

Coupled Common Fixed Point Theorems of C -Class Function on Ordered S -Metric Spaces

R. Krishnakumar^{1*}, T. Mani², D. Dhamodharan³

¹Dept of Mathematics, Urumu Dhanalakshmi College, Bharathidasan University, Tamilnadu, India

²Dept of Mathematics, Trichy Engineering College, Anna University, Tamilnadu, India

³Dept of Mathematics, Jamal Mohammed College, Bharathidasan University, Tamilnadu, India

Corresponding Author: srkudc7@gmail.com

Available online at: www.isroset.org

Received: 01/Apr/2019, Accepted: 18/Apr/2019, Online: 30/Apr/2019

Abstract– In this paper, we discuss some results on coupled common fixed point theorems of C – Class function on ordered S – metric spaces, which are study of generalisation of some existing results are given in form of corollaries.

Keywords– S -metric space, ordered S -metric space, coupled fixed point, Common fixed point, C -Class function.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2012, S. Sedghi et al. introduced the concept of S -metric spaces[14]. In 2013, Animesh Gupta discussed the cyclic contraction on S -metric spaces[5]. S. Sedghi et al. developed the concept of generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces[15], [16]. In 1984, M.S. Khan, M. Swalech and S. Sessa expanded the research of the metric fixed point theory to a new category by introducing a control function which they called an altering distance function[11]. A.H. Ansari introduced the notion of C class function [2], [3] and many authors discussed in common fixed point and S -metric space [1], [7], [10], [12]. In this Paper, we proved some Coupled common fixed point theorems using C – Class function on ordered S – metric spaces, which are study of generalisation of some existing results.

The following definitions and properties will be needed in the sequel:

Definition 1.1. [14] Let X be a nonempty set. An S -metric on X is a function $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

(S1) $S(x, y, z) \geq 0$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ with $x \neq y \neq z$,

(S2) $S(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,

(S3) $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

The pair (X, S) is called an S -metric space.

Example 1.2. [5] Let X be a non-empty set, d is ordinary metric space on X , then

$S(x, y, z) = d(x, z) + d(y, z)$ is an S -metric on X .

Lemma 1.3. [15] Let (X, S) be an S -metric space. Then we have $S(u, u, v) = S(v, v, u)$.

Definition 1.4. [16] Let (X, S) be an S -metric space.

- (1) A sequence $\{u_n\}$ in X converges to u if and only if $S(u_n, u_n, u) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $S(u_n, u_n, u) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(u_n, u_n, u) = 0.$$

(2) A sequence $\{u_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if $S(u_n, u_n, u_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. That is there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m \geq n_0$, $S(u_n, u_n, u_m) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

(3) The S -metric space (X, S) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Lemma 1.5. [16] Let (X, S) be an S -metric space. If there exists sequence $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, y_n) = S(x, x, y)$.

Lemma 1.6. [9] Let (X, S) be an S -metric space. Then

$$S(x, x, z) \leq 2S(x, x, y) + S(y, y, z),$$

and

$$S(x, x, z) \leq 2S(x, x, y) + S(z, z, y),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 1.7. Let (X, \preceq) be partially ordered set. Then $a, b \in X$ are called comparable if $(a \preceq b)$ or $(b \preceq a)$ holds.

Definition 1.8. Let X be a nonempty set. Then (X, S, \preceq) is called an ordered S -metric space if:

- (1) (X, S) is an S -metric space,
- (2) (X, S) is a partially ordered set.

Definition 1.9. [6] Let (X, \preceq) be partially ordered set and $H : X \times X \rightarrow X$. The mapping H is said to has the mixed monotone property if H is monotone non-decreasing in its first argument and is monotone non-increasing in its second argument, i.e., for any $a, b \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1, a_2 \in X, a_1 \preceq a_2 &\Rightarrow H(a_1, b) \preceq H(a_2, b), \\ b_1, b_2 \in X, b_1 \preceq b_2 &\Rightarrow H(a, b_1) \succeq H(a, b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.10. [8] Let (X, \preceq) be partially ordered set and suppose $H : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$. The mapping H is said to has the mixed g -monotone property if H is monotone g -non-decreasing in its first argument and is monotone g -non-increasing in its second argument, i.e., for any $a, b \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1, a_2 \in X, g(a_1) \preceq g(a_2) &\Rightarrow H(a_1, b) \preceq H(a_2, b), \\ b_1, b_2 \in X, g(b_1) \preceq g(b_2) &\Rightarrow H(a, b_1) \succeq H(a, b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.11. [6] An element $(a, b) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings

$F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(a, b) = ga, F(b, a) = gb$ and their common coupled fixed point if $F(a, b) = ga = a$ and $F(b, a) = gb = b$.

Definition 1.12. [13] Let X be a non-empty set. Then we say that the mappings $K : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are commutative if $gK(a, b) = K(ga, gb)$.

Definition 1.13. [13] An element $(a, b) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of mapping $K : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $K(a, b) = a$ and $K(b, a) = b$.

Definition 1.14. Let (X, S) and (X', S') be two S -metric spaces, and let $f : (X, S) \rightarrow (X', S')$ be a function. Then f is said to be continuous at a point $a \in X$ if and only if for every sequence x_n in X , $S(x_n, x_n, a) \rightarrow 0$ implies $S'(f(x_n), f(x_n), f(a)) \rightarrow 0$. A function f is continuous at X if and only if it is continuous at all $a \in X$.

In the following lemma we see the relationship between a metric and an S -metric.

Lemma 1.15. [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) $S(u, v, z) = d(u, z) + d(v, z)$ for all $u, v, z \in X$ is an S -metric on X ;
- (2) $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\{X, d\}$ if and only if $u_n \rightarrow u$ in (X, S_d) ;
- (3) $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy in $\{X, d\}$ if and only if $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy in (X, S_d) ;
- (4) $\{X, d\}$ is complete if and only if (X, S_d) is complete.

Definition 1.16. [2] A mapping $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called C -Class function if it is continuous and satisfies following axioms:

- (1) $F(s, t) \leq s$;
- (2) $F(s, t) = 0$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$; for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$.

Note for some F we have that $F(0,0) = 0$.

We denote C -Class functions as \mathbb{C} .

Example 1.17. [2] The following functions $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are elements of \mathbb{C} , for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$:

- (1) $F(s, t) = s - t, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
- (2) $F(s, t) = ms, 0 < m < 1, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
- (3) $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{(1+t)^r}$; $r \in (0, \infty), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
- (4) $F(s, t) = \log(t + a^s)/(1+t), a > 1, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
- (5) $F(s, t) = \ln(1 + a^s)/2, a > e, F(s, 1) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
- (6) $F(s, t) = (s + l)^{(1/(1+t)^r)} - l, l > 1, r \in (0, \infty), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
- (7) $F(s, t) = s \log_{t+a} a, a > 1, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
- (8) $F(s, t) = s - \left(\frac{1+s}{2+s}\right) \left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
- (9) $F(s, t) = s\beta(s), \beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ and is continuous, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
- (10) $F(s, t) = s - \frac{t}{k+t}, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;

Definition 1.18. [11] A function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) ψ is non-decreasing and continuous,
- (ii) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Definition 1.19. [2] An ultra-altering distance function is a continuous, non-decreasing mapping $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(t) > 0, t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\varphi(0) \geq 0$.

We denote this set with Φ_u .

II. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, S, \preceq) be an ordered S -metric space. Let $\omega : X \rightarrow X$ and $H : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be mappings such that H has the mixed ω -monotone property on X and there exist two elements $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in X$ with $\omega(\alpha_0) \preceq H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\omega(\beta_0) \succeq H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$ such that as follows:

$$\psi(S(H(\alpha, \beta), H(x, y), H(\gamma, \delta))) \leq F \left(\begin{matrix} \psi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega x, \omega\gamma) + S(\omega\beta, \omega y, \omega\delta)]) \\ \varphi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega x, \omega\gamma) + S(\omega\beta, \omega y, \omega\delta)]) \end{matrix} \right) \tag{2.1}$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x, y, \delta \in X$ with $\omega\alpha \succeq \omega x \succeq \omega\gamma$ and $\omega\beta \preceq \omega y \preceq \omega\delta$ or $\omega\alpha \preceq \omega x \preceq \omega\gamma$ and $\omega\beta \succeq \omega y \succeq \omega\delta$ and $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function, $\varphi \in \Phi_u$ and $F \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume the following conditions:

- (i) $H(X \times X) \subset \omega(X)$,
- (ii) $\omega(X)$ is complete, continuous and commutes with H .

Then H and ω have a coupled coincidence point (α, β) . If $\omega\alpha \succeq \omega\beta$ or $\omega\alpha \preceq \omega\beta$, then there $\omega(\alpha) = H(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$.

Proof. Let α_0, β_0 be two points such that $\omega(\alpha_0) \preceq H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\omega(\beta_0) \succeq H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$. As $H(X \times X) \subset \omega(X)$, we take α_1, β_1 in a way that $\omega(\alpha_1) = H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\omega(\beta_1) = H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$.

Again since $H(X \times X) \subset \omega(X)$, take $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in X$ such that $\omega(\alpha_2) = H(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ and $\omega(\beta_2) = H(\beta_1, \alpha_1)$.

Repeating in this way to construct two sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ in X such that,

$$\omega(\alpha_{n+1}) = H(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \text{ and } \omega(\beta_{n+1}) = H(\beta_n, \alpha_n), \text{ for all } n \geq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

Now, we claim that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\omega(\alpha_n) \preceq \omega(\alpha_{n+1}), \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\omega(\beta_n) \preceq \omega(\beta_{n+1}). \tag{2.4}$$

By induction principle, take $n = 0$. Since $\omega(\alpha_0) \preceq H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\omega(\beta_0) \succeq H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$, we see that $\omega(\alpha_1) = H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\omega(\beta_1) = H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$, and so $\omega(\alpha_0) \preceq \omega(\alpha_1)$, and $\omega(\beta_0) \succeq \omega(\beta_1)$, i.e., (2.3) and (2.4) holds for $n = 0$. Suppose that (2.3) and (2.4) are valid for some $n > 0$. As H is a mixed ω -monotone property and also $\omega(\alpha_n) \preceq \omega(\alpha_{n+1}), \omega(\beta_n) \succeq \omega(\beta_{n+1})$, then from (2.2), we have

$$\omega(\alpha_{n+1}) = H(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \preceq H(\alpha_{n+1}, \beta_n)$$

and

$$H(\beta_{n+1}, \alpha_n) \preceq H(\beta_n, \alpha_n) = \omega(\beta_{n+1}).$$

similarly,

$$\omega(\alpha_{n+2}) = H(\alpha_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1}) \succeq H(\alpha_{n+1}, \beta_n)$$

and

$$H(\beta_{n+1}, \alpha_n) \succeq H(\beta_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}) = \omega(\beta_{n+2}).$$

Then from (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$\omega(\alpha_{n+1}) \preceq \omega(\alpha_{n+2}) \text{ and } \omega(\beta_{n+1}) \succeq H(\beta_{n+2})$$

We conclude by induction principle that (2.3) and (2.4) holds for all $n \geq 0$.

Continuing this process, we see clearly that

$$\omega(\alpha_0) \preceq \omega(\alpha_1) \preceq \omega(\alpha_2) \preceq \dots \preceq \omega(\alpha_{n+1}) \dots$$

and

$$\omega(\beta_0) \succeq \omega(\beta_1) \succeq \omega(\beta_2) \succeq \dots \succeq \omega(\beta_{n+1}) \dots$$

If $(\alpha_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1}) = (\alpha_n, \beta_n)$, then H and ω have a coupled coincidence point. So, we suppose that $(\alpha_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1}) \neq (\alpha_n, \beta_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$, i.e., we suppose that either $\omega(\alpha_{n+1}) = H(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \neq \omega(\alpha_n)$ or $\omega(\beta_{n+1}) = H(\beta_n, \alpha_n) \neq \omega(\beta_n)$.

Next, we prove that, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\psi(S(\omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_n)) \leq F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} (2k)^n [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)] \right), \\ \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2} (2k)^n [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)] \right) \end{array} \right) \tag{2.5}$$

For $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\alpha_2, \omega\alpha_2, \omega\alpha_1)) &= F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi(S(H(\alpha_1, \beta_1), H(\alpha_1, \beta_1), H(\alpha_0, \beta_0))), \\ \varphi(S(H(\alpha_1, \beta_1), H(\alpha_1, \beta_1), H(\alpha_0, \beta_0))) \end{array} \right) \\ &\leq F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi(k[S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)]), \\ \varphi(k[S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)]) \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} (2k) [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)] \right) \\ \varphi(k[S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)]) \end{array} \right).$$

And hence (2.5) holds for $n = 1$. Therefore, we assume that (2.5) holds for $n > 0$. Since $\omega(\alpha_{n+1}) \succeq \omega(\alpha_n)$ and $\omega(\beta_{n+1}) \preceq \omega(\beta_n)$, by using (2.2) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_n)) &= F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi(S(H(\alpha_n, \beta_n), H(\alpha_n, \beta_n), H(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}))), \\ \varphi(S(H(\alpha_n, \beta_n), H(\alpha_n, \beta_n), H(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}))) \end{array} \right) \\ &\leq F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi(k[S(\omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_{n-1}) + S(\omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_{n-1})]), \\ \varphi(k[S(\omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_{n-1}) + S(\omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_{n-1})]) \end{array} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_{n-1})) &= F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi(S(H(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), H(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), H(\alpha_{n-2}, \beta_{n-2}))), \\ \varphi(S(H(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), H(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), H(\alpha_{n-2}, \beta_{n-2}))) \end{array} \right) \\ &\leq F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi(k[S(\omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-2}) + S(\omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-2})]), \\ \varphi(k[S(\omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-2}) + S(\omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-2})]) \end{array} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_{n-1})) &= F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi(S(H(\beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), H(\beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), H(\beta_{n-2}, \alpha_{n-2}))), \\ \varphi(S(H(\beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), H(\beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), H(\beta_{n-2}, \alpha_{n-2}))) \end{array} \right) \\ &\leq F \left(\begin{array}{l} \psi(k[S(\omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-2}) + S(\omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-2})]), \\ \varphi(k[S(\omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-2}) + S(\omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-2})]) \end{array} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_{n-1})) + \psi(S(\omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_{n-1})) &\leq \\ &\psi(2k[S(\omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-2}) + S(\omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-2})]). \end{aligned}$$

holds for $n \in N$. From (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_n)) &\leq \psi(k[S(\omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_n, \omega\alpha_{n-1}) + S(\omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_n, \omega\beta_{n-1})]) \\ &\leq \psi(2k^2[S(\omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-1}, \omega\alpha_{n-2}) + S(\omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-1}, \omega\beta_{n-2})]) \end{aligned}$$

⋮

$$\leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} (2k)^n [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)] \right).$$

Hence for all $n \in N$, we have

$$\psi(S(\omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_n)) \leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} (2k)^n [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)] \right). \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose $m, n \in N$, with $m > N$. First, let $m = 2p + 1$, (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_n) &\leq 2(S(\omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_n) + \dots + S(\omega\alpha_{m-1}, \omega\alpha_{m-1}, \omega\alpha_{m-2})) \\ &\quad + S(\omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_{m-1}) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=n}^{m-2} (2k)^i + \frac{1}{2} (2k)^{m-1} \right) \times [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{(2k)^n}{1-2k} + \frac{1}{2} (2k)^{m-1} \right) \times [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)].$$

Further, let $m = 2p$. Again, using (S3),(2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(\omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_n) &\leq 2(S(\omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_{n+1}, \omega\alpha_n) + \dots + S(\omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_{m-1})) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (2k)^i [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)] \\ &\leq \frac{(2k)^n}{1-2k} [S(\omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_1, \omega\alpha_0) + S(\omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_1, \omega\beta_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $2k < 1$, using Lemma (1.2) we conclude that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} S(\omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_m, \omega\alpha_n) = 0$.

Thus $\{\omega\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $\omega(X)$. Similarly $\{\omega\beta_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $\omega(X)$. Since $\omega(X)$ is complete, we have $\{\omega\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\omega\beta_n\}$ are convergent to some $\alpha \in X$ and $\beta \in X$ respectively. Since ω is continuous, we have $\{\omega(\omega\alpha_n)\}$ is convergent to $\omega\alpha$ and $\{\omega(\omega\beta_n)\}$ is convergent to $\omega\beta$, that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\omega(\omega\alpha_n)) = \omega(\alpha) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\omega(\omega\beta_n)) = \omega(\beta).$$

Since, H and ω are commutative, we have

$$H(\omega(\alpha_n), \omega(\beta_n)) = \omega(H(\alpha_n, \beta_n)) = \omega(\omega(\alpha_{n+1}))$$

and

$$H(\omega(\beta_n), \omega(\alpha_n)) = \omega(H(\beta_n, \alpha_n)) = \omega(\omega(\beta_{n+1})).$$

Next, we claim that (α, β) is coupled coincidence point of H and ω . From (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(H(\alpha, \beta), H(\alpha, \beta), \omega\omega\alpha_{n+1})) &= \psi(S(H(\alpha, \beta), H(\alpha, \beta), H(\omega\alpha_n, \omega\beta_n))) \\ &\leq F \left(\begin{aligned} &\psi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\omega\alpha_n) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\beta_n)]), \\ &\phi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\omega\alpha_n) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\beta_n)]) \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and also ω is continuous, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(H(\alpha, \beta), H(\alpha, \beta), \omega\alpha)) &\leq F \left(\begin{aligned} &\psi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\beta)]), \\ &\phi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\beta)]) \end{aligned} \right) \\ &= F(0,0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\omega\alpha = H(\alpha, \beta)$. Similarly, $\omega\beta = H(\beta, \alpha)$.

Next we claim that $H(\alpha, \alpha) = \omega(\alpha) = \alpha$. Since (α, β) is a coupled coincidence point of H and ω , we have

$\omega\alpha = H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\omega\beta = H(\beta, \alpha)$. Suppose that $\omega\alpha \neq \omega\beta$. Then from (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha)) &= \psi(S(H(\beta, \alpha), H(\beta, \alpha), H(\alpha, \beta))) \\ &\leq F \left(\begin{aligned} &\psi(k[S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta)]), \\ &\phi(k[S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta)]) \end{aligned} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta)) &= \psi(S(H(\alpha, \beta), H(\alpha, \beta), H(\beta, \alpha))) \\ &\leq F \left(\begin{aligned} &\psi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha)]), \\ &\phi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha)]) \end{aligned} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\psi(S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta)) \leq F \left(\begin{aligned} &\psi(2k[S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta)]), \\ &\phi(2k[S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta)]) \end{aligned} \right).$$

Since $2k < 1$, we get

$$\psi(S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\beta)) \leq F \left(\frac{\psi(S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha))}{\phi(S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\alpha))} \right),$$

which is contradiction. Hence $\omega\alpha = \omega\beta$ and $H(\alpha, \beta) = \omega\alpha = \omega\beta = H(\beta, \alpha)$. Since $\{\omega\alpha_{n+1}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{\omega\alpha_n\}$, we have $\{\omega\alpha_{n+1}\}$ is convergent to α .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\alpha_{n+1})) &= F \left(\frac{\psi(S(H(\alpha, \beta), H(\alpha, \beta), H(\alpha_n, \beta_n)))}{\phi(S(H(\alpha, \beta), H(\alpha, \beta), H(\alpha_n, \beta_n)))} \right) \\ &\leq F \left(\frac{\psi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\alpha_n) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\beta_n)])}{\phi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \omega\alpha_n) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \omega\beta_n)])} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and also ω is continuous, we get

$$\psi(S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha)) \leq F \left(\frac{\psi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta)])}{\phi(k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta)])} \right).$$

and also,

$$\psi(S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta)) \leq F \left(\frac{\psi(k[S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta) + S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha)])}{\phi(k[S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta) + S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha)])} \right).$$

Thus,

$$\psi(S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta)) \leq F \left(\frac{\psi(2k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta)])}{\phi(2k[S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha) + S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta)])} \right).$$

Since $2k < 1$, by last inequality, only if $S(\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha, \alpha) = 0$ and $S(\omega\beta, \omega\beta, \beta) = 0$. Hence $\alpha = \omega\alpha$ and $\beta = \omega\beta$.

Thus we get $\omega\alpha = H(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$.

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, S, \preceq) be an ordered S -metric space. Let $\omega : X \rightarrow X$ and $H : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be mappings such that H has the mixed ω -monotone property on X and there exist two elements $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in X$ with $\omega(\alpha_0) \preceq H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\omega(\beta_0) \succeq H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$ such that as follows:

$$\psi(S(H(x, y), H(x, y), H(\alpha, \beta))) \leq F \left(\frac{\psi(k[S(\omega x, \omega x, \omega \gamma) + S(\omega y, \omega y, \omega \delta)])}{\phi(k[S(\omega x, \omega x, \omega \gamma) + S(\omega y, \omega y, \omega \delta)])} \right)$$

for $\alpha, \beta, x, y \in X$ with $\omega\alpha \succeq \omega x$ and $\omega\beta \preceq \omega y$ or $\omega\alpha \preceq \omega x$ and $\omega\beta \succeq \omega y$ and $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function, $\phi \in \Phi_u$ and $F \in \mathbf{C}$. Assume the following conditions:

- (i) $H(X \times X) \subset \omega(X)$,
- (ii) ω is continuous and commutes with H .
- (iii) $\omega(X)$ is complete.

Then there exist $\alpha \in X$ such that $\omega(\alpha) = H(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$.

Proof. From Theorem (2.1) by taking $\alpha = x$ and $\beta = y$.

Corollary 2.3. Let (X, S, \preceq) be an ordered S -metric space. Let $H : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be mappings such that H has the mixed monotone property on X and there exist two elements $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in X$ with $\alpha_0 \preceq H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\beta_0 \succeq H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$.

Let there exists a constant $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that the following holds:

$$\psi(S(H(x, y), H(x, y), H(\alpha, \beta))) \leq F \left(\begin{matrix} \psi(k[S(x, x, \alpha) + S(y, y, \beta)]) \\ \varphi(k[S(x, x, \alpha) + S(y, y, \beta)]) \end{matrix} \right)$$

for $\alpha, \beta, x, y \in X$ with $\alpha \succeq x$ and $\beta \preceq y$ or $\alpha \preceq x$ and $\beta \succeq y$. If (X, S, \preceq) regular then there exist $\alpha \in X$ such that $H(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$.

Proof. We defined $\omega : X \rightarrow X$ by $\omega\alpha = \alpha$. Then the mappings H and ω satisfies all the conditions of Corollary 2.2. Hence the result follows.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, S, \preceq) be an ordered S -metric space. Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $H : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be mappings has the mixed monotone property on X and there exist two elements $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in X$ with $\omega(\alpha_0) \preceq H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and

$\omega(\beta_0) \succeq H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$. Let there exists a constant $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that the following holds:

$$\psi(S(H(x, y), H(x, y), H(\alpha, \beta))) \leq F \left(\begin{matrix} \psi(k[S(\omega x, \omega x, \omega \alpha) + S(\omega y, \omega y, \omega \beta)]) \\ \varphi(k[S(\omega x, \omega x, \omega \alpha) + S(\omega y, \omega y, \omega \beta)]) \end{matrix} \right)$$

for $\alpha, \beta, x, y \in X$ with $\omega\alpha \succeq \omega x$ and $\omega\beta \preceq \omega y$ or $\omega\alpha \preceq \omega x$ and $\omega\beta \succeq \omega y$ and $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function, $\varphi \in \Phi_u$ and $F \in \mathbf{C}$. Assume the following conditions:

(i) $H(X \times X) \subset \omega(X)$,

(ii) $\omega(X)$ is complete,

(iii) ω is continuous and commutes with H .

Then there exist $\alpha \in X$ such that $H(\alpha, \alpha) = \omega(\alpha) = \alpha$.

Proof. Put $\varphi(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ the result follows. Moreover, we get a generalization of theorem given in [16].

Corollary 2.5. Let (X, S, \preceq) be complete ordered S -metric space. Let $H : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be mappings such that H has the mixed monotone property on X and there exist two elements $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in X$ with $\alpha_0 \preceq H(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and

$\beta_0 \succeq H(\beta_0, \alpha_0)$. Let there exists a constant $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that the following holds:

$$\psi(S(H(x, y), H(x, y), H(\alpha, \beta))) \leq F \left(\begin{matrix} \psi(k[S(x, x, \alpha) + S(y, y, \beta)]) \\ \varphi(k[S(x, x, \alpha) + S(y, y, \beta)]) \end{matrix} \right)$$

for $\alpha, \beta, x, y \in X$ with $\alpha \succeq x$ and $\beta \preceq y$ or $\alpha \preceq x, \beta \succeq y$ and $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function, $\varphi \in \Phi_u$ and $F \in \mathbf{C}$. If (X, S, \preceq) regular then there exist $\alpha \in X$ such that $H(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$.

Proof. Let $\omega : X \rightarrow X$ be defined as $\omega(\alpha) = \alpha$. Then all conditions of Corollary 2.4 are satisfied.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdollsattar Gholidahneh, Shaban Sedghi, Tatjana Dosenovic and Stojan Radenovic, "Ordered S -metric Spaces and Coupled Common Fixed Point Theorems of Integral Type Contraction", Mathematics Interdisciplinary Research, Vol.2, pp.71–84,2017.
- [2] A.H.Ansari, "Note on $\varphi - \psi$ - Contractive Type Mappings and Related Fixed Point", The 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics And Applications, PNU, pp. 377–380, September 2014.
- [3] Arslan Hojat Ansari, Sumit Chandok, Nawab Hussin and Ljiljana Paunovic, "Fixed Points of (ψ, φ) -Weak Contractions in Regular Cone Metric Spaces via New Function", J. Adv. Math. Stud. Vol.9, No.1, pp.72–82, 2016.
- [4] Arslan Hojat Ansari, R.Krishnakumar and D.Dhamodharan, "Cone C -Class Function on New Contractive Conditions of Integral Type on Complete Cone S -metric Spaces", International Journal of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol.5, No.(3-C), pp.261–275, 2017.
- [5] Animesh Gupta, "Cyclic Contraction on S -metric Space", International journal of Analysis and Applications, Vol. 3, No.2, pp. 119–130, 2013.
- [6] T.G.Bhaskar, V.Lakshmikantham, "Fixed Point Theorems in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces and Applications", Nonlinear Anal. Vol. 65, No.7, pp.1379–1393, 2006.
- [7] A.Branciari, "A Fixed Point Theorem for Mappings Satisfying a General Contractive Condition of Integral Type", International Journal of

- Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 29, No. 9, pp. 531–536, 2002.
- [8] Lj.Ciric, V.Lakshmikantham, “*Coupled Random Fixed Point Theorems for Nonlinear Contractions in Partial Ordered Metric Spaces*”, Stoch. Anal. Appl. Vol. 27, No. 6, pp. 1246–1259, 2009.
- [9] N.V.Dung, “*On Coupled Common Fixed Point for Mixed Weakly Monotone Maps in Partially Order S-metric Spaces*”, Fixed Point Theory Application, 2013:48, 17pp, 2013.
- [10] D.Dhamodharan and R. Krishnakumar, “*Cone S-metric Space and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings*”, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 237–243, 2017.
- [11] M.S.Khan, M.Swaleh and S.Sessa, “*Fixed Point Theorems by Altering Distances Between the Points*”, bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp.1–9, 1984.
- [12] R.Krishnakumar and T.Mani, “*Common Fixed Point of Contractive Modulus on Complete Metric Space*”, International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications, Vol. 5, No. (4-D), pp. 513–520, 2017.
- [13] V.Lakshmikantham, Lj.Ciric, “*Coupled Fixed Point Theorems for Non linear Contractions in Partial Ordered Metric Spaces*”, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 70, No. 12, pp. 4341–4349, 2009.
- [14] S.Sedghi, N.Shobe, A.Aliouche, “*A Generalization of Fixed Point Theorems in S-metric Spaces*”, Mat. Vesnik, Vol. 64, No.3, pp. 258–266, 2012.
- [15] S.Sedghi, I.Altun, N.Shobe, M.Salahshour, “*Some Properties of S-metric Space and Fixed Point Results*”, Kyungpook Math.J., Vol. 54, No. 1, pp. 113–122, 2014.
- [16] S.Sedghi, N.Shobe, T.Dosenovic, “*Fixed Point Results in S-metric Spaces*”, Nonlinear Func. Anal. Appl., Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 55–67, 2015.

AUTHORS PROFILE

Dr. R. Krishnakumar is the Associate Professor and Head of the PG & Research department of Mathematics, Urumu Dhanalakshmi College, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India. He has above 22 years’ experience in teaching. His field of research is Fixed Point Theory.

T.Mani is the Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Trichy Engineering College, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India. He has above 10 years’ experience in teaching. His field of Research is Fixed Point Theory.

D.Dhamodharan is the Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India. He has above 7 years’ experience in teaching. His field of Research is Fixed Point Theory.
