

International Journal of Scientific Research in _ Mathematical and Statistical Sciences Vol.6, Issue.4, pp.100-103, August (2019) DOI: https://doi.org/10.26438/ijsrmss/v6i4.100103

E-ISSN: 2348-4519

On Common Fixed Points of Mappings in Hilbert Spaces

Kalishankar Tiwary¹*, Chandan Kamelia², Biplab Kumar Bag³

^{1,2,3}Deparetment of Mathematiics, Raiganj University, Raiganj,733134 U/D, India

Available online at: www.isroset.org

Received: 23/Jul/2019, Accepted: 25/Aug/2019, Online: 31/Aug/2019

Abstract -In this paper some common fixed points theorems have been proved in Hilbert spaces using the definition of normal structure etc.

Keywords- Fixed Point, Normal Structure, Hilbert Space.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently a large number of literatures are available in the area of fixed point theory which deal with a common fixed point of two or more mappings. Actually the notion of commutativity has been weakened in various ways by many research workers such as Jungck [1,2], Sessa [6], Sharma and Sahu [7], etc. Using these definition as introduced by the above research workers time to time, several authors have proved a large number of common fixed point theorems. For references one can see the literatures in the references.

Let S be a closed subset of a Hilbert space H. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of mappings of S into itself. Koparde and Waghmode [4] have proved common fixed point theorems for a sequence $\{T_n\}$ of mappings satisfying the condition

$$||T_i x - T_j y||^2 \le a(||x - T_i x||^2 + ||y - T_j y||^2)$$
 for $x, y \in S, x \ne y$, where $0 \le a < \frac{1}{2}$.

Pandhare and Waghmade [5] have proved common fixed point theorem for a sequence $\{T_n\}$ of mapping satisfying the condition

$$||T_{i}x - T_{j}y||^{2} \le a(||x - y||^{2} + b(||x - T_{i}x||^{2} + ||y - T_{j}y||^{2})$$

for all x, $y \in S$ and $x \neq y$, where $0 \leq a, 0 \leq b < 1$ and a + 2b < 1.

Veerapandi and Kumar [9] have generalized the above conditions in the following ways and have proved several fixed point theorems.

There exist real numbers a, b, c, satisfying $0 \le a,b,c < 1$ and a+2b+2c < 1 such that for each $x,y \in S$ and $x \ne y$.

$$\| T_{i}x - T_{j}y \|^{2} \le a(\| x - y \|^{2} + b(\| x - T_{i}x \|^{2} + \| y - T_{j}y \|^{2}) + c$$

(A)

$$\frac{c}{2}(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{T}_{j}\mathbf{y}\|^{2} + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{T}_{i}\mathbf{x}\|^{2})$$

(B) There exists a real number h satisfying $0 \le h < 1$ such that for all x, $y \in S$ and $x \ne y$

$$\| T_{i}x - T_{j}y \|^{2} \le h \max\{ \| x - y \|^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\| x - T_{i}x \|^{2} + \| y - T_{j}y \|^{2}).$$

$$\frac{1}{4} (\| x - T_{j}y \|^{2}) + (\| y - T_{i}x \|^{2}) \}$$

(C) There exists a real number h satisfying
$$0 \le h < 1$$
 such that for all $x, y \in S$ and $x \ne y$
 $\| T_i x - T_j y \|^2 \le h \max\{ \| x - y \|^2 . \| x - T_i x \|^2 . \| y - T_j y \|^2 \}$.
 $\frac{1}{4} (\| x - T_j y \|^2) + (\| y - T_i x \|^2) \}$

(D) There exists a real number h satisfying $0 \le h < 1$ such that for all $x \in S$

$$|| T_i x - T_j T_i x ||^2 \le h || x - T_i x ||.$$

In the above conditions the constants are taken in such a way that their sums is less than one and this helps then to show that the sequence of iteration is Cauchy and because the Hilbert space H is complete the converging point ultimately becomes a fixed point. In this paper the constants are extended so that the technique of the proof has become non-routine, in the proof of our theorems.

II. DEFINITION

Let A be a bounded subset of Banach space X. A point $a \in X$ is said to be a non-diametral point of A is Sup { $||x-a||.x \in a$ } < $\delta(A)$. A bounded convex subset K of X is said to be have normal structure if for each convex subset H of K which contains more than one point there exists an $x \in H$ which is a non-diametral point of H.

Hilbert space has always a normal structure.

We have proved the following theorems.

Theorem 1. Let X be Hilbert space and K be a non-empty bounded closed convex subset of X. Let $T_1, T_2 : K \rightarrow K$ be such that

(A)
$$||T_1x - T_2y||^2 \le a_1(x, y)||x - y||^2 + a_2(x, y)||x - T_1x||^2 + a_3(x, y)||y - T_2y||^2 + a_4(x, y)||x - T_2y||^2 + a_5(x, y)||y - T_1x||^2$$

where $a_i(x,y) \ge 0 \forall x,y \in K$ and

 $\sup \{2a_1(x, y) + 11a_2(x, y) + 11a_3(x, y) | 8a_4(x, y) | 8a_5(x, y) = 2$ $x, y \in k$

 $T_1F \subset F$ iff $T_2F \subset F$ for every convex subset F of K. (B)

Either sup $|| x - T_1 x || < \delta(F)$ (C) x∈F

$$\sup_{x\in F} \parallel x - T_2 x \parallel < \delta(F)$$

for every non-empty bounded closed convex subset F of K which is mapped into itself by T_1 or T_2 . Then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let Y be the family of all non-empty bounded closed convex subsets of K ordered by set inclusion which are mapped into itself by T₂. Since X is a Hilbert space, it is a reflexive Banach space and hence by Smulian's result [8] every decreasing sequence of non-empty bounded closed convex subsets of X has non-empty intersection and by Zorn's lemma, it follows that X possesses a minimal element F, say. If F contains only element then that element becomes a fixed point of T_2 . We shall show that F contains only one element. We suppose on the contrary that F contains more than one point, which we will show implies a contradiction.

Let
$$A = \sup_{y \in F} ||T_2 y - y||$$
. By the condition (C) A > $\delta(F)$

We now define the following terms for $x \in F$.

Let
$$\gamma_{x}(F) = \max\{\sup_{y \in F} || x - y || .A\}$$

$$\gamma(\mathbf{F}) = \inf\{\gamma_x(\mathbf{F}), x \in \mathbf{F}\}$$

and
$$F_c = \{x \in F; \gamma_x(F) = \gamma(F)\}.$$

We now show that F_c is non-empty closed and convex. For a positive integer n and for $x \in F$, let $F(x,n) = \{y \in F; ||x-y|| \le \gamma(F) + 1\}$ 1/n and $C_n = \bigcap F(x,n)$.

$$x \in F$$

We show first that C_n is non-empty. If possible let $C_n = \varphi$, then there exist x_1 and $x_2 \in F$ such that $F(x_1,n) \cap F(x_2,n) = \varphi$. By construction $F(x_1,n) = \{y \in F: ||x_1-y|| \le \gamma(F) + 1/n\}$ and similarly $F(x_2,n)$.

$$\| \mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2} \| \ge 2\gamma(\mathbf{F}) + \frac{2}{n}$$
(1)
Now for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}$, $\sup_{\mathbf{y} \in F} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \ge \frac{\delta(F)}{2}$ and $\gamma_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{F}) \ge \frac{\delta(F)}{2}$ and this implies $\frac{\delta(F)}{2} \le \gamma(\mathbf{F})$.

© 2019, IJSRMSS All Rights Reserved

 $y \in F$

Therefore $\delta(F) < 2\gamma(F) + 2/n$. So from (1) $||x_1-x_2|| > \delta(F)$ which is a contradiction because $x_1, x_2 \in F$. Therefore C_n is non-empty.

It may further be verified that C_n is closed, convex and that $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$.

We wish to show that
$$F_c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$$
.
For this let $y \in F_c$. Then $v_y(F) = \gamma(F)$
So $\max\{\sup_{X \in F} || y - x ||, A\} = \gamma(F)$ and so
 $\{\sup_{X \in F} || y - x || < \gamma(F)$ (2)

We verify that $y \in F(x,n)$ for all $x \in F$ and for all n. If possible let $y \notin F(x,n)$ for some x and for some n. Then $||x-y|| > \gamma(F) + (1/n)$ (3)

From (2) we see that $||x-y|| \le \gamma(F)$ which is a contradiction to (3).

C_n

So
$$y \in \bigcap_{\substack{n=1 \\ \infty}}^{\infty} C_n \text{ and so } F_c \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

next let $y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$. Then $y \in F(x,n)$ for all x and for all n and this implies that $\sup_{x \in F} ||x-y|| \le \gamma(F)$. Also $A \le \gamma(F)$. These two give

$$\gamma_y(F) \leq \gamma(F)$$
. But $\gamma(F) \leq \gamma_y(F)$ always and then $\gamma_y(F) = \gamma(F)$ and this gives $\gamma \in F_c$. So $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \subset F_c$. Thus $F_c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$.

This equality further gives that F_c is closed and convex and by Smulian's result [8] non-empty. Next we show that $\delta(F_c) < \delta(F)$. Since K has a normal structure and $A < \delta(F)$ three exists a point $x \in F$ such that $\gamma_x(F) < \delta(F)$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} & x_{1}, x_{2} \in F_{c}, \mbox{ then } \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \leq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \leq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma_{x_{1}} \ (F) = \gamma(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| \geq \gamma(F) \leq \gamma(F) \leq \delta(F). \\ & So \qquad \delta(F_{c}) = \sup \left\{ \|x_{1} - x_{2}\| + x_{2}(x, y) \ \|y_{1} - T_{2}y\|^{2} + x_{3}(x, y) + 2x_{3}(x, y) +$$

Int. J. Sci. Res. in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences

Taking the positive square root, we get

$$\|\mathbf{T}_{2}\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{T}_{1}\mathbf{x}\| \leq \max \{ \sup_{\mathbf{y}\in \mathbf{F}} \| \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} \|^{2}, \sup_{\mathbf{y}\in \mathbf{F}} \| \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{T}_{2}\mathbf{y} \| \}$$
$$= \max \{ \sup_{\mathbf{y}\in \mathbf{F}} \| \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} \|, \mathbf{A} \} = \gamma_{\mathbf{x}}(F) = \gamma(F).$$

So the set $T_2(F)$ is contained in a closed sphere with centre at T_1x and radius v(F). We denote this sphere by \overline{U} .

Clearly T₂ (F
$$\bigcap \overline{U}$$
) \subset F $\bigcap \overline{U}$ and because F is minimal, F $\bigcap \overline{U}$ and so

$$\sup_{y \in F} ||T_1x - y|| < \gamma(F)$$
(5)

Now

(C)

Either

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{T_{1}x}\left(\mathbf{F}\right) &= \max \left\{ \sup_{y \in F} \|T_{1}x - y\|.\mathbf{A} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \gamma(\mathbf{F}), \mathbf{A} \right\}, \text{ from (5)} \\ &= \gamma(\mathbf{F}), \text{ because } \gamma(\mathbf{F}) \geq \mathbf{A}. \end{split}$$

Hence $\gamma_{T_1x}(F) \leq \gamma(F)$. But we always have $\gamma(F) \leq \gamma T_1x(F)$

So $\gamma_{T,x}(\mathbf{F}) = \gamma(\mathbf{F}).$

This implies that $T_1(x) \in F_c$ and by (B) $T_2(x) \in F_c$.

Therefore F_c is a non-empty, closed, convex subset of F which is mapped into itself by T_1 and T_2 and because of (4) $\delta(F_c) < \delta(F)$.

Therefore, F_c is a proper subset of F. This contradicts the fact that F is minimal. Therefore, F cannot contain more than one element, but F is not empty. Hence F contains only one element which is clearly a fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . **Note :** If $T_1 = T_2$ and $a_2(x,y) = a_3(x,y) = a_4(x,y) = a_5(x,y) = 0$ for all $x,y \in X$ and $a_1(x,y) = a$, 'a' constant the theorem proved in

Kirk [3] follows.

Theorem 2. Let X be a Hilbert space and K be a non-empty bounded closed convex subset of X. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of mappings which map K into itself and satisfy

(A) $\begin{aligned} \|T_{i}x-T_{j}y\|^{2} &\leq a_{1}(x,y) \|x-y\|^{2} + a_{2}(x,y) \|x-T_{i}x\|^{2} + a_{3}(x,y) \|y-T_{j}y\|^{2} \\ &+ a_{4}(x,y) \|x-T_{j}y\|^{2} + a_{5}(x,y) \|y-T_{i}x\|^{2} \end{aligned}$ where, $a_{i} \geq 0$; i=1,2,3,4,5 and $\sup_{x,y \in K} \{2a_{1}(x,y)+11a_{2}(x,y)+11a_{3}(x,y)+8a_{4}(x,y) +8a_{5}(x,y)\} \leq 2.$

(B) $T_1F \subset F$ iff $T_iF \subset F$ for every convex subset F of K,

 $\sup_{x \in F} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}\| < \delta(\mathbf{F})$ $\sup_{x \in F} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}\| < \delta(\mathbf{F})$

$$x \in F$$

for every empty bounded closed, convex subset of K which are mapped into itself by either T_i or T_j.

Then $\{T_n\}$ has a common fixed point in X.

Proof. Picking any two mappings T_i and T_j from $\{T_n\}$ and following the proof of the Theorem 1, it follows that T_i and T_j have a common fixed point in X. Since T_i and T_j are any two mappings it follows that $\{T_n\}$ has a common fixed point in X. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Jungck, G. Commuting mappings and fixed points. Amer. Math. Monthly, 83, 1976, 216-263.
- [2]. Jungck, G. Compatible mappings and common fixed points. Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., 9, 1986, 771-779.

[3]. Kirk, W.A. A fixed point theorem for mapping which do not increase distances. Amer. Math. Monthly, 72, 1965, 1004-1008.

[4]. Kolmogorov, A.N. & Fomin, S.V. Elements of the theory of functions and functional analysis. Jauka, Moscow, 1989.

[5]. Pai, D.V. & Veeramani, P. On a fixed point theorem on uniformly convex Banach spaces. Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 13, 6, 1982, 647-650.

[6]. Sastry, K.P.R., Babu, G.V.R. & Rao, D. Narayana Fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 91, 1999, 493-502.

[7]. Sharma, B.K. & Sahu, W.K. A common fixed point theorem under asymptotic regularity at a point. *Pure & Appl. Math. Scienence*, 34, 1, 1999.
[8]. Smulian, V. On the principle on inclusion in the space of type (B), *Math. Sornik (N.S.)*, *5*, 1939, 327-328.

[9]. Veerapandi, T. & Kumar, S.A. Common fixed point theorems of a sequence of mappings in Hilbert space. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 91, 1999, 299-308.