

A Modified Dual to Ratio Cum Product Estimator Using Two Auxiliary Variables in Stratified Sampling for Estimating Population Mean

Asra Nazir^{1*}, Rafia Jan², Showkat Maqbool³ and T.R. Jan⁴

^{1,2,4}Department of Statistics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India

³Division of Agricultural Statistics and Economics, FOA, Wadura, Skuast, Kashmir, India

Available online at: www.isroset.org

Accepted 17/Aug/2018, Online 30/Aug/2018

Abstract- The estimator proposed in literature by Tailor (2012) and the work on dual to the existing estimator has been extended by introducing additional parameters for estimating the population mean. The expression for the Mean Square Error (MSE) is obtained and compared with the existing. Numerical illustration is used to verify the PRE'S of the estimators.

Keywords- Auxiliary variable, Bias(B.), Mean Square Error(MSE), Stratified Sampling.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hansen, Hurwitz and Gurney (1946) envisaged combined ratio estimator. Singh (1967) defined a ratio-cum-product estimator of population mean of two variates. Estimator by Singh (1967) was modified by Tailor and Chouhan (2012) in stratified random sampling. Kadilar and Cingi (2003) studied many ratio type estimators using known parameters of auxiliary variate in each stratum. Tailor and Lone (2012) developed two separate ratio-cum-product estimators. Tailor and Chouhan (2014), and Tailor and Lone (2014) contributed well in stratified random sampling. Clement (2016) suggested an improved ratio estimator in stratified random sampling. Etebong (2018) introduced Improved Family of Ratio Estimators using Population Variance in Stratified Random Sampling.

Suppose we take population $U = U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_N$ of size N , which is divided into L strata

of size N_h ($h = 1, 2, 3, \dots, L$). Let y be the study variate and x and z are the two auxiliary variates taking values y_{hi}, x_{hi} and z_{hi} ($h = 1, 2, 3, \dots, L; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_h$), respectively, on i^{th} unit of the h^{th} stratum. A sample of

size n_h is drawn from each stratum which comprises a sample of size $= \sum_{h=1}^L n_h$.

II. NOTATIONS

$\bar{x}_h = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} x_{hi}$: sample mean of h th stratum for variate x ,

$\bar{y}_h = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} y_{hi}$: sample mean of h th stratum for variate y ,

$\bar{z}_h = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} z_{hi}$: sample mean of h th stratum for variate z ,

$\bar{X}_h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} x_{hi}$: h th stratum mean for variate x ,

$$\bar{Y}_h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} y_{hi} : \text{hth stratum mean for variate } y,$$

$$\bar{Z}_h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} z_{hi} : \text{hth stratum mean for variate } z, \bar{X} = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h : \text{Population mean of variate } x,$$

$$\bar{Y} = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h : \text{Population mean of variate } y,$$

$$\bar{Z} = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Z}_h : \text{Population mean of variate } z,$$

$$\bar{x}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^l W_h \bar{x}_h : \text{Unbiased estimator of } \bar{X},$$

$$\bar{y}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^l W_h \bar{y}_h : \text{Unbiased estimator of } \bar{Y},$$

$$\bar{z}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^l W_h \bar{z}_h : \text{Unbiased estimator of } \bar{Z},$$

$$S_{xh} = \frac{1}{N_h - 1} \sum_{i=1}^l (x_{hi} - \bar{X}_h)^2 : \text{Population mean square in hth stratum for variate } x,$$

$$S_{yh} = \frac{1}{N_h - 1} \sum_{i=1}^l (y_{hi} - \bar{Y}_h)^2 : \text{Population mean square in hth stratum for variate } y,$$

$$S_{zh} = \frac{1}{N_h - 1} \sum_{i=1}^l (z_{hi} - \bar{Z}_h)^2 : \text{Population mean square in hth stratum for variate } z,$$

$$W_h = \frac{N_h}{N} \quad \text{Stratum weight of the hth stratum}$$

The separate ratio and product estimators for \bar{Y} are defined, respectively as

$$\hat{Y}_{RS} = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{y}_h \left(\frac{\bar{X}_h}{\bar{x}_h} \right), \tag{1}$$

and

$$\bar{Y}_{PS} = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{y}_h \left(\frac{\bar{z}_h}{\bar{Z}_h} \right) \tag{2}$$

The biases(B.) and mean-squared errors(MSE) of \hat{Y}_{RS} and \bar{Y}_{PS}

$$B(\hat{Y}_{RS}) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \gamma_h (C_{xh} - \rho_{xyh} C_{xh} C_{yh}) \tag{3}$$

$$B(\widehat{Y}_{PS}) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \gamma_h \rho_{yzh} C_{yh} C_{zh} \tag{4}$$

$$MSE(\widehat{Y}_{RS}) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \gamma_h \begin{pmatrix} S_{xh}^2 + R_{1h} S_{xh}^2 \\ -2R_{1h} S_{yxh} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

And
$$MSE(\widehat{Y}_{PS}) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \gamma_h \begin{pmatrix} S_{xh}^2 + R_{2h} S_{zh}^2 \\ +2R_{1h} S_{yzh} \end{pmatrix}$$

(6)
Where $R_{1h} = \frac{\bar{Y}_h}{\bar{X}_h}$ and $R_{2h} = \frac{\bar{Y}_h}{\bar{Z}_h}$

The Tailor et al. (2012) estimator can be written as

$$\widehat{Y}_1 = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{y}_h \left(\frac{\bar{X}_h}{\bar{x}_h} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{z}_h}{\bar{Z}_h} \right) \tag{7}$$

The biases(B.)and mean-squared errors(MSE) of \widehat{Y}_1 are obtained as:

$$B(\widehat{Y}_1) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \gamma_h \begin{pmatrix} C_{xh} - \rho_{xyh} C_{xh} C_{yh} \\ + \rho_{yzh} C_{yh} C_{zh} - \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

$$MSE(\widehat{Y}_1) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \gamma_h \begin{pmatrix} S_{xh}^2 + R_{1h} S_{xh}^2 + \\ R_{2h} S_{zh}^2 - 2R_{1h} S_{yxh} \\ + 2R_{2h} S_{yzh} - 2R_{1h} R_{2h} S_{xzh} \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

III. PROPOSED ESTIMATOR

\bar{Y}

We suggested a generalized class of dual to ratio cum product estimator for estimating the population mean

$$\widehat{Y}_t^* = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{y}_h \left(\frac{a_h \bar{x}_h^* + b_h}{a_h \bar{X}_h + b_h} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{c_h \bar{Z}_h + d_h}{c_h \bar{z}_h^* + d_h} \right)^\beta \tag{10}$$

Where a_h, b_h, c_h and d_h are either known parameters or a functions of known parameters

where $x_h^* = \frac{N\bar{X}_h - nx_h}{N - n}$, $z_h^* = \frac{N\bar{Z}_h - nz_h}{N - n}$

The associated sample mean is obtained as

$$\bar{x}_h^* = (1 + g)\bar{X}_{h_h} - g\bar{x}_h \text{ and } g = \frac{n}{N - n}$$

$$\bar{z}_h^* = (1 + g)\bar{Z}_{h_h} - g\bar{z}_h$$

To examine the mean-squared error(MSE) of the suggested estimator we write. \widehat{Y}_t^*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_h &= \bar{Y}_h(1 + e_{0h}), \bar{x}_h = \bar{X}_h(1 + e_{1h}), \\ \bar{z}_h &= \bar{Z}_h(1 + e_{2h}) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} E(e_{0h}) &= E(e_{1h}) = E(e_{2h}) = 0 \\ E(e_{0h}^2) &= \gamma_h C_{yh} = E(e_{1h}^2) = \gamma_h C_{xh} \\ E(e_{2h}^2) &= \gamma_h C_{zh} \\ E(e_{0h}e_{1h}) &= \gamma_h \rho_{yxh} C_{yh} C_{xh}, \\ E(e_{1h}e_{2h}) &= \gamma_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh}, \\ E(e_{2h}e_{0h}) &= \gamma_h \rho_{yzh} C_{zh} C_{yh} \end{aligned}$$

Expressing equation (10) in terms of e's we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Y}_t^* &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h (1 + e_{0h}) \\ &\left(\frac{a_h \bar{X}_h - a_h g \bar{X}_h e_{1h} + b_h}{a_h \bar{X}_h + b_h} \right)^\alpha \\ &\left(\frac{c_h \bar{Z}_h + d_h}{c_h \bar{Z}_h - c_h g \bar{Z}_h e_{2h} + d_h} \right)^\beta \\ \widehat{Y}_t^* &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h (1 + e_{0h}) (1 - \theta_1 e_{1h})^\alpha (1 - \theta_2 e_{2h})^{-\beta} \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h (1 + e_{0h}) (1 - \alpha \theta_1 e_{1h}) (1 + \beta \theta_2 e_{2h}) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Where $\theta_1 = \frac{a_h g \bar{X}_h}{a_h \bar{X}_h + b_h}, \theta_2 = \frac{c_h g \bar{Z}_h}{c_h \bar{Z}_h + d_h}$

Now expanding the right-hand side of (11) and ignoring power than two, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h (1 + e_{0h} - \alpha \theta_1 e_{1h} + \beta \theta_2 e_{2h}) \widehat{Y}_t^* - \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h (e_{0h} - \alpha \theta_1 e_{1h} + \\ &\beta \theta_2 e_{2h} - \alpha \theta_1 e_{1h} e_{0h} + \beta \theta_2 e_{2h} e_{0h}) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Taking expectation and squaring on both sides of equation (12), the mean square error(MSE) is as

$$E(\widehat{Y}_t^* - \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h)^2 = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h E(e_{0h} - \alpha \theta_1 e_{1h} + \beta \theta_2 e_{2h})^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{MSE}(\widehat{Y}_t^*) &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h E(e_{0h}^2 + \alpha^2 \theta_1^2 e_{1h}^2 + \beta^2 \theta_2^2 e_{2h}^2 \\
 &\quad - 2\alpha\theta_1 e_{1h} e_{0h} - 2\alpha\beta\theta_1\theta_2 e_{1h} e_{2h} + 2\beta\theta_2 e_{2h} e_{0h}) \\
 \text{MSE}(\widehat{Y}_t^*) &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \gamma_h \bar{Y}_h^2 \left(\begin{aligned} &C_{yh}^2 + \alpha^2 \theta_1^2 C_{xh}^2 + \beta^2 \theta_2^2 C_{zh}^2 \\ &- 2\alpha\theta_1 \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} \\ &- 2\alpha\beta\theta_1\theta_2 \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \\ &+ 2\beta\theta_2 \rho_{yzh} C_{yh} C_{zh} \end{aligned} \right) \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{Y}_t^*) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \gamma_h \left(\begin{aligned} &S_{yh}^2 + \alpha^2 R_{1h}^2 \theta_1^2 S_{xh}^2 \\ &+ \beta^2 R_{2h}^2 \theta_2^2 C_{zh}^2 \\ &- 2\alpha R_{1h} \theta_1 \rho_{yxh} S_{xh} S_{yh} \\ &- 2\alpha\beta R_{1h} R_{2h} \theta_1 \theta_2 \rho_{xzh} S_{xh} S_{zh} \\ &+ 2R_{2h} \beta \theta_2 \rho_{yzh} S_{yh} S_{zh} \end{aligned} \right)$$

Now differentiating the equation (13) with respect to α and β

, we get the minimum mean square error (MSE) of the proposed estimator

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{S_{yh}(\rho_{yxh} - \rho_{yzh}\rho_{xzh})}{R_{1h}\theta_1 S_{xh}(1 - \rho_{zh}^2)} \\ \beta &= \frac{S_{yh}(\rho_{yxh}\rho_{xzh} - \rho_{yzh})}{R_{2h}\theta_2 S_{zh}(1 - \rho_{zh}^2)} \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{14}$$

Substituting the value of equation no (14) in (13) we get the minimum mean square error (MSE)of the proposed estimator

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{Y}_t^*) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \gamma_h S_{yh}^2 \left[1 - \frac{\rho_{yxh}^2 - \rho_{xzh}^2 + 2\rho_{yxh}\rho_{xzh}\rho_{yzh}}{(1 - \rho_{xzh}^2)} \right] \tag{15}$$

Table 1: New members of the Modified estimators

Estimators	Constants			
	a_h	b_h	c_h	d_h
$\widehat{Y}_{t1}^* = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \left(\frac{\bar{x}_h^* + \rho_{yxh}}{\bar{X}_h + \rho_{yxh}} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_h + \rho_{yzh}}{\bar{z}_h^* + \rho_{yzh}} \right)^\beta$	1	ρ_{yxh}	1	ρ_{yzh}
$\widehat{Y}_{t2}^* = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \left(\frac{\bar{x}_h^*}{\bar{X}_h} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_h}{\bar{z}_h^*} \right)^\beta$	1	0	1	0
$\widehat{Y}_{t3}^* = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \left(\frac{\bar{x}_h^* + C_{xh}}{\bar{X}_h + C_{xh}} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_h + C_{zh}}{\bar{z}_h^* + C_{zh}} \right)^\beta$	1	C_{xh}	1	C_{zh}

$\widehat{Y}_{t4}^* = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{y}_h \left(\frac{\beta_{2h}(x)\bar{x}_h^* + C_{xh}}{\beta_{2h}(x)\bar{X}_h + C_{xh}} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\beta_{2h}(z)\bar{Z}_h + C_{zh}}{\beta_{2h}(z)\bar{z}_h^* + C_{zh}} \right)^\beta$	$\beta_{2h}(x)$	C_{xh}	$\beta_{2h}(z)$	C_{zh}
$\widehat{Y}_{t5}^* = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{y}_h \left(\frac{\beta_{2h}(x)\bar{x}_h^* + C_{xh}}{\beta_{2h}(x)\bar{X}_h + C_{xh}} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\beta_{2h}(z)\bar{Z}_h + C_{zh}}{\beta_{2h}(z)\bar{z}_h^* + C_{zh}} \right)^\beta$	C_{xh}	$\beta_{2h}(x)$	C_{zh}	$\beta_{2h}(z)$

IV. EFFICIENCY COMPARISON

We have compared the mean square error(MSE) of the proposed estimator \widehat{Y}_t^* with the MSE of the \bar{y}_{st} , \bar{y}_{RS} and \bar{y}_{PS} is given as

Table 2

Existing estimator	Conditions
\bar{y}_{st}	$\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \gamma_h \left(\alpha^2 \theta_1^2 R_1^2 S_{xh}^2 + \beta^2 \theta_2^2 R_2^2 S_{zh}^2 - 2\alpha\theta_1 R_1 S_{xyh} - 2\alpha\beta\theta_1\theta_2 R_1 R_2 S_{xzh} + 2\beta\theta_2 R_2 S_{yzh} \right) > 0$
\bar{y}_{RS}	$\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \gamma_h \left(R_{1h}^2 S_{xh}^2 (\alpha^2 \theta_1^2 - 1) + \beta^2 \theta_2^2 R_{2h}^2 S_{zh}^2 - 2R_{1h} S_{xyh} (\alpha\theta_1 - 1) - 2\alpha\beta\theta_1\theta_2 R_{1h} R_{2h} S_{xzh} + 2\beta\theta_2 R_{2h} S_{yzh} \right) > 0$
\bar{y}_{PS}	$\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \gamma_h \left(R_{2h}^2 S_{zh}^2 (\beta^2 \theta_2^2 - 1) + \alpha^2 \theta_1^2 R_{1h}^2 S_{xh}^2 - 2R_{2h} S_{yzh} (\beta\theta_2 - 1) - 2\alpha\beta\theta_1\theta_2 R_1 R_2 S_{xzh} - 2\alpha\theta_1 R_{1h} S_{xyh} \right) > 0$
\widehat{Y}_1	$\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \gamma_h \left(R_{1h}^2 S_{xh}^2 (\alpha^2 \theta_1^2 - 1) + R_{2h}^2 S_{zh}^2 (\beta^2 \theta_2^2 - 1) - 2R_1 S_{xyh} (\alpha\theta_1 - 1) - 2R_1 R_2 S_{xzh} (\alpha\beta\theta_1\theta_2 - 1) + 2R_2 S_{yzh} (\beta\theta_2 - 1) \right) > 0$

V. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATION

we use the data set in Koyuncu and Kadilar [4]. The data statistics given in Table 2. In this data set (Y) is the number of teachers, (X) is the number of students and (Z) is the number of classes in both primary and secondary schools.

Table no 3:Data Statistics

$N_1=127$	$N_2=117$	$N_3=103$	$N_4=170$	$N_5=205$	$N_6=201$
$n_1=31$	$n_2=21$	$n_3=29$	$n_4=38$	$n_5=22$	$n_6=39$
$S_{y1} = 883.835$	$S_{y2} = 644$	$S_{y3} = 1033.467$	$S_{y4} = 810.585$	$S_{y5} = 403.654$	$S_{y6} = 711.723$
$\bar{Y}_1 = 703.74$	$\bar{Y}_2 = 413$	$\bar{Y}_3 = 573.17$	$\bar{Y}_4 = 424.66$	$\bar{Y}_5 = 267.03$	$\bar{Y}_6 = 393.84$
$S_{x1} = 30486.751$	$S_{x2} = 15180.760$	$S_{x3} = 27549.697$	$S_{x4} = 18218.931$	$S_{x5} = 8997.776$	$S_{x6} = 23094.141$
$\bar{X}_1 = 20804.59$	$\bar{X}_2 = 9211.79$	$\bar{X}_3 = 14309.30$	$\bar{X}_4 = 9478.85$	$\bar{X}_5 = 5569.95$	$\bar{X}_6 = 12997.59$

$S_{z1} = 555.5816$	$S_{z2} = 365.4576$	$S_{z3} = 612.9509$	$S_{z4} = 458.0282$	$S_{z5} = 260.8511$	$S_{z6} = 397.0481$
$\bar{Z}_1 = 498.28$	$\bar{Z}_2 = 318.33$	$\bar{Z}_3 = 431.36$	$\bar{Z}_4 = 498.28$	$\bar{Z}_5 = 227.20$	$\bar{Z}_6 = 313.71$
$S_{yx1} = 25237153.52$	$S_{yx2} = 9747942.85$	$S_{yx3} = 28294397.04$	$S_{yx4} = 1452885.53$	$S_{yx5} = 3393591.75$	$S_{yx6} = 15864573.97$
$S_{xz1} = 15914648$	$S_{xz2} = 5379190$	$S_{xz3} = 164900674.56$	$S_{xz4} = 8041254$	$S_{xz5} = 214457$	$S_{xz6} = 8857729$
$S_{yz1} = 480688.2$	$S_{yz2} = 230092.8$	$S_{yz3} = 623019.3$	$S_{yz4} = 36493.4$	$S_{yz5} = 101539$	$S_{yz6} = 277696.1$
$\rho_{yx1} = 0.936$	$\rho_{yx2} = 0.996$	$\rho_{yx3} = 0.994$	$\rho_{yx4} = 0.983$	$\rho_{yx5} = 0.989$	$\rho_{yx6} = 0.965$
$\rho_{xz1} = 0.939$	$\rho_{xz2} = 0.969$	$\rho_{xz3} = 0.036$	$\rho_{xz4} = 0.043$	$\rho_{xz5} = 0.045$	$\rho_{xz6} = 0.030$
$\rho_{yz1} = 0.978$	$\rho_{yz2} = 0.976$	$\rho_{yz3} = 0.983$	$\rho_{yz4} = 0.982$	$\rho_{yz5} = 0.964$	$\rho_{yz6} = 0.982$

Data set 2: [Source: National Horticulture Board (2010)]

y : Productivity (MT/hectare).

x : Production (000 tons).

z :Area (000 hectare)

N=20	n=8	N ₁ =10	N ₂ =10	n ₁ =4	n ₂ =4
$S_{y1} = 0.504$	$S_{y2} = 1.4128$	$S_{yx1} = 1.608$	$S_{yx2} = 144.88$	$\rho_{yx1} = 0.903$	$\rho_{yx2} = 0.918$
$\bar{Y}_1 = 1.70$	$\bar{Y}_2 = 3.67$	$S_{xz1} = 1.3838$	$S_{xz2} = -92.09$	$\rho_{xz1} = 0.3322$	$\rho_{xz2} = -0.076$
$S_{x1} = 3.53$	$S_{x2} = 111.69$	$S_{yz1} = -0.056$	$S_{yz2} = -7.046$	$\rho_{yz1} = -0.094$	$\rho_{yz2} = -0.46$
$\bar{X}_1 = 10.41$	$\bar{X}_2 = 289.14$	$S_{z1} = 1.18$	$S_{z2} = 10.81$	$\bar{Z}_1 = 6.32$	$\bar{Z}_2 = 80.67$

Table no: 4 PRE'S of the modified Estimators

Estimators	Data 1	Data 2
\bar{y}_{st}	100.00	100.00
\bar{y}_{RS}	1730.66	223.84
\bar{y}_{PS}	32.19	26.62
\hat{Y}_1	163.59	158.19
\hat{Y}_t^*	4683.33	356.12

VI. CONCLUSION

From the above table, it is clear that the suggested class of Dual to Ratio cum Product estimators has shown higher Relative Percent efficiency as compared to the existing estimators. So, the recommended estimator is more proficient than the existing estimator.

REFERENCES

- [1]. M.H. Hansen, W.N. Hurwitz, and M. Gurney "Problems and methods of the sample survey of business" J. Am. Stat. Assoc, Vol. 4, Issue. 1, pp. 173–189, 1946.
- [2]. M.P. Singh "Ratio-cum-product method of estimation", *Metrika*, Vol. 12, Issue. 1, pp. 34–43, 1967.
- [3]. C. Kadilar and H. Cingi "Ratio estimators in stratified random sampling" *Biom. J.* Vol. 45, Issue 2, pp. 218–225, 2003.
- [4]. R. Tailor, S. Chouhan, and N. Garg, "A ratio-cum product estimator of population mean in stratified random sampling using two auxiliary variables". *Statistica* Vol. 22, Issue. 3, pp. 287–297, 2012.
- [5]. R. Tailor and H.A. Lone "Separate ratio cum product estimators of population mean using auxiliary information". *J. Rajasthan. Stat. Asso*, Vol. 1, Issue. 2, pp. 94–102, 2012.
- [6]. R. Tailor, and S. Chouhan "Ratio cum product type exponential estimator of finite population mean in stratified random sampling". *Commun. Stat. Theory Methods* Vol. 4, Issue. 3, pp. 343–354, 2014.
- [7]. R. Tailor, and H.A. Lone "Separate ratio type estimators of population mean in stratified random sampling". *J. Modern Appl. Stat. Methods* Vol. 13, Issue. 1, pp. 223–233, 2014.
- [8]. N. Koyuncu and C. Kadilar "Family of estimators of population mean using two auxiliary variable in stratified sampling". *Commun. Stat. Theory. Methods* . Vol. 139, Issue. 8, pp. 2552–2558, 2009.
- [9]. P.C. Etebong "An improved ratio estimator of finite population mean in stratified sampling". *European journal of statistics and probability* . Vol . 4, Issue. 4, pp. 12–17, 2016.
- [10]. P.C. Etebong, "Improved family of ratio estimator of finite population variance in stratified sampling" 2018. DOI: 10.19080/BBOAJ.2018.04.555659.
- [11]. National Horticulture Board 2010. <http://nhb.gov.in/statistics/area-productionstatistics.html>