

# FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN C-FUZZY METRIC SET IN FUZZY METRIC SPACES

R. Krishnakumar<sup>1\*</sup>, Nagaral Pandit Sanatammappa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, UrumuDhanalakshmi College, Tiruchirappalli, India

\*Corresponding Author: srkudc7@gmail.com, Tel.: +91-9443186332

Available online at: [www.isroset.org](http://www.isroset.org)

Received: 06/Dec/2018, Accepted: 27/Dec/2018, Online: 31/Dec/2018

**Abstract:** In this paper the fixed point theorems for commute c-fuzzy metric set satisfying the generalized Lipschitz conditions are obtained, without appealing to continuity formappings in the setting of fuzzy metric spaces over the Banach algebra. Furthermore, we notonly get the existence of the fixed point but also get the uniqueness. These results greatly improve and generalize several well-known comparable results in the literature.

**Key-words:** Fixed point, c-fuzzy metric set, Fuzzy metric spaces, commuting, invertible, Lipschitz conditions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set theory was introduced by the Electrical Engineer L . A. Zadeh in 1965. In 2002, Lii, introduced the concept of converse commuting functions and proved the fixed point theorems for converse commuting functions. Various authors have proved generalized fixed point theorems for multi valued converse commuting mappings in the setting of metric spaces. Some related examples are also discussed. In this paper the fixed point theorems for commute c-fuzzy metric set satisfying the generalized Lipschitz conditions are proved, in the setting of fuzzy metric spaces over the Banach algebra. Furthermore, the uniqueness of the fixed point also proved . Our main results improve and generalize some important known results in the literature. In addition, we introduced c-fuzzy metric set and also proved the existence of the fixed point it, the main results are indeed real improvements and generalizations of the corresponding results in the literature.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 1:** A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous  $t$  - norm if  $*$  satisfies the following conditions:

- i.  $a * b = b * a$ ,  $a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$  for all  $a, b, c \in [0,1]$
- ii.  $*$  is continuous
- iii.  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0,1]$
- iv.  $a * b \leq c * d$ , whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ ,  $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$ .

**Definition 2:** The triplet  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be a fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$  - norm and  $M$  is a fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following:

- (M1).  $M(x, y, t) > 0$
- (M2).  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$ .
- (M3).  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ .
- (M4).  $M(x, z, t + s) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s)$ .
- (M5).  $M(x, y, \cdot): [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$  is left continuous for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $s, t > 0$ .

**Example 1. (Induced fuzzy metric space)** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $a * b = ab$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$  and let  $M_d$  be fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  defined as follows:  $M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$  then  $(X, M_d, *)$  is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric induced by a metric  $d$ .

**Example 2:** Let  $X = N$ . Define  $a * b = \max\{0, a + b - 1\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$  and let  $M$  be a fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  as follows:

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{y}, & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \frac{y}{x}, & \text{if } y \leq x \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 3:** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be convergent to  $x$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $t > 0$  then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n > n_0$ .

**Definition 4:** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be Cauchy if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t > 0$  then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .

**Definition 5:** A fuzzy metric space is said to be complete if in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Proposition 1:** In a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  if  $a * a \geq a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ , then  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space with the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 6:** Let  $A, B : X \rightarrow X$  and  $ABx = BAx$  then  $x \in X$  is called a commuting point of  $A, B$ .

**Definition 7:** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. Functions  $A, B : X \rightarrow X$  are said to be converse commuting if  $ABx = BAx$  implies  $Ax = Bx$ .

**Definition 8**

Let  $A$  be a real Banach algebra; that is,  $A$  is a real Banach space in which an operation of multiplication is defined, subject to the following properties: for all  $x, y, z \in A, a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

- (1)  $x(yz) = (xy)z$ ;
- (2)  $x(y + z) = xy + xz$  and  $(x + y)z = xz + yz$ ;
- (3)  $a(xy) = (ax)y = x(ay)$ ;
- (4)  $\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\|$ .

In this paper, we shall assume that the Banach algebra  $A$  has a unit  $e$  such that  $ex = xe = x$  for all  $x \in A$ . An element  $x \in A$  is said to be invertible if there is an inverse element  $y \in A$  such that  $xy = yx = e$ . The inverse of  $x$  is denoted by  $x^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $A$  be a real Banach algebra with a unit  $e$  and  $x \in A$ . If the spectral radius  $r(x)$  of  $x$  is less than 1, that is  $r(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} = \inf \|x^n\|^{1/n} < 1$ , then  $e - x$  is invertible. Actually  $(e - x)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i$ .

**Definition 9.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. Then  $M$  is called  $c$ -fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $\left(\frac{1}{M(x,y,t)} - 1\right) \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- (2)  $\left(\frac{1}{M(x,y,t)} - 1\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{M(x,z,t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(z,y,t)} - 1\right)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .
- (3) For each  $x \in X$  and  $n \geq 1$ , if  $\left(\frac{1}{M(x,y_n,t)} - 1\right) \leq u$  for some  $u = u_x \in X$ , then  $\left(\frac{1}{M(x,y,t)} - 1\right) \leq u$  whenever  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  converging to a point  $y \in X$ .
- (4) For all  $c \in A$  with  $c \geq 0$ , there exists  $e \in A$ , such that  $\left(\frac{1}{M(z,x,t)} - 1\right) \leq e$  and  $\left(\frac{1}{M(z,y,t)} - 1\right) \leq e$  imply  $\left(\frac{1}{M(x,y,t)} - 1\right) \leq c$ .

$M$  is also called a  $c$ -fuzzy metric on  $X$ .

**Lemma 1** [9] Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with a unit  $e$ . If  $x, y \in A$ , and  $x$  commutes with  $y$ , then  $r(x + y) \leq r(x) + r(y)$ ,  $r(xy) \leq r(x)r(y)$  .....(4)

**Lemma 2** [11]. Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with a unit  $e$  and let  $k$  be a vector in  $A$ . If  $0 \leq r(k) < 1$ , then we have  $r((e - k)^{-1}) \leq (1 - r(k))^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 3** [4]. Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space and  $M$  be a  $c$ -fuzzy metric on  $X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Suppose that  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  are two sequences in  $X$  converging to 0.

If  $\left(\frac{1}{M(x_n,y,t)} - 1\right) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $\left(\frac{1}{M(x_n,z,t)} - 1\right) \leq \beta_n$ , then  $y = z$ .

**Lemma 4**[6]. Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with a unit  $e$  and  $X$  be a  $c$ -fuzzy metric space in  $A$ . Let  $u, \alpha, \beta \in X$  hold  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $u \leq \alpha u$ . If  $r(\beta) < 1$ , then  $u = 0$ .

**III. MAIN RESULTS**

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a complete fuzzy metric space over Banach algebra  $A$ . Let  $M$  be a  $c$ -fuzzy metric on  $X$ . Suppose the mapping  $M$  satisfies generalized Lipschitz conditions:

$$\left(\frac{1}{M(fx, fy, t)} - 1\right) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x, fx, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(x, fy, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(fx, y, t)} - 1\right) \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{M(fy, fx, t)} - 1\right) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(y, x, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(fx, x, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(fy, x, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(y, fx, t)} - 1\right) \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in X$  are generalized Lipschitz constants with  $r(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) < 1 - r(\gamma)$ . If  $\gamma$  commutes with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_0$  is an arbitrary point in  $X$  and set  $x_n = f x_{n-1} = f^n x_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) = \left(\frac{1}{M(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, t)} - 1\right) \\ & \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, fx_n, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(fx_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \\ & \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \\ & \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) \right\} \\ & \quad + \delta \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$(1 - \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

By (1) and (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) = \left(\frac{1}{M(fx_n, fx_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) \\ & \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(fx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(fx_n, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, fx_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) \\ & \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \\ & \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \right\} \\ & \quad + \delta \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$(1 - \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

Thus, the sum of (3) and (4) gives follows

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \gamma) \left[ \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \right] \\ & \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) \left[ \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now, we set  $u_n = \left[ \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \right]$ .

Then,  $(1 - \gamma)u_n \geq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta)u_{n-1} \dots\dots\dots(5)$

Since  $r(\gamma) < r(\gamma) + r(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) < 1$ , then, by Proposition 1,

$(1 - \gamma)$  is invertible. Furthermore,  $(1 - \gamma)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i$

Let  $R = (1 - \gamma)^{-1}(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta)$ . As  $\gamma$  commutes with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{it follows that } (1 - \gamma)^{-1}(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i\right)(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) \\ & = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta)(1 - \gamma)^{-1} \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

that is to say  $(1 - \gamma)^{-1}$  commutes with  $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta)$ .

Then by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r(R) &= r((1 - \gamma)^{-1}(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta)) \\ &\leq r((1 - \gamma)^{-1}) r(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) \\ &\leq (1 - r(\gamma))^{-1} r(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1 - r(\gamma)}\right) r(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) < 1, \end{aligned} \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

Which means that  $(1 - R)^{-1} = (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R^i)$  and  $\|R^n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By multiplying in both sides of (5) by  $(1 - \gamma)^{-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) &\leq u_n \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma)^{-1}(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta)u_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= Ru_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq R^n u_0 \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

Let  $m > n \geq 1$ . We infer

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_m, t)} - 1\right) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)} - 1\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{m-1}, x_m, t)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq (R^n + R^{n+1} + \dots + R^{m-1}) u_0 \\ &\leq (1 + R + \dots + R^{m-n-1}) R^n u_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R^i) R^n u_0 = (1 - R)^{-1} R^n u_0$$

Owing to  $\|(1 - R)^{-1} R^n u_0\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), it leads to  $(1 - R)^{-1} R^n u_0 \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

$\Rightarrow \{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, M, *)$ .

Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $x_n = f x_{n-1} \rightarrow u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By Definition, we obtain  $\left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, u, t)} - 1\right) \leq (1 - R)^{-1} R^n u_0 \dots \dots \dots (9)$

Now, we show that  $fu = u$ . Substituting  $x = x_{n-1}, y = u$  in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, fu, t)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{M(fx_{n-1}, fu, t)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, u, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, fu, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(fx_{n-1}, u, t)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, u, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, fu, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, u, t)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, u, t)} - 1\right)\right] + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, fu, t)} - 1\right)\right] + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, u, t)} - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(1 - \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, fu, t)} - 1\right) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + (\alpha + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, u, t)} - 1\right).$$

Since  $r(\gamma) < 1$ ,  $(1 - \gamma)$  is invertible. So, it follows immediately from (8) and (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, fu, t)} - 1\right) &\leq (1 - \gamma)^{-1} \left[ (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right) + (\alpha + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, u, t)} - 1\right) \right] \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma)^{-1} [(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) R^{n-1} u_0 + (\alpha + \delta) (1 - R)^{-1} R^n u_0] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (1 - \gamma)^{-1} [(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (\alpha + \delta)(1 - R)^{-1} R] R^{n-1} u_0 \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

Set  $\alpha_n = (1 - R)^{-1} R^n u_0$  and

$$\beta_n = (1 - \gamma)^{-1} [(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (\alpha + \delta)(1 - R)^{-1} R] R^{n-1} u_0.$$

As  $r(R) < 1$  and  $R^n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), we know  $\alpha_n, \beta_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Thus, by (9), (10), and

Lemma 3, we get that  $fu = u$ . In the following we shall show the fixed point is unique.

Firstly, we have to prove  $\left(\frac{1}{M(u, u, t)} - 1\right) = 0$ . Making full use of (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{M(u, u, t)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{M(fu, fu, t)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M(u, u, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M(u, fu, t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M(u, fu, t)} - 1\right) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{M(fu, u, t)} - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) \left( \frac{1}{M(u,u,t)} - 1 \right) \dots \dots \dots (11)$$

In view of  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta$ ,  $r(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) < 1 - r(\gamma) < 1$ , and Lemma 4, we have  $\left( \frac{1}{M(u,u,t)} - 1 \right) = 0$ . Secondly, if there is another fixed point  $v$ .

Then by (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{M(v,u,t)} - 1 \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{M(fv,fu,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M(v,u,t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M(v,fv,t)} - 1 \right) + \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M(v,fu,t)} - 1 \right) + \delta \left( \frac{1}{M(fv,u,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M(v,u,t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M(v,v,t)} - 1 \right) + \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M(v,u,t)} - 1 \right) + \delta \left( \frac{1}{M(v,u,t)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

which establishes that

$$\left( \frac{1}{M(v,u,t)} - 1 \right) \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta) \left( \frac{1}{M(v,u,t)} - 1 \right) \dots \dots \dots (12)$$

Since  $\alpha + \gamma + \delta \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta$  and  $r(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta) < 1 - r(\gamma) < 1$ , it follows immediately from Lemma 1.4 that  $\left( \frac{1}{M(v,u,t)} - 1 \right) = 0$ .

Actually, by (2), we also have that  $\left( \frac{1}{M(u,v,t)} - 1 \right) \leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta) \left( \frac{1}{M(u,v,t)} - 1 \right)$

Similar to the above proof, it is not difficult to obtain that  $\left( \frac{1}{M(u,v,t)} - 1 \right) = 0$ .

Thus,  $u = v$ , this concludes the theorem.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a complete fuzzy metric space over Banach algebra  $A$ . Let  $M$  be a  $c$ -fuzzy metric on  $X$ . Suppose the mapping  $M$  satisfies generalized Lipchitz conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{M(fx, fy, t)} - 1 \right) &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M(x, fx, t)} - 1 \right) + \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M(x, fy, t)} - 1 \right) + \delta \left( \frac{1}{M(fx, y, t)} - 1 \right) \dots \dots \dots (1) \\ \left( \frac{1}{M(fy, fx, t)} - 1 \right) &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M(y, x, t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M(fx, x, t)} - 1 \right) + \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M(fy, x, t)} - 1 \right) + \delta \left( \frac{1}{M(y, fx, t)} - 1 \right) \dots \dots \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and satisfies  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta < 1$ . Then,  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** By taking  $r(\alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $r(\beta) = \beta$ ,  $r(\gamma) = \gamma$  and  $r(\delta) = \delta$  for each  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  in above theorem, we obtain the desired result.

**REFERENCES**

[1] M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades, "Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized condition of integral type", *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2007), **Art. ID 54101, 9pp.**  
 [2] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, "A note on occasionally weakly compatible maps", *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **3(2)**, (2009), **55-58.**  
 [3] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, "Generalized I-non expansive self-maps and invariant approximation", *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* **24**, (2008), **867-876.**  
 [4] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, and S. Moradi, "Coincidence points for expansive mappings under  $c$ -distance in cone metric spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2012, Article **ID 308921, 2012.**  
 [5] Y. J. Cho, R. Saadati, and S. H. Wang, "Common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in ordered cone metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. **61**, no. **4**, pp. **1254-1260, 2011.**  
 [6] H. Huang, S. Radenović, and T. Došenović, "Some common fixed point theorems on  $c$ -distance in cone metric spaces over Banach algebras," *Applied and Computational Mathematics*, vol. **14**, no. **2**, pp. **180-193, 2015**  
 [7] H. Huang, S. Hu, B. Z. Popović, and S. Radenović, "Common fixed point theorems for four mappings on cone  $b$ -metric spaces over Banach algebras," *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, vol. **9**, no. **6**, pp. **3655-3671, 2016.**  
 [8] Dr. R. Krishnakumar and Nagaral Pandit Sanatammappa, study on two Non-Archimedean Menger Probability metric space, *International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics* **1(3)**, **2016, 01-04**  
 [9] R. Krishnakumar, R. Livingston, "Study On Fixed Point Theorems in Complete Cone Metric Spaces" *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, Volume **6**, Issue **7**, July **2017, 13526 - 13531.**  
 [10] R. Krishnakumar, K. Dinesh, D. Dhamodharan, Some fixed point theorems  $\phi$ - $\psi$  weak contraction on Fuzzy Metrics spaces, *International Journal of Scientific Research in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences*, Volume-**5**, Issue-**3**, pp. **146- 152**, June (2018)  
 [11] W. Rudin, "Functional Analysis", McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, **1991.**  
 [12] S. Wang and B. Guo, "Distance in cone metric spaces and common fixed point theorems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. **24**, no. **10**, pp. **1735-1739, 2011.**  
 [13] S. Xu and S. Radenović, "Fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings on cone metric spaces over Banach algebras without assumption of normality," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. **2**, no. **1**, pp. **102 -111, 2014.**