x+1) - (x-1)n^2} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \Gamma(1+\beta) \left(\frac{x+1}{x-1} \right)^{\frac{-\beta}{2}} I_\beta \left(2\sqrt{\frac{x+1}{x-1}} \right)$$

where $I_n(x)$ are the modified Bessel function of the first kinds of index n .

3. On putting $h = 0 = u; k = 1 = v = e_3; B_1 = 1 + \beta; D_1 = 1 + \alpha$ and instead of x and y in (3.4), we get

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n! \left[(x+1) - n^2(x-1) \right]^n}{(x+1)^n (1+\alpha)_n} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left(\frac{n^2(x+1) + (x-1)}{n^2(x+1) - (x-1)} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \Gamma(1+\alpha) \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^{\frac{-\alpha}{2}} I_\alpha \left(2\sqrt{\frac{x-1}{x+1}} \right)$$

4. On making the substitutions $h = 0 = u; k = 1 = v = e_3 = y;$ and writing for x and y in (3.4), we get

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n! \left[(x+1) - n^2(x-1) \right]^n}{(x+1)^n (2\lambda)_n} C_n^{(\lambda)} \left(\frac{n^2(x+1) + (x-1)}{n^2(x+1) - (x-1)} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \Gamma \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^{\frac{-\lambda + \frac{1}{2}}{2}} I_{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{x-1}{x+1}} \right)$$

where $C_n^\lambda(x)$ are the Gagenbauer polynomials[4].

Theorem: 2(a) If we take $e_2 > 1$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! \left[(b_q) \right]_n \left[(B_k) \right]_n \lambda_3^{-n} \left(\cos \frac{z}{n} \right)^{-ne_3}}{\left[(a_p) \right]_n \left[(A_h) \right]_n n^{n(h-k+q-p+1)}} S_n \left(n^{(h-k+q-p+1)} \cos \frac{z}{n}, n^{\frac{q-p}{e_2}} y \right)$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m+e_1 m_1} \left[(G_r) \right]_{m_1}}{m! m_1! (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{m+e_1 m_1} \left[(H_s) \right]_{m_1}} {}_u F_v \left[\begin{matrix} (C_u); \\ \frac{\lambda_2 x^{e_2}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2}} \\ (D_v); \end{matrix} \right] \dots (3.6)$$

Proof: We have from (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[(b_q)]_n [(B_k)]_n n! \lambda_3^{-n} \left(\cos \frac{z}{n}\right)^{-ne_3}}{[(a_p)]_n [(A_h)]_n (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^n n^{n(h-k+q-p+1)}} S_n \left(n^{(h-k+q-p+1)} \cos \frac{z}{n}, n^{\frac{h-k}{e_2}} y \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-m}{e_1} \rfloor} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \rfloor} \frac{K_{(m)} K_{(m_1)}}{\binom{-n}{e_1-1}^{(e_2-1)(q-p)m_2} \binom{-n}{e_2}^{e_2(p-q-1)m_2}} \\ & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; -n+m+e_1 m_1] \Delta_{m_2} [e_2-1; 1-(b_q)-n+m+e_1 m_1]}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2-1; 1-(a_p)-n+m+e_1 m_1] m_2!} \\ & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1-(B_k)-n+m+e_1 m_1] [(C_u)]_{m_2} (\lambda_2 x^{e_2})^{m_2}}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1-(A_h)-n+m+e_1 m_1] [(D_v)]_{m_2} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2 m_2}} \end{aligned}$$

After writing $\cos \frac{z}{n}$ for n , in the above result, we obtain.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! [(b_q)]_n [(B_k)]_n \lambda_3^{-n} \left(\cos \frac{z}{n}\right)^{-ne_3}}{[(a_p)]_n [(A_h)]_n n^{n(h-k+q-p+1)}} S_n \left(n^{n(h-k+q-p+1)} \cos \frac{z}{n}, n^{\frac{p-q}{e_2}} y \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-m}{e_1} \rfloor} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \rfloor} \frac{K_{(m)} K_{(m_1)} [(C_u)]}{m_2! [(D_v)]_{m_2} \left(\cos \frac{z}{n}\right)^{e_2 e_3 m_2}} \\ & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; -n+m+e_1 m_1] \Delta_{m_2} [e_2-1; 1-(b_q)-n+m+e_1 m_1]}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2-1; 1-(a_p)-n+m+e_1 m_1]} \\ & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1-(B_k)-n+m+e_1 m_1]}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1-(A_h)-n+m+e_1 m_1] \binom{-n}{e_2-1}^{(e_2-1)(q-p)m_2} \binom{-n}{e_2}^{e_2(h-k+1)m_2}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-m}{e_1} \rfloor} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \rfloor} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m+e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1}}{m! m_1! \lambda_3^{m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{[(C_u)]_{m_1} \lambda_2^{m_2} \left(\cos \frac{z}{n}\right)^{e_2 m_2} (-n)_{m_1} (1-(b_q)-n)_{m_1} (1-(B_k)-n)_{m_1}}{[(D_v)]_{m_1} \left(\cos \frac{z}{n}\right)^{ne_3+e_1 e_3 m_1} \left(\cos \frac{z}{n}\right)^{e_2 e_3 m_2} (1-(a_p)-n)_{m_1}} \\
 & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_1} [e_1; -n+m] \Delta_{m_1} [e_1; 1-(b_q)-n+m] \Delta_{m_1} [e_1; 1-(B_k)-n+m]}{(1-(A_h)-n)_{m_1} \Delta_{m_1} [e_1; 1-(a_p)-n+m] \Delta_{m_1} [e_1; 1-(A_h)-n+m]} \\
 & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; -n+m+e_1 m_1] \Delta_{m_2} [e_2-1; 1-(b_q)-n+m+e_1 m_1]}{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2-1; 1-(a_p)-n+m+e_1 m_1] \Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1-(A_h)-n+m+e_1 m_1]} \\
 & \times \frac{\Delta_{m_2} [e_2; 1-(B_k)-n+m+e_1 m_1]}{\left(\frac{-n}{e_1}\right)^{e_1(h-k+q-p+1)m_1} \left(\frac{-n}{e_2}\right)^{e_2(h-k+1)m_2} \left(\frac{-n}{e_2-1}\right)^{(e_2-1)(q-p)m_2} m_2!} \\
 & \times \frac{1}{\left[\left(1 - \sin^2 \frac{z}{n}\right) \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{z}{n}} \right]} \times \frac{1}{\left[\left(1 - \sin^2 \frac{z}{n}\right) \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{z}{n}} \right]} \times \frac{\left(\frac{\sin \frac{z}{n}}{z/n}\right)^2 \frac{z^2}{2n^2} e_1 e_3 m_1}{\left(\frac{\sin \frac{z}{n}}{z/n}\right)^2 \frac{z^2}{2n^2} e_2 m_2 e_3} \\
 & = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m+e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1} [(C_u)]_{m_2}}{\lambda_3^{m+e_1 m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1} [(D_v)]_{m_2} (\lambda_3 y e_2)^{m_2}} \\
 & = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m+e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1}}{\lambda_3^{m+e_1 m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1}} {}_u F_v \left[\begin{matrix} (C_u); \\ \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_3 y)^{e_2}} \\ (D_v); \end{matrix} \right] \dots (3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the **proof** .

Similarly

Theorem: 2(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{n! [(b_q)]_n [(B_k)]_n \lambda_3^{-n} \left(\cos \frac{z}{n}\right)^{-ne_3}}{[(a_p)]_n [(A_h)]_n n^{n(h-k+q-p+1)}} S_n \left(n^{(h-k+p-q+1)} \cos \frac{z}{n}, n^{p-q} \cos \frac{z}{n} \right) \\
 & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} y^{m+e_1 m_1} [(G_r)]_{m_1}}{\lambda_3^{m+e_1 m_1} [(H_s)]_{m_1}} {}_u F_v \left[\begin{matrix} (C_u); \\ \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_3^{e_2}} \\ (D_v); \end{matrix} \right] \dots (3.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Particular Cases of (3.6) :

I. On Putting $p=0=q=h=k=u=v$; $m=1=m_1=e_1=e_3=\lambda$; $\lambda_3=1=e_2$; $\lambda_2=-1, y=x$ in (3.6), we get

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left(2n \cos \frac{z}{n} \right)^{-n} H_n \left(\cos \frac{z}{n} \right) \right\} = e^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

II. If we take $p=0=q=h=k=u$; $v=1=m=m_1=e_1=\lambda_2=e_3$; $D_1=1$; $\lambda_3=1$; $y=2x$,

$e_2=2$, and $\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2-1}}$ for x in (3.6), we get

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left(n \cos \frac{z}{n} \right)^{-n} \left(n^2 \cos^2 \frac{z}{n} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} P_n \left(\frac{n \cos \frac{z}{n}}{\sqrt{n^2 \cos^2 \frac{z}{n} - 1}} \right) \right\} = I_0(I)$$

III. On making the substitutions $h=0=u$; $k=1=v=e_3=y$; and writing for x and y in (3.6), we get

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n}{(2\lambda)_n} \left(n \cos \frac{z}{n} \right)^{-n} \left(n^2 \cos^2 \frac{z}{n} - 1 \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} C_n^{(\lambda)} \left(\frac{n \cos \frac{z}{n}}{n^2 \cos^2 \frac{z}{n} - 1} \right) \right\}$$

$$= {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -; \\ \lambda + \frac{1}{2}; \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right] = 2^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \Gamma \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right) I_{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}}(I)$$

where $C_n^\lambda(x)$ are the Gegenbauer polynomials.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we studies the asymptotic behaviours of generalized hypergeometric polynomial set $S_n(x,y)$ for large value of n , where n is the order of the polynomial set. These behaviours for large n have been given in the form of theorems. A number of well known results for orthogonal and non-orthogonal polynomials have been deduced as particular cases of these theorems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions in the improvement of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] Sanjay Kr. Suman and Brijendra Kr. Singh “An Effort towards the generalized hypergeometric Polynomials of two variables” Research Guru online Journal Vol. 13, Issue 4, pp.1-11, March 2020 (ISSN: 2349-266X)
 [2] P. Appell, and Kampe de Feriet, J. “Fonctions Hypergeometriques et Hyperspheriques, polynomes d’ Hemite, Gauthier-Villars” Paris,1926.
 [3] J.L. Burchnall and T.W. Chaundy “Expansions of Appell’s double Hypergeometric function (II)” Quart. J. Math. Oxford ser, 12, pp.112-128, 1941.
 [4] E.D. Rainville Special functions. Mac Millan Co. New York,1960.

AUTHOR PROFILE

Mr. Brijendra Kumar Singh, Pursed M.Sc. and Ph.D. Mathematics. He is currently working as Associate Professor of Mathematics, Jai Prakash Vishwavidyalaya (University),Chapra, India since 2003. He has published more than 22 research papers in reputed national and international journals and it’s also available online. His main research work focuses on Special function and applications. He produced 3 Ph.D’s under his guidance. He has 17 years of teaching experience and 11 years of research experience.