International Journal of Scientific Research in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences **Research Paper**
Vol.6, Issue.3, pp.149-152, June (2019) **E-ISSN:** 2348-4519
DOI: https://doi.org/10.26438/ijsrmss/v6i3.149152

The concept of Reneging and deferment in the arena of Queuing system

S.K. Maragathasundari [1*], S.Radha[2], N. Murugeswari [3]

[1,2,3]Dept. of Mathematics, Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Deemed to be University, Krishnankovil, India

[*]Corresponding Author: maragatham01@gmail.com, Tel.: +00-12345-54321

Available online at: www.isroset.org

Received: 12/May/2019, Accepted: 10/Jun/2019, Online: 30/Jun/2019

Abstract— This paper deals with a Queuing model in which an organization is rendered by systems for single server Also server squares whimsically in light of various reasons at the season of association. Here the association interruption occurs in the midst of the season of fundamental favorable position towards the clients. Fix procedure does not begin right away. A substantial postponement occurs in two phases before the initiation of patch up amidst the essential time of deferral, by virtue of uneasiness, the clients renege. Next amidst the second time of deferral, obliged sensibility knows about purpose of restriction the length of the line. For the above portrayed covering issue, the technique with state likelihood making inspiration driving choosing line length in the unequivocal packaging is settled utilizing profitable variable system. In like way the other execution degrees of the model are settled.

Keywords—*Deferement, Reneging, Style, Revamp process*

I. INTRODUCTION

Covering hypothesis is a numerical examination of a line. There are broad extents of conditions, as a general rule, where holding up in a line is required and fundamental. Covering hypothesis has applications in different fields, for example, correspondence, making adventures, Textiles, and so forth. In like manner it out and out help framework originators in their choice as for the structure parameter.

Expressly this Queuing model gives a thought concerning Reneging and bound pleasantness amidst the yield technique which occurs in all the reasonable conditions. Group passage line was all around inspected by [2]. [1] made an examination on Mobile adhoc frameworks issue through a queueing approach. [5] thought about general distributionQueue with self-assertive breakdowns, general and general fix times. A Markovian Feedback Queue with Retention was looked into by [4]. [3] inspected about On an ingle server line with exhaustive deterministic organization and a single escape approach. [7] made an examination on fixing plan of general organization Distribution with an establishment time and second discretionary association. [6] analyzed three stage input line. The model clarified here discovers its application in different genuine situations. While the administration is going on, administration is interfered with which is un avoidable. It is typical that as a server gets into administration interference, it could possibly embrace a fix procedure immediately. Here the idea of postpone happens in two phases. The second phase of deferral is optional. In expansion, as the server is getting postponed to get into the fix procedure, to keep away from the over group in the framework, the procedure of limited suitability happens when the server is currently second phase of delay. For this sort of Queuing model, Probability creating capacity of the Queue estimate, inactive time of the Service, usage factor and the various execution measures are all around determined by methods for strengthening variable technique.

II. MATHEMATICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE QUEUING MODEL

We define the probability generation function as follows:

$$M(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n M(x) \quad ;$$

$$S_n(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n S_n(x) \; ;$$

$$D^{(1)}(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n D_n^{(1)}(x)$$

$$D^{(2)}(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n D_n^{(2)}(x)$$

The arithmetical understanding of the Queuing system has the ability to be depicted by the subsequent theory:

Clients arrive seeks after poisson dispersion. There is a solitary server giving service. The benefit time pursues general dissemination with dispersion $R_1(s)$ and density function $g(s)$.Let δ(x)dx be the prohibitive probability of organization consummation of the principle period of organization in the midst of the break (x,x+dx), given that the snuck past time is x, so that .

$$R_1(x) = \frac{g(x)}{1-R_1(x)} \quad g(s) = \delta(s)e^{-\int_0^s \delta(x)dx}. \tag{a}$$

In the same way for the first stage of delay and second stage of delay we have the following:

$$\gamma_1(x) = \frac{k(x)}{1-R_2(x)}, \quad k(s) = \gamma_1(s)e^{-\int_0^s \gamma_1(x)dx}.$$

$$\gamma_2(x) = \frac{j(x)}{1-R_2(x)}, \quad j(s) = \gamma_2(s)e^{-\int_0^s \gamma_2(x)dx}. \tag{b}$$

The restricted suitability concept 'c' does not allow all the arriving customers to join the system consistently during the second stage of delay. c takes the value from 0 to 1.The server may miss the mark or be presented to separate aimlessly. Break down rate is θ > 0. Subsequently for fix process, we have

$$\eta(x) = \frac{f^*(x)}{1-R_2(x)}, \quad f^*(s) = \eta(s)e^{-\int_0^t \eta(x)dx}. \tag{c}$$

Also $f(t) = \beta e^{-\beta t}, \beta > 0$ .Let $f(t)\,dt$ be the likelihood that a client can renege during the first stage of delay

III. STEADY STATE EQUATIONS LEADING THE SYSTEM

For the defined queuing model, the governing equations are as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dx}M_n(x) + (\epsilon + \theta + \delta(x))M_n(x) = \epsilon M_{n-1}(x).$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}M_0(x) + (\epsilon + \theta + \delta(x))M_0(x) = 0\,. \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}D_n^{(1)}(x) + (\epsilon + \beta + \gamma_1(x))D_n^{(1)}(x) = \epsilon D_{n-1}^{(1)}(x) + \beta D_{n+1}^{(1)}(x). \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}D_n^{(2)}(x) + (\epsilon + \gamma_2(x))D_n^{(2)}(x) = \epsilon D_{n-1}^{(2)}(x). \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}S_n(x) + (\epsilon + \eta(x))S_n(x) = \epsilon S_{n-1}(x). \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}S_0(x) + (\epsilon + \eta(x))S_0(x) = 0. \tag{6}$$

$$\lambda W = \int_0^\infty S_0(x)\eta(x)dx + \int_0^\infty M_0(x)\delta(x)dx. \tag{7}$$

The following boundary conditions are used to solve the above differential equations

$$M_n(0) = \int_0^\infty M_{n+1}(x)\delta(x)dx + \int_0^\infty S_{n+1}(x)\eta(x)dx + \lambda Q. \tag{8}$$

$$D_n^{(1)}(0) = \theta \int_0^\infty M_{n-1}(x)dx = \theta M_{n-1}. \tag{9}$$

$$D_n^{(2)}(0) = \int_0^\infty D_n^{(1)}(x)\gamma_1(x)dx. \tag{10}$$

$$S_n(0) = \int_0^\infty D_n^{(2)}(x)\gamma_2(x)dx. \tag{11}$$

IV. DISTRIBUTION OF THE LINE LENGTH

To tackle condition (1) to (7) for a shut frame arrangement we pursue the strategy set out beneath. We increase condition (1) by $z^n$and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1)z^n$ and adding to condition (2), we get

$$\frac{d}{dz}M(x,z) + (\epsilon - \epsilon z + \delta(x) + \theta)M(x,z) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{d}{dz}D^{(1)}(x,z) + \left(\epsilon - \epsilon z + \gamma_1(x) + \beta - \frac{\beta}{z}\right)D^{(1)}(x,z) = 0. \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{d}{dz}D^{(2)}(x,z) + (\epsilon - \epsilon z + \gamma_2(x))D^{(2)}(x,z) = 0. \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{d}{dz}S(x,z) + (\epsilon - \epsilon z + \eta(x))S(x,z) = 0. \tag{15}$$

For the boundary conditions, we apply the same procedure and obtain the following

Using (7) we get the above equation as

$$zM(0,z) = \int_0^\infty M(x,z)\delta(x)dx + \int_0^\infty S(x,z)\eta(x)dx + \lambda zW - \lambda W. \tag{16}$$

Also we have,

$$D^{(1)}(0,z) = \theta z M(z). \tag{17}$$

$$D^{(2)}(0,z) = \int_0^\infty D^{(1)}(x,z)\gamma_1(x)dx. \tag{18}$$

$$S(0,z) = \int_0^\infty D^{(2)}(x,z)\gamma_2(x)dx. \tag{19}$$

Integrating $\int_0^x (12)\,dx$,we get

$$M(x,z) = M(0,z)exp\left[-(\epsilon - \epsilon z + \theta)x - \int_0^x \delta(t)dt\right]. \tag{20}$$

Let $r_1 = \epsilon - \epsilon z + \theta$

On $\int (20)dx$, by parts gives

$$M(z) = M(0,z)\left[\frac{1-R_1(r_1)}{r_1}\right]. \tag{21}$$

Where $R_1(r_1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-(\epsilon - \epsilon z + \theta)x}\,dR_1(x)$. is the Stieltje's transform of the service times$R_1(x)$

On applying the process of $\int (20)\,\delta(x)$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty M(x,z)\delta(x)dx = M(0,z)R_1(r_1). \tag{22}$$

Using (21) and (17) ,

$$D^{(1)}(0,z) = \theta z M(0,z)\left[\frac{1-R_1(r_1)}{r_1}\right] \tag{23}$$

Likewise $\int (14)dx$ and again integrating the resultant by parts, we get

$$\int_0^\infty D^{(1)}(x,z)\gamma_1(x)dx = \theta z M(0,z)\left[\frac{1-R_1(r_1)}{r_1}\right]R_2(r_2). \tag{24}$$

Similarly for second stage of delay,

$$D^{(2)}(z) = D^{(2)}(0,z)\left[\frac{1-R_3(r_4)}{r_3}\right]. \tag{25}$$

$$\int_0^\infty D^{(2)}(x,z)\gamma_2(x)dx = \theta z R_2(r_2)R_3(r_4)M(0,z)\left[\frac{1-R_1(r_1)}{r_1}\right]. \quad (26)$$

$r_3 = \epsilon - \epsilon z$

Next the same process is repeated for repair process

$$S(z) = S(0,z)\left[\frac{1-R_4(r_4)}{r_4}\right]. \quad (27)$$

$$\int_0^\infty s(x,z)\eta(x)dx = \theta z R_2(r_2)R_3(r_4)R_4(r_4)M(0,z)\left[\frac{1-R_1(r_1)}{r_1}\right]. \quad (28)$$

where $r_4 = \epsilon - \epsilon z$

Now using (22) & (28) in (16) we get

$$M(0,z) = \frac{\epsilon W(z-1)}{z-R_1(r_1)-\theta z R_2(r_2)R_3(r_4)R_4(r_4)\left[\frac{1-R_1(r_1)}{r_1}\right]}. \quad (29)$$

Substituting for $M(0,z)$ in (21),(23),(25) and (27) we get the equations in closed form.

V. Probability Generating Function of the Queue Size

Let $Y^*(z)$ be the probability generating function of the queue length such that

$$Y^*(z) = M(z) + D^{(1)}(z) + D^{(2)}(z) + S(z)$$

$$Y^*(z) = \frac{\epsilon W(z-1)\left[\frac{1-R_1(r_1)}{r_1}\right]\left[1+\theta z\left\{\begin{matrix}\left[\frac{1-R_2(r_2)}{r_2}\right]+R_2(r_2)\left[\frac{1-R_3(4)}{r_3}\right]+ \\ R_2(r_2)R_3(r_4) \\ \left[\frac{1-R_4(r_4)}{r_4}\right]\end{matrix}\right\}\right]}{z-R_1(r_1)-\theta z R_2(r_2)R_3(r_4)R_4(r_4)\left[\frac{1-R_1(r_1)}{r_1}\right]} \quad (30)$$

The normalization condition $Y^*(1) + \mathrm{W} = 1$ is used to find W. (31)

Due to indeterminate form of $Y^*(z)$ L'H rule is used.

$$\lim_{z\to 1} Y^*(z) = \frac{\frac{\epsilon W(1-R_1(\theta))}{\theta}}{1-\epsilon R_1{}'(r_1)-\theta\left\{\left[\frac{(1-R_1(\theta))}{\theta}\right][1+(\epsilon-\beta)E(R_2)+\epsilon E(R_3)+\epsilon E(R_4)]+E(R_1)\right\}}. \quad (32)$$

$$W = \frac{1-\epsilon R_1{}'(r_1)-\theta\left\{\begin{matrix}\left[\frac{(1-R_1(\theta))}{\theta}\right] \\ [1+(\epsilon-\beta)E(R_2)+\epsilon E(R_3)+\epsilon E(R_4)]+E(R_1)\end{matrix}\right\}}{\frac{\epsilon W(1-R_1(\theta))}{\theta}+1-\epsilon R_1{}'(r_1)-\theta\left\{\begin{matrix}\left[\frac{(1-R_1(\theta))}{\theta}\right] \\ [1+(\epsilon-\beta)E(R_2)+\epsilon E(R_3)+\epsilon E(R_4)]+E(R_1)\end{matrix}\right\}} \quad (33)$$

The utilization factor can be calculated using $\rho = 1 - W$. (34)

VI. System Queue Execution Procedures

Let L_q a chance to demonstrate the reliable state typical number of customers in the line. By then

$$L_q = \lim_{z\to 1}\frac{d}{dz}Y_q(z) = \frac{D'(1)N''(1)-D''(1)N'(1)}{2(D'(1))^2}. \quad (35)$$

Where primes mean subordinates with respect to z and after a course of action of logarithmic enhancement, we get length of the queue Lq in closed frame.

$$D'(1) = 1-\epsilon R_1{}'(\theta)-\theta\left\{\left[\frac{(1-R_1(\theta))}{\theta}\right][1+(\epsilon-\beta)E(R_2)+\epsilon E(R_3)+\epsilon E(R_4)]+E(R_1)\right\}.$$

$$D''(1) = \epsilon^2 R_1''(\theta)-\theta\Big[2\left(\frac{1-R_1(\theta)}{\theta}\right)\Big\{(\epsilon-\beta)E(R_2)+(\epsilon)E(R_4)+E(R_2{}^2)(-2\beta)+(\epsilon-\beta)E(R_2)\epsilon E(R_3)+(\epsilon-\beta)E(R_2)\epsilon E(R_4)+\epsilon E(R_3)\epsilon E(R_4)+\epsilon E(R_3)+\frac{(\epsilon)^2E(R_3{}^2)}{2}+\frac{\epsilon^2 E(R_4{}^2)}{2}\Big\}+R_1{}'(\theta)[1+(\epsilon-\beta)E(R_2)+\epsilon E(R_3)+(-\epsilon)E(R_4)]-R_1''(\theta)\Big].$$

$$N'(1) = \frac{\epsilon\left(1-R_1(\theta)\right)}{\theta}.$$

$$N''(1) = \epsilon W\left[2\left(\begin{matrix}-R_1{}'(\theta)+\left(\frac{1-R_1(\theta)}{\theta}\right)\theta \\ [E(R_2)+E(R_3)+E(R_4)]\end{matrix}\right)\right].$$

Substituting for $N'(1), N''(1), D'(1), D''(1)$ we obtain L_q in closed form and other performance measures follows from Little's formula $W_q = \frac{L_q}{\lambda},\ L = \frac{L}{\lambda},\ L = L_q + \rho.$

VII. Conclusion

The model delineated above especially lit up general association development by systems for single server, benefits impedance, and surrendered fix process in a non markovian covering model. Reneging is open essentially first time of yielded fix process. These parameters has an effect over all the execution measures. The outcomes are as anybody may anticipate. As a future work, a stay by server can be appeared in the midst of the season of discrete. Fix strategy can be given in stages. Likewise remain by server, shying away can be displayed. This display recognize clear occupation in social event units, correspondence structure, action intersection focuses, and so forth.

REFERENCES

[1] Dhanalakshmi and S. Maragathasundari, "*Mobile adhoc networks problem- A queueing approach",* International journal of communication networks and distributed systems**,** Vol.**21**, No.**4**, **2018**.

[2] G. Choudhury, "*A batch arrival queue with a vacation time under single vacation policy*", Computers and Operations Research, Vol.**29**, No.**14**, pp.**1941-1955**, **2002**.

[3] K.C. Madan and A.Z. Abu-Dayyeh, *"On a single server queue with optional phase type server vacations based on exhaustive deterministic service and a single vacation policy"*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol.**149**, No.**3**, pp. **723-734**, **2004**.

[4] R. Kumar and S.K. Sharma, "*A Markovian Feedback Queue with Retention of Reneged Customers and Balking*", AMO-Advanced Modeling and Optimization, Vol.**14**, No.**3**, pp.**681-688**, **2012**.

[5] R.F. Khalaf., K.C. Madan. & C.A. Lucas, "*An $M^{[x]}$/G/1 Queue with Bernoulli schedule, General vacation times, random breakdowns, general and general repair times"*, Applied mathematical sciences,Vol.**5**, No.**1**,pp.**35-51**, **2011**.

[6] S. Maragathasundari and S. Srinivasa, *"Analysis of M/G/1 feedback queue with three stage and multiple server vacation"*, Applied mathematical sciences, Vol.**6**, No.**125**, pp.**6221-6240**, **2012.**

[7] S. Maragathasundari, *"An examination on queuing system of general service Distribution with an establishment time and second discretionary administration",*Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math (2018) 4: 97. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40819-018-0529-3.(SPRINGER).

[8] S. Shanthi Sivanandam, A. Muthu Ganapathi Subramanian and Gopal Sekar, "*Transient analysis of single server queueing system with loss and feedback"*, International Journal of Computer science and Engineering, Vol.**07**, Special issue.**05**, pp.**270-274**, **2019**.

[9] Safwan Al-Salaimeh, "*The optimization problems of informational servicing logistics systems by using queuing theory",* International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer science,Engineering and Information Technology, Vol.**2**, Issue.**6**, pp.**273-277**, **2017**.

[10] V.P. Murugan, P.Sekar, "*Queueing models using simulation method in busy mall",* International Journal of Computer science and Engineering, Vol.**7,** Issue. **5**, pp.**1431-1435**, **2019.**

[11] Waleed M. Gaballah, " *Finite/Infinite Queueing models performance analysis in optical switching network nodes",* International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer science,Engineering and Information Technology, Vol.**5**, Issue.**1**, pp.**163-170**, **2019**.

AUTHORS PROFILE

S. Maragatha Sundari acquired her B.Ed degree from V.O.C Teachers College, Tuticorin in 1993. She got her M.Sc in Mathematics from Manonmaniam Sundaranar University; Tirunelveli in 1995 and her M.Phil degree from Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai in 2003. She did her Ph.D in Sathyabama University, Chennai, India. She has more than 17 years of instructing knowledge. She has distributed more than 50 inquire about papers in national and international journals. She has displayed and distributed papers at national and international conferences. She is right now filling in as an Associate Professor in the Department of Mathematics in Kalasalingam Academy Of Research And Education, Anand Nagar, Tamilnadu, Krishnankovil-626126, India. In addition, she is doing her research guidance for five research scholars.

S.Radha pursued her B.Sc degree from Manonmaniam Sundaranar University , Tirunelveli in 2006. She got her B.Ed degree from V.P.M.M. College of Education , Srivilliputur in 2007 and her M.Sc degree from Annamalai University, Chidambaram in 2009. She obtained her M.Ed degree from St. Justin's College Of Education , Madurai in 2010. She had 4 years of teaching experience. Now, She is doing research in the area of Queueing theory , Kalasalingam Academy Of Research And Education , Anand Nagar, Tamilnadu, Krishnankovil-626126, India.

N Murugeswari pursued her B.Sc: M.Sc from Virudhunagar Hindu Nadar's Senthikumara Nadar College , Virudhunagar in 2013 and 2015. She procured her M.Phil degree from Madurai Kamaraj University , Madurai in 2017. She possessed 8 months of experience in teaching . Now , She is doing research in the area of Queueing theory, Kalasalingam Academy Of Research And Education , Anand Nagar, Tamilnadu, Krishnankovil-626126, India.

