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#### Abstract

A graph G of order n is said to admit prime labeling if its vertices can be labeled with distinct positive integers not exceeding $n$ such that each pair of adjacent vertices have relatively prime labels. A graph $G$ that admits a prime labeling is called a prime graph. In this paper we investigate for prime labeling of some union graphs and later study some necessary and sufficient conditions for prime labeling of certain circulant graphs.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

We consider only finite, simple and undirected graphs. For a graph $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ and $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$ denote its vertex set and edge set respectively. We shall denote the cardinality of these sets by $|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|$ and $|\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})|$ respectively. We refer to Gross and Yellen [1] for graph theoretic terminology and notations and Burton [2] for number theory results. We begin with the definition of prime labeling.
Definition 1.1: Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. A bijection $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}\}$ is said to be a prime labeling of G , if for every pair of adjacent vertices $u$ and $v, \operatorname{gcd}(f(u), f(v))=1$. A graph that admits a prime labeling is called a prime graph.

Prime labeling was originated by Entringer and was discussed in a paper by Taut et al.[3]. In the past thirty-five years, varieties of graphs have been studied for primality and in recent times, some of the variants of prime labeling like cordial prime labeling [4] and neighborhood prime labeling [5] are also studied extensively. A brief summary on prime labeling and its variants is available in the dynamic survey of graph labeling by Gallian[6]. In this paper, we find some new results related to prime labeling.

We now give the organization of our paper. Section I contains a brief introduction of prime labeling. Section II deals with main results and related examples. In Section III we briefly review the main results of the paper and lastly discuss about future scope along with conclusion in Section IV.

## II. MAIN RESULTS

The independence number of a graph $G$ is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of G. It is denoted by $\beta_{0}(\mathrm{G})$. For proving some of the results we use the following lemma [7].
Lemma 2.1: If $\beta_{0}(G)<\left\lfloor\frac{|V(G)|}{2}\right\rfloor$, then $G$ is not a prime graph (where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding $\mathrm{x})$.

It is proved in [3] that the wheel graph $W_{n}=C_{n}+K_{1}$ is prime if and only if n is even. Also it is easy to prove that the cycle $C_{n}$ is prime for all $n$. Here we prove the result for union of wheel graph and cycle graph.
Theorem 2.2: $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{UC}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a prime graph if and only if n and $m$ both are even.
Proof: First we show that $\mathrm{W}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$ is not a prime graph. Let $G$ denote the graph $W_{2 n+1} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$. It may be verified that $\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\mathrm{n}$ and $\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\right)=\mathrm{m}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|V(G)|=2 n+2 m+3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|}{2}\right\rfloor=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}+1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by (1) and (2),

$$
\beta_{0}(\mathrm{G})<\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

Therefore in view of Lemma 2.1, G is not a prime graph.
Next we claim that if either $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{W}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$ or $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{W}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ then $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is not a prime graph. It is easy to see that $\beta_{0}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=n+m$ and $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=2 n+2 m+2$. So

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}+1
$$

Therefore

$$
\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)<\left\lfloor\frac{\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

Thus $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is not a prime graph.
Finally we prove that $W_{2 n} \cup C_{2 m}$ is a prime graph. Let $G^{\prime \prime}$ denote the graph $W_{2 n} \cup C_{2 m}$. Let the sets $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+3}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\right\}$ be the sets of vertices of $W_{2 n}$ and $C_{2 m}$ respectively, where $v_{1}$ is an apex vertex of $\quad \mathrm{W}_{2 n} \quad$.
Define $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+1\}$ as per the following two cases.
Case 1: $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{T} 1(\bmod 3)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)=1, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}+2, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)=2, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+3}\right)=3, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i},
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+1, \\
& \mathrm{i}=2 \mathrm{n}+4,2 \mathrm{n}+5, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: $\mathrm{n} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)=1, \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}+1, & \mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+1, \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)=2, \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}, & \mathrm{i}=2 \mathrm{n}+3,2 \mathrm{n}+4, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+1 .
\end{array}
$$

The definition of f given in Case 1 and Case 2 above is illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively. Under the given assumptions, it may be verified that f defines a prime labeling.


FIGURE 1. Prime labeling of $W_{10} \cup \mathrm{C}_{12}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{W}_{2.5} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \cdot 6}$ )


FIGURE 2. Prime labeling of $\mathrm{W}_{8} \cup \mathrm{C}_{12}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{W}_{2 \cdot 4} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \cdot 6}$ )
Note that $P_{n}+\bar{K}_{2}$ is prime if and only if either $n$ is odd or $n$ is 2 [8]. The next result is about union of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}$ and cycle graph.
Theorem 2.3: $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a prime graph if and only if either $n=2$, or $n$ is odd and $m$ is even.
Proof: First we show that $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a prime graph.
Let $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}\right\},\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of $\mathrm{P}_{2}, \overline{\mathrm{~K}}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ respectively. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}\left(\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}+4\} \text { as } \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)=3, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2}\right)=5, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}\right)=2, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right)=4, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)=1, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}+4, \quad \mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It may be verified that $f$ is a prime labeling on $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
To prove that for $\mathrm{n}>1$ neither $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$ nor $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ is a prime graph, we let
$G=\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\bar{K}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$ and $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$.
Since for $\mathrm{n}>1 \quad, \quad \beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{n} \quad$ and $\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\right)=\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right)=\mathrm{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}(\mathrm{G})=\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $\quad|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|=2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+3$ and
$|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|=2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+2$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|}{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3) and (4),

$$
\beta_{0}(\mathrm{G})=\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)<\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|}{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

Therefore in view of Lemma 2.1, neither $G$ nor $G^{\prime}$ is a prime graph.
Now we claim that $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$ is not a prime graph. Let $\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$. Note that
$\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}$ and $\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|=2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+4$, and therefore $\beta_{0}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\left\lfloor\frac{\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor$. So $G^{\prime \prime}$ is not a prime graph.
Finally we prove that $G^{*}=\left(P_{2 n+1}+\bar{K}_{2}\right) \cup C_{2 m}$ is a prime graph for all n and m . Let $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right\},\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{2 m}\right\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of $\mathrm{P}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \overline{\mathrm{~K}}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$ respectively. Now due to Bertrand's postulate, there exists a prime number p lying strictly between $\frac{2 \mathrm{n}+3}{2}$ and $2 \mathrm{n}+3$.
Define $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{G}^{*}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+3\}$ using this number p as per the following two cases.
Case 1: n T $0(\bmod 3)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}\right)=1, \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{p} \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{i}, & \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-4 \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=2 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{i}+2, & \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{p}-3, \mathrm{p}-2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+1
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)=2,
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)=3,
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}+2 \mathrm{n}+3, \quad \mathrm{i}=3,4, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{~m} .
$$

Case 2: $\mathrm{n} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}\right)=1 \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{p} \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{i}, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=2 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{i}+2, \quad \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{p}-2, \mathrm{p}-1, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+1,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)=2 \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}+2 \mathrm{n}+3, \quad \mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

It may be verified that $f$ is a prime labeling of $G^{*}$.■
The graph $C_{n}^{(k)}($ where $k>1)$ is the one point union of $k$ copies of cycle $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and it is obtained from the k copies of cycle $C_{n}$ by identifying one vertex from each of these $k$ copies of $C_{n}$. It is quite obvious that the graph $C_{n}^{(k)}$ is prime but there are some interesting results about prime labeling of union of such graphs which we studied in
[9]. Here we derive a result about union of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)}$ and the cycle graph $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
Theorem 2.4: $C_{n}^{(2)} \cup C_{m}$ is a prime graph if and only if at least one of $n$ and $m$ is even.
Proof: First we show that $C_{2 n+1}^{(2)} \cup C_{2 m+1}$ is not a prime graph. Let $G$ denote the graph $C_{2 n+1}^{(2)} \cup C_{2 m+1}$. It may
be verified that $\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}^{(2)}\right)=2 \mathrm{n}$ and $\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\right)=\mathrm{m}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}(G)=2 n+m \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|V(G)|=4 n+2 m+2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|}{2}\right\rfloor=2 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}+1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by (5) and (8),

$$
\beta_{0}(\mathrm{G})<\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.1, G is not a prime graph.
Now we prove that $C_{2 n}^{(2)} \cup C_{m}$ is a prime graph. Let $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{4 \mathrm{n}-1}\right\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of two cycles of $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{(2)}$ and, let $\left\{v_{4 n}, v_{4 n+1}, \ldots, v_{4 n+m-1}\right\}$ be set of consecutive vertices of $C_{m}$. Define $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{(2)} \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, 4 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}-1\}$ as $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)=2 \mathrm{n}+1$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}$,
$\mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}$ and
$4 \mathrm{n}+1,4 \mathrm{n}+2, \ldots, 4 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}-1$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}+1$,
$\mathrm{i}=2 \mathrm{n}+1,2 \mathrm{n}+2, \ldots, 4 \mathrm{n}-1$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{4 \mathrm{n}}\right)=1$.

It is easy to verify that f is a prime labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{(2)} \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
Finally we prove that $C_{2 n+1}^{(2)} \cup C_{2 m}$ is a prime graph. Let $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{n}+3}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{4 \mathrm{n}+1}\right\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of two cycles of $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}^{(2)}$ and, let $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2}, \mathrm{v}_{4 \mathrm{n}+3}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\right\}$ be set of consecutive vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$.
Define $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}^{(2)} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, 4 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+1\}$ as
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)=1$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}+2 \mathrm{~m}, & \mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots, 4 \mathrm{n}+1, \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}-4 \mathrm{n}, & \mathrm{i}=4 \mathrm{n}+2,4 \mathrm{n}+3, \ldots, 4 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+1 .
\end{array}
$$

It may be verified that f is a prime labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}^{(2)} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~m}} \cdot ■$

For $m>2$, the $(m, n)$-gon star denoted by $S_{n}^{(m)}$, is the graph obtained from the cycle $C_{n}$ and $n$ copies of the path $P_{m-2}$ by joining the two end vertices of a path $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}-2}$ to each pair of consecutive vertices of the cycle such that each of the end vertices of the path is adjacent to exactly one vertex of the cycle. It has total $n(m-1)$ vertices and $n m$ edges as can be seen in the graph of $S_{6}^{(4)}$ in Figure 3.


FIGURE 3. Graph $S_{6}^{(4)}$
In [8] it has been shown that $S_{n}^{(m)}$ is a prime graph for all $n$ and m . Here we derive results for union of two ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ )-gon stars.
Theorem 2.5: $S_{n}^{(m)} \cup S_{k}^{(j)}$ is not a prime graph if $m, j$ are even and $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}$ are odd.
Proof: Let $G=S_{n}^{(m)} \cup S_{k}^{(j)}$. Since $n$ is odd and $m$ is even, the independence numbers of the cycle $C_{n}$ and the path $P_{m-2}$ are $\frac{\mathrm{n}-1}{2}$ and $\frac{\mathrm{m}-2}{2}$ respectively. So the number of elements in any independent set of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ is at most
$\frac{\mathrm{n}-1}{2}+\mathrm{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}-2}{2}\right)=\frac{(\mathrm{m}-1) \mathrm{n}-1}{2}$. Similarly the cardinality of any independent set of $S_{k}^{(j)}$ is at most $\frac{(\mathrm{j}-1) \mathrm{k}-1}{2}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}(\mathrm{G}) \leq \frac{(\mathrm{m}-1) \mathrm{n}+(\mathrm{j}-1) \mathrm{k}-2}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{m}-1)+\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{j}-1)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|}{2}\right\rfloor=\frac{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{~m}-1)+\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{j}-1)}{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7) and (8),

$$
\beta_{0}(\mathrm{G})<\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

Thus G is not a prime graph.

Theorem 2.6: $S_{2 n}^{(2 m)} \cup S_{k}^{(2 m)}$ is a prime graph for all $n$, $m$ and k.

Proof: Let $G$ denote the graph $S_{2 n}^{(2 m)} \cup S_{k}^{(2 m)}$. Let $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{\left.2, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right\}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{\left.2, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} \text { be the sets of consecutive }}\right.$ vertices of the cycle $C_{2 n}$ and $C_{k}$ respectively. Also for $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq 2 \mathrm{n} \quad$ and $\quad 1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k} \quad$ let $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{i}}: 1 \leq \mathrm{q} \leq 2 \mathrm{~m}-2\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{j}}: 1 \leq \mathrm{r} \leq 2 \mathrm{~m}-2\right\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of the paths $P_{2 m-2}$ in $S_{2 n}^{(2 m)}$ and $S_{k}^{(2 m)}$ respectively, such that the vertices $u_{1}^{i}, u_{2 m-2}^{i}, v_{1}^{j}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-2}^{\mathrm{j}}$ are adjacent to the vertices $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}+1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}+1}$ respectively. Define $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots,(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)(2 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k})\}$ as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=(\mathrm{i}-1)(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)+2, & \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}, \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)=(\mathrm{i}-1)(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)+\mathrm{q}+2, & \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}, \\
\mathrm{q}=1,2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{~m}-2,
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)=1$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f\left(v_{j}\right)=(2 n+j-1)(2 m-1)+1, & j=2,3, \ldots, k \\
f\left(v_{r}^{j}\right)=(2 n+j-1)(2 m-1)+r+1, & j=1,2, \ldots, k \\
& r=1,2, \ldots, 2 m-2,
\end{array}
$$

The definition of $f$ is illustrated in Figure 4.
Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i} .}\right), \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{gcd}((\mathrm{i}-1)(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)+2,(\mathrm{i}(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)+2) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}((\mathrm{i}-1)(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)+2,2 \mathrm{~m}-1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(2,2 \mathrm{~m}-1) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{gcd}\left(f\left(v_{j}\right), f\left(v_{j+1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{gcd}((2 n+j-1)(2 m-1)+1,(2 n+j)(2 m-1)+1)$

$$
=\operatorname{gcd}((2 n+j-1)(2 m-1)+1,2 m-1)
$$

$$
=\operatorname{gcd}(1,2 \mathrm{~m}-1)
$$

$$
=1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(f\left(\mathrm{u}_{1 .}\right), \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right)= & \operatorname{gcd}(2,(2 \mathrm{n}-1)(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)+2) \\
& =1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1 .}\right), \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-2}^{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{gcd}(2,(2 \mathrm{n}-1)(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)+2 \mathrm{~m}-2+2) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(2,(2 \mathrm{n}-1)(2 \mathrm{~m}-1)+2 \mathrm{~m}) \\
& =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $f$ is a prime labeling on $G$.


FIGURE 4. Prime labeling of $S_{6}^{(4)} \cup S_{5}^{(4)}$
The helm $H_{n}$ is the graph obtained from a wheel by attaching a pendent edge at each vertex of the cycle $C_{n}$. The book graph $B_{n}$ is the graph $S_{n} \times P_{2}$, where $S_{n}$ is the star graph with $n+1$ vertices. Each of the graphs $H_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ is prime for all n , which is proved in [10] and [8] respectively. Our next result is about union of helm and book graph.
Theorem 2.7: $H_{n} \cup B_{m}$ is a prime graph for all $n$ and $m$.
Proof: Let $G$ denote the graph $H_{n} \cup B_{m}$. Let $u_{0}$ be a apex vertex of $H_{n}$. Let $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ be set of vertices of cycle $C_{n}$ in $H_{n}$ and let $\left\{u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ be set of pendant vertices of $H_{n}$ such that $u_{i}$ and $u_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ are adjacent. Also let $\left\{\left(v_{i}, w_{j}\right): 0 \leq i \leq m, j=1,2\right\} \quad$ be set of vertices of $B_{m}=S_{m} \times P_{2}$ where $\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ be sets of vertices of $S_{m}$ and $P_{2}$ in which $v_{0}$ is a center vertex. Now there exists a prime number $p$ lying strictly between $\frac{2 n+3}{2}$ and $2 n+3$ which exist due to Bertrand's postulate.
We define $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}+3\}$ as
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{p}$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{i}$,
$i=1,2, \ldots, \frac{p-5}{2}$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{i}+1$,
$i=1,2, \ldots, \frac{p-5}{2}$,
$f\left(u_{i}\right)=4$,
$i=\frac{\mathrm{p}-5}{2}+1$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=3$,
$\mathrm{i}=\frac{\mathrm{p}-5}{2}+1$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}+2(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i})+2$,
$i=\frac{p-5}{2}+2, i=\frac{p-5}{2}+3, \ldots, n$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{p}+2(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i})+1$, $i=\frac{p-5}{2}+2, i=\frac{p-5}{2}+3, \ldots, n$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \mathrm{w}_{1}\right)=1$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right)=2$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{w}_{1}\right)=2 \mathrm{i}+2 \mathrm{n}+2, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$,
$\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{i}+2 \mathrm{n}+3, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$.
It may be verified that f is a prime labeling on G . The definition of $f$ is illustrated in Figure 5.


FIGURE 5. Prime labeling of $\mathrm{H}_{8} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{5}$
For a positive integer $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$ and a subset $\mathrm{S} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}\}$, the circulant graph $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{S})$ is the graph with vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and an edge between vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ if and only if $|\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{j}| \in \mathrm{S} \bigcup\{1, \mathrm{n}-1\}$. Here we prove some results about circulant graph $\operatorname{Circ}(n,\{k\})$, for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. For simplicity we shall write $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n},\{\mathrm{k}\})$ as $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$.
Theorem 2.8: $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ is not a prime graph in each of the following cases:
(i) n and k both are even.
(ii) n is odd

Proof: Case (i) $n$ and $k$ both are even.

In this case $\beta_{0}\left(C_{n}\right)=\frac{n}{2}$ for the cycle $C_{n}$ of $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$. Therefore since k is even, we have

$$
\beta_{0}(\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}))<\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}=\left\lfloor\frac{|\mathrm{V}(\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}))|}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

So $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ is not a prime graph when n and k both are even.
Case (ii) $n$ is odd.
Here $\beta_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{n}-1}{2}$ for the cycle $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ is a prime graph. Let f be a prime labeling of $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ and let $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a set of consecutive vertices of $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$. Without loss of generality suppose $f\left(v_{2 i-1}\right)$ is odd, for $i=1,2, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $f\left(v_{2 i}\right)$ is even, for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \frac{\mathrm{n}-1}{2}$. But if n is odd then $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ is adjacent to at least one vertex with even label for any value of $k$. This is not possible. So $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ is not a prime graph when n is odd.
There is a hope for positive results for $\operatorname{Circ}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ when n is even and k is odd. Our next result gives one of these positive results.
Theorem 2.9: Let $p$ denote a prime number. Then $\operatorname{Circ}(2 p, p)$ is a prime graph if and only if $p \neq 2,3$.
Proof: We first show that $\operatorname{Circ}(2 p, p)$, where $p \neq 2,3$ is a prime graph. Let $G=\operatorname{Circ}(2 p, p)$ and let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 p}\right\}$ be a set of consecutive vertices of $\operatorname{Circ}(2 p, p)$. We consider the following two cases.
Case 1: $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.
Define $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{p}\}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i}, \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}-2}\right)=\mathrm{p} \\
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}-2 \\
& \text { p }-2
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that $\operatorname{gcd}(f(u), f(v))=1$ for any two adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$.
If $i \neq p, 2 p, p-2$ then since $p$ is a prime,
$\operatorname{gcd}\left(f\left(v_{i .}\right), f\left(v_{i+p}\right)\right)=\operatorname{gcd}(i, i+p)=\operatorname{gcd}(i, p)=1$. Also using
$\mathrm{p} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ we observe that
$\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}-3}\right), \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}-2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{p}-3, \mathrm{p})=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{p}, 3)=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}+1}\right), \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{p}+1, \mathrm{p}-2) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(3, \mathrm{p}-2) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(3,2) \\
& =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that p is odd we get

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(f\left(v_{2 p}\right), f\left(v_{p}\right)\right)=\operatorname{gcd}(2 p, p-2)=\operatorname{gcd}(4, p-2)=1
$$

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(f\left(v_{2 p-2}\right), f\left(v_{p-2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{gcd}(2 p-2, p)=\operatorname{gcd}(2, p)=1
$$

Except these, the labels of any other pair of adjacent vertices are consecutive integers. Thus $f$ is a prime labeling of $G$ when $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Note that $f$ is not a prime labeling when $p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. So we need to modify f for the resulting function $g$ to be a prime labeling.
Case 2: $p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$.
Define $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{p}\}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(v_{i}\right)=f\left(v_{i}\right), \quad i \neq p-2, p, p+2, \\
& g\left(v_{p-2}\right)=p-2, \\
& g\left(v_{p+2}\right)=p, \\
& g\left(v_{p}\right)=p+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The detailed verification that g is a prime labeling is almost similar to Case 1.
Now we show that $\operatorname{Circ}(2 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p})$ is not prime when $\mathrm{p}=2,3$. Note that if $p=2$ then by Theorem 2.7, $\operatorname{Circ}(2 p, p)$ is not a prime graph. Also when $\mathrm{p}=3, \operatorname{Circ}(2 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p})$ is 3 -regular graph and since 6 is relatively prime to only two numbers from 1 to 6 , $\operatorname{Circ}(6,3)$ cannot be a prime graph.
In view of Theorem 2.8, we have complete information about the primality of $\operatorname{Circ}(2 n, n)$ when $n$ is a prime number. However, if n is an odd integer which is not a prime number, then we do not have any general result about the primality of $\operatorname{Circ}(2 n, n)$. Along this line, so far we have been able to find prime labeling of $\operatorname{Circ}(18,9)$ and $\operatorname{Circ}(30,15)$ only, which are given in Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively. At present it seems difficult to find a general formula for the prime labeling of $\operatorname{Circ}(2 n, n)$, where $n$ is an arbitrary odd integer different from a prime number.


FIGURE 6. Prime labeling of $\operatorname{Circ}(18,9)$


FIGURE 7. Prime labeling of $\operatorname{Circ}(30,15)$
In view of Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.8, and the positive result of $\operatorname{Circ}(18,9)$ and $\operatorname{Circ}(30,15)$, we can make the following statement in the form of corollary.
Corollary 2.10: For $1 \leq n \leq 20, \operatorname{Circ}(2 n, n)$ is a prime graph if and only if n is an odd integer.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have found the necessary and sufficient conditions for the graphs $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{UC}_{\mathrm{m}},\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}+\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)} \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ to be prime. We have also established some partial results on the prime labeling of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{m})} \cup \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(\mathrm{j})}$. We have considered a union of helm and book graph and shown it to be prime. Towards the end, we have considered circulant graphs for the study of prime labeling and in particular we have derived some interesting results about circulant graphs of the type $\operatorname{Circ}(2 n, n)$.

## IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Although it seems difficult, some of the results derived in this paper can be made strong by further investigation. For instance, it will be interesting to get a full set of values of $n$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}$ and j for which $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{m})} \cup \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(\mathrm{j})}$ is a prime graph. We also believe that by taking a more general set $S$, there is a lot
more to explore about the prime labeling of the circulant graph Circ(n, S) .
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